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I am often asked what signs of hope I see for the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America. The invitation for White Christians to journey from privilege to partnership is one very hopeful sign. From its beginning in 1988, the ELCA has made a firm commitment to become an increasingly multicultural and an intentionally anti-racist church. Our continual failure to fulfill that commitment raises significant questions regarding the integrity of our witness to God’s love in Christ for the whole creation and causes deep concern for our viability in an increasingly and richly diverse context.

Many will be uncomfortable with the phrase “White Christian.” We still prefer to identify ourselves with the culture and country of our immigrant ancestors. Even more disturbing is the word “privilege.” It is far easier for us to identify what we lack than confront the benefits that come to us by virtue of being White. Yet until we, in a spirit of humility and repentance, are willing to explore the power, privilege, and prejudice that belong to us as White Christians, it may be impossible for us to become the multicultural church I believe most of us sincerely desire to be.

This study is an invitation to explore who we are. Therefore it begins and ends in baptismal waters. Water, together with God’s Word of promise, is cleansing and healing as we are bathed in God’s grace in Christ. Yet these waters are also troubling, for in them each day the power and privilege to which we have become so accustomed is put to death. We are raised to new life in Christ, reconciled to each other. We are set free in faith to work for justice and peace. Therefore let us not be passive, unknowing participants in systems that perpetuate power and privilege. Rather, let us join as partners in the relentless pursuit of a more just world.

The ELCA social statement “Freed in Christ: Race, Ethnicity and Culture,” is very clear. It says, “Racism, both blatant and subtle, continues to deny the reconciling work of the cross. God’s forgiveness frees us from the enslavement of racism. For some, this may mean giving up power or privilege; for others, it may mean giving up anger or prejudice. Let us know this reconciliation in our lives!” (p. 5)

May the journey you begin through this study lead not only to new insights, but also to bold action. We look forward to being transformed by the Spirit from persons of privilege to partners in building not only a more inclusive and multicultural church, but also a more just world.

God’s peace be with you,

Mark S. Hanson, Bishop Emeritus
Definitions of Racism and White Privilege

The ELCA Social Statement “Freed in Christ: Race, Ethnicity, and Culture,” defines racism as “a mix of power, privilege, and prejudice” (p. 4). This complex mix moves racism—and any system of oppression—out of the realm of simple individual feelings and actions into the realm of cultural and institutional systems. It is a definition that addresses the systemic nature of racism within the United States since the arrival of Europeans.

Power is the key that locks the system of racism and any system of oppression in place. Prejudice is a set of negative beliefs generalized about a whole group of people. All people hold prejudices, but only the dominant group has the power to enforce laws, establish institutions and set cultural standards that are used to dominate those who are the subject of their prejudice. For example, only White people had the institutional power to establish separate and unequal schools for White children and children of African American or American Indian heritage. Only White people had the institutional power to establish and enforce past Jim Crow laws and current drug laws that disproportionately target crack cocaine users who are more often people of color over powder cocaine users who are mostly White. White people established standards of beauty and defined the cultural norm of individualism. All White people do not have individual power, but all White people benefit from dominant White cultural and institutional power.

White privilege is the spill over effect of racial prejudice and White institutional power. It means that a White person in the United States has privilege, simply because one is White. It means that as a member of the dominant group a White person has greater access or availability to resources because of being White. It means that White ways of thinking and living are seen as the norm against which all people of color are compared. Life is structured around those norms for the benefit of White people. White privilege is the ability to grow up thinking that race doesn’t matter. It is not having to daily think about skin color and the questions, looks, and hurdles that need to be overcome because of one’s color. White privilege may be less recognizable to some White people because of gender, age, sexual orientation, economic class or physical or mental ability, but it remains a reality simply because of one’s membership in the White dominant group.
Why a Resource for White Christians on Privilege and Partnership?

The ELCA Social Statement defines racism, with its mix of power, privilege and prejudice, as sin and “a violation of God’s intention for humanity” (p. 4). The church has participated in the sin of racism as it has used its power to intertwine White cultural norms with the stories of the Gospel. The church has perpetuated the definition of “White” as right and pure, and “Black” as sinful and unclean. Pictures of a White Jesus—although born in the Middle East—permeate churches. In essence, White privilege needs to be addressed because as Christians we have “missed the mark” and fallen short of God’s intention for humanity. The church has fallen short of God’s intent for us to be one in Christ (Galatians 3:28), and missed the mark of “loving your neighbor as yourself” (Matthew 22:39), particularly neighbors of color who have experienced firsthand time after time the effects of White privilege, the effects of power and control.

This resource addresses the need to examine the sin of racism and its affect on mission and ministry within a multicultural society. It does that by addressing White Christians. The time has come to examine the question of why the ELCA, and other mainline Protestant denominations, remain so White and so exclusive of others. The church cannot become inclusive without first telling the truth about how it consciously and unconsciously operates in exclusive ways.

In this resource, participants will seek to discover what has been lost because of racism and its accompanying White privilege and what needs to be found (Luke 15). Participants will enter the river with Naaman the great Syrian military leader (2 Kings 5) and will explore what needs to be left behind in order to be healed. Participants will work to recognize the truth of who we have been and who we are. The truth needs to be revealed—the truth about the history, legacy, and “present-ness” of racism and White privilege in our society and in our church. The great reformation text is applicable here—“If you continue in my word, you are truly my disciples; and you will know the truth, and the truth will make you free” (John 8:31-32). This church (the ELCA) of the Reformation needs to confront the truth that we remain predominantly White, while society is continually becoming more multicultural.

This resource was developed to confront and discuss openly the truth of our past and present in order to be set free to move from privilege to partnership. It was developed to work toward the vision of “that Rainbow Church, that beloved community” where all are treated as people created in the image of God. Only when we become like the Shepherd and the woman who intently searched after what was lost, or become like Naaman and are willing to enter into
the river of truth, will we be healed and set free to re-form our church so that it looks and acts more like what God desires and intends for the Church universal and for all of creation.

Why address White privilege? Because as White people and as disciples of Christ we need to move from privilege to partnership. We need to know and understand privilege in order to work in new ways toward partnership, in which we intentionally appreciate, respect, learn from, and equally share power with our neighbor whose cultural identity and past is different from ours. The journey requires intentionality in moving out of our safe and secure sanctuaries of home and church and into our local and global neighborhoods to meet, listen and enter into relationship with our neighbors of color — those with whom we have missed the mark of loving as our self.

The ELCA Social Statement “Freed in Christ” states, “Because of sin and indifference, intentional measures are necessary for vision to become reality” (p. 5). This resource is such an intention.
Troubled waters of large rapids can be dangerous to those who have the courage to enter. Those waters need to be negotiated with a skilled and experienced guide and trust in those with whom one journeys. Waters of a whirlpool are purposefully stirred up and troubled in order to provide healing. The troubling waters of this journey will require the courage to enter dialogue that seeks truth. It will require trust in the group of participants and the guidance of the facilitator. And it requires an openness to enter into the process for the purpose of healing from years of racism, unspoken White privilege and separation from people and communities of color.

The African American spiritual “Wade in the Water” repeats the words, “God’s a-goin’-a trouble the water” *(Renewing Worship R159)*. The roots of this song can be traced back to a slave song from before the Civil War that served as a coded song with escape instructions. The words have been changed through time, but the reference to God’s help in leading to freedom is still clear.

This yearlong process begins with the premise that White Christians need healing from the effects of racism in order to find new freedom for full multicultural partnership. The journey is based in the same hope and prayer of the song “Wade in the Water,” that God will trouble the waters in a way that provides healing—healing from what has been lost in personal identity in being “White”—healing from fear and separation—healing from attitudes of prejudice and superiority. The process is based in scripture to discern where God is leading and guiding the church.

The journey toward freedom and partnership for White Christians is made more difficult by the invisibility of the chains that hold one captive to cultural systems and institutional structures based on White privilege. Exercises in this resource help to make those realities visible. Activities and discussion lead White Christians to address the specific role White people play in maintaining systems of racism. The resource raises questions of what it means to be White and how greater awareness, understanding, and commitment can lead to development of a new White identity that is consciously aware of systems of racism and actively working for change.

This yearlong journey begins at the baptismal font to remember the lifelong journey of daily dying and rising to new life. Each session begins and ends with a reminder of the healing and cleansing waters of Baptism. The resource is not based on guilt or blame, but rather on the responsibility as Christians to see, know, and understand truth. Participants will examine the history of the United States, the Church, and their own personal history for the legacy of White privilege. Within the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America (ELCA), despite a commitment in 1988 to become a church of 10 percent of the people of color or language other than English, the church remains majority White, non-Hispanic. Despite good intentions and past hopes, the journey to be a multicultural church is unfinished. Simply opening the doors and saying, “All are welcome,” is clearly not enough. This process is an intentional journey to enter into addressing hard questions of what needs to die in order for new life to rise.
The commitment to enter into this study should not be made lightly. It will mean re-examining old learnings and seeking after new ways of relating. It may mean giving up some old cultural traditions. It may include pain, tears, and challenge. Entering troubled waters to seek healing requires courage, trust, and a willingness to join with others to seek a church of full partnership. Welcome to the journey.
Journey Overview

**SEASON OF ADVENT:** A time of new beginning. These sessions engage participants in looking at God’s promise and vision and in beginning the journey to examine White privilege.

**Session 1: Beholding God’s Vision**
- Genesis 1: The Story of Creation
- Introduction to Study and Group Guidelines
- Rivers and Revelation

**Session 2: Remembering Stories**
- Luke 1 – The Story of the Promise of the Birth of John the Baptist
- Share the Story of My Cultural Journey

**Session 3: Brokenness and Promise**
- Creating the River
- Genesis 3 and 4 – The Vision is Broken
- Create the River of America

**SEASONS OF CHRISTMAS AND EPIPHANY:** A time of new insights and understanding. These sessions provide time and guidance for remembering one’s own story and for listening and learning from people of color and for hearing history and stories often untold.

**Independent Reading, Viewing, and Conversations**

**Session 4: Listening and Learning**
- Share the Epiphany Journey

**SEASON OF LENT:** A time for repentance. Weekly sessions lead participants in Bible study and discussion to examine the legacy of racism and White privilege in the United States, both in the church and in one’s own personal history.

**Session 5: Searching for What is Lost**
- Luke 15:1-10 – Parables of the Lost Sheep and the Lost Coin
- “Whiteness” Exercise

**Session 6: Entering the Story of the United States**
- Recall the Early History of North America
- Develop the Timeline of the United States

**Session 7: Examining Attitudes of Privilege**
- Definitions of Social Dominance, Racism and White Privilege
- Matthew 15:21-28 – Jesus and the Canaanite Woman
- Social Dominance and Privilege

**Session 8: Entering the Story of the Church**
- Examine Foundational Attitudes and Beliefs
- Develop the Timeline of the Church
Session 9: Examining the Construction of Culture
   Examine Culture and the Lutheran Church

Session 10: Entering My Story of Privilege
   Chains of White Privilege
   Celebration of Resistance of People of Faith

SEASON OF EASTER: A time of new life. Through the story of Naaman, participants will explore
   the process of healing from the disease of racism and examine the steps to a new White identity
   and a multicultural church.

Session 11: Entering the Healing Waters
   2 Kings 5:1-14 – The Healing of Naaman
   Journey toward Healing from Racism

Session 12: Walking the Journey toward New White Identity
   Journey of White Racial Identity Development

Session 13: Building toward a Multicultural Church
   Journey from Exclusion to Inclusion for White Congregations
   Explore Steps for Change

SEASON OF PENTECOST: A time for action. The story of Peter and Cornelius will guide
   participants in prayer and vision and in taking steps to live out the vision of an inclusive church.

Session 14: Stepping Out in Faith
   Acts 10:1-11:18 – Story of Peter and Cornelius
   Steps to Act on Faith Commitments – Prayer

Session 15: Beholding God’s New Vision
   Acts 10:1-43 – Story of Peter and Cornelius
   Steps to Act on Faith Commitments—Vision, Giving Up Old Messages, Commitment to
   Risk Taking, Gathering Community

Session 16: Taking Steps to Act
   Acts 10:44-48 – Story of Peter and Cornelius
   Steps to Act on Faith Commitments – Taking Action, Receiving Hospitality

Session 17: Reporting Back and Reflecting
   Acts 11:1-17 – Peter and Accompanying Believers Report to Jerusalem
   Steps to Act on Faith Commitments – Reporting Back and Reflecting
   Meet with Congregation Council and Other Appropriate Groups for Report and Action

Session 18: Experiencing Change . . . Praising God . . . Continuing the Journey
   Acts 11:18 – Story of Praise and New Beginnings in Jerusalem
   Steps to Act on Faith Commitments – Experiencing Change, Celebrating and Praising
   God, and Continuing the Journey
Preparation

Audience
The resource is designed for groups of 12-20 people within a Christian context. The primary audience would be people from one congregation who commit together to participate in this study for a period of one year. It is recommended that they be commissioned by the congregation prior to the beginning of the first session in Advent and have opportunities to share their insights and learning within the context of worship or educational settings throughout the year. The pastor(s) and leadership of the congregation need to be actively involved either in participation or in regular dialogue and support. Participants should include people who are open to learning and exploring issues of what it means to be White, but who have a range of viewpoints, levels of understanding, and prior multicultural experience. If possible, they should also represent a cross-section of gender, age, and class within the congregation.

The resource also may be used by a group of people from various congregations and religious backgrounds. Participants may come for their own awareness and growth, to work for change together within their congregations and community, or to become experienced in the model to take it back to their congregation for the coming year.

While the resource is written with examples from the Lutheran church, the context is Christian and can easily be adapted for use in other denominations by using related timeline information from that church body, music, and other examples and resources as deemed appropriate.

Participant Preparation
One to two weeks prior to beginning the first session, provide each participant with the following Pre-reading Materials: Definitions and Why a Resource for White Christians, Entering the Waters, Journey Overview, and the Pre-Assessment Form. These materials will be reviewed during the first session. (P4-P13 Participant Section)

Attendance
Participants should be informed that the process requires a commitment to the journey for the entire year. Inform participants of the amount of time required for each session and the session dates as far as they can be scheduled. Each session builds upon the prior one and attendance at each session is important. Make every effort to schedule dates and times to make attendance possible for all participants.

Setting
The meeting space should be comfortable and inviting. The space will need chairs for the number of participants, but not tables, except as needed for supplies and any food that is provided. Consider the amount of space for the number of people. Allow enough space both for participants to be able to gather in a large circle and to be able to move chairs and gather in groups of two to five people for small group discussions. The small group space may also be in side rooms, but do not separate space by too great a distance since people will move in and out of small groups throughout each session. If at all possible, choose a space with a large blank wall to create the river/timeline that will be used throughout the year. Placing the river/timeline in a visible space within the church or meeting area may also serve as a focal point for discussion with other members of the congregation throughout the year.

Format
The resource is designed as a yearlong process, following the seasons of the church year. In this process, participants will have the opportunity to study, learn, and dialogue as a group, to reflect individually and to
take action together as a group. Covering 18 sessions over the course of a year reinforces the concept that this work is a lifelong journey. It also allows time to apply and test new learnings within one’s own life and within the congregation and community. Journaling and assignments help to guide participants in reflection and action.

Each session is designed for two hours. During some sessions participants may become actively engaged in discussion that is difficult to cut off. Check on time needs of the group prior to the beginning of each session to monitor time accordingly.

The number of sessions during each season of the church year varies, with the most intensive study during the season of Lent. The group may choose this study as a midweek Lenten focus. The season of Epiphany is a time for directed conversation and personal reading with one midseason session. The season of Pentecost is a time of putting learning into action with five sessions to guide the process.

The sessions during the season of Lent could be combined and scheduled as a weekend retreat. It could be helpful to do that in a place that is outside the cultural setting of the congregation. The sessions of the season of Pentecost could also be offered during a retreat if it were held in a place where participants could have time and opportunity to be actively engaged in the life and ministry of people from another culture. Being on retreat on an American Indian reservation, at a site in an African descent, Latino community, Arab Middle Eastern or Asian Pacific Islander, in a central city, or in a migrant labor camp, for example, would engage participants in the experience of accepting hospitality as Peter and his fellow believers did within Cornelius’ household. Those experiences would need to be carefully planned and coordinated with the host community, but would provide a powerful learning experience in breaking old barriers. Contacts may be available through church ministries, community resources or ethnic associations.

Note: Much of the session time is spent in small groups. Suggestions are given for each session for the number of participants to be in each small group discussion or activity. Feel free to adjust the size of small groups depending on the number of participants attending each session.

**Intersection with Congregation**

The congregation is encouraged to be involved in this journey throughout the year, beginning with a commissioning service to set aside the group of people who are committed to the process. The commissioning would be part of the worship service(s) on the last Sunday of the church year or first Sunday in Advent. The congregation’s participation in this service would be a visible sign of the congregation’s promise to hold the participants in prayer and to support them in the journey as they work to discern where God is calling the congregation in moving from privilege to partnership. Members could commit to become prayer partners with participants in the process and the group can regularly be held up in the prayers of the church.

Placing the river/timeline in a visible place in the church can serve as a focal point throughout the year for participants to informally share their learning and growth as the timeline develops during the year. A time for sharing in worship or in an educational setting can be scheduled during each season of the church year for participants to share a scripture they have studied or new learnings or insights. Texts used during the process can be used as a basis for Sunday sermons.

In Session 17, participants will meet with congregation leaders to share their insights and understanding and to hold initial dialogue on where they see the need and possibility for growth. Participants will seek allies in the congregation who can be invited to participate with them in implementation of actions.

In Session 18, participants will plan a liturgy to be used with a worship service to celebrate the work of the year. That service of celebration may be held again on the last Sunday of the church year or first Sunday in Advent and be coordinated with the commissioning service for a new group who will begin the journey.
Water as Reminder of Baptismal Journey

Water is a central symbol throughout this process as a reminder of the waters of Baptism. The baptismal journey of daily dying and rising to new life is manifested through this process of examining old learning, leaving behind old messages and coming to new understanding and action.

The process begins around the baptismal font in a commissioning service. If the participants are from one congregation, it is best to include the commissioning as part of the worship service at the beginning of the study. If not from one congregation, the commissioning service can be included in Session 1.

Water remains a central element of each session with a bowl of water being placed in the center of the group or in a visible place throughout each session. Each session begins and ends with an invitation to remember the waters of Baptism and God’s promise to be present in this work.

Layout of Sessions

Each session guides the facilitator with directions for large and small groups. Questions for small groups are printed both in the facilitator guide and in the participant materials. Material for presentation by the facilitator is printed in italics. This material is best presented in the facilitator’s own words, but it can be read aloud to the group if that is more comfortable. Longer quotes from outside sources are included in the participant materials. Participant materials need to be copied prior to each session. Permission is granted to reprint all materials. Readings are assigned at several places in the process and are included in the participant materials.

Songs

Songs included throughout the resource are primarily from *With One Voice (WOV)*, published by Augsburg Fortress. The suggested songs were chosen to fit the theme of each session. Other songs may be substituted that are more familiar to the group or that are found in songbooks available to the group.

If using the *Renewing Worship Songbook*, published by Augsburg Fortress, songs that use water images or relate to various themes during the year include the following:

- R101 Come Now, O Prince of Peace
- R136 As the Deer Runs to the River
- R159 Wade in the Water
- R160 Song over the Waters
- R163 I’m Going on a Journey
- R212 Behold, How Pleasant
- R217 We Are All One in Christ *Somos Uno en Cristo*
- R241 A Place at the Table
- R172 Welcome Table
- R209 Deep River
- R242 Let Justice Roll like a River
- R217 We Are All One in Christ *Somos Uno en Cristo*

Assignments and Journaling

Each session concludes with an assignment. These assignments relate to the session and are an important part of the entire session. The application between sessions provides an opportunity to test new ways of thinking and seeing and to give time for reflection.

Participants are encouraged to journal throughout the year. Directions for journaling are included in the handout for each session, but participants may choose their own direction. Journaling will provide an opportunity to reflect on the process and also provide a record of one’s own growth through the year. Journals are participants’ own private recordings from which they are free to share as they wish.
**Materials Needed**

Notebooks for Journaling

3-ring binders for Participant Materials

Copies of Participant Materials for each session

Role of poster paper for river and timeline

Crepe paper streamers:

- 2 rolls blue
- 1 roll each: white, black, yellow, red, brown*, orange (*Brown streamer crepe paper is difficult to find. Crepe paper sheets can be cut into strips, or mauve or burgundy streamers can be used.)

Bibles (Texts used in this resource are from the NRSV.)

*With One Voice* or other songbooks

Newsprint and markers

Supplies:

- Masking tape
- Scissors
- Glue Sticks
- Crayons or markers for drawings
- 8.5” x 14” paper for drawings (Session 2)
- Construction paper in black, yellow, red, brown and orange (Session 3)
- Construction paper for chains of privilege (Session 10)

**Facilitator Helps**

Carefully read and prepare each session, gather materials and make copies of all handouts. Consider the particular needs and concerns of the group; adjust suggested times, and adapt questions as needed. Read or talk with others to gather additional background or understanding as needed.

Pay attention to thoughts and feelings in preparing the session. Consider the areas that may be difficult and those that provide opportunity for growth and challenge. Being open to one’s own struggle in the journey will enhance the journey for the group. One’s own openness and even vulnerability in sharing will set the tone and level of discussion for the entire group.

Working as co-facilitators is helpful in this process. Because racism was put in place with emotion, it requires emotional sharing and release to unlearn. As facilitators, it is important to notice and be aware of one’s own emotions in the journey and to take time to share with one another and reflect on one’s own growth through this process. As co-facilitators, one person can be more alert to the emotions and climate of the group as the other person leads. Observations of the group can enhance the planning and preparation for the next session.

The guidelines presented in Session 1 are important in establishing a climate and tone that assures people will be heard and respected. The guidelines can serve to address needs within the group if a participant
is not being heard or if one is dominating the conversation. Encourage listening, invite others to speak, and gently but assertively guide the group toward full participation. Listening and respect are key guidelines to regularly reinforce.

Be alert to the dynamics of the group, noticing whether people are engaged and involved. People who are quiet may be reflecting and internalizing the conversation and may be deeply engaged even when not speaking and sharing. Watch for non-verbal cues that indicate involvement and participation. If unsure, check with people verbally, and invite and encourage them to speak when they are ready. Allow time for silence. There may be times when the group as a whole needs time to reflect on a question, a response, an activity, or presentation. Silence and unease may be healthy times of growth.

As a facilitator, the amount of energy expended will be reflected in the energy level of the group. Moving around while presenting or facilitating can help to keep participants involved and focused. Movement from large group to small groups helps to stimulate people in different ways. Focus on story is important in deepening awareness and understanding and finding personal meaning. Invite participants to go deeper in their exploration as that seems helpful and appropriate.

Additional background reading will be helpful in providing deeper understanding and background for leading this journey. The following three books were used as resources in preparing this study and are strongly recommended reading for facilitators:


Additional resources are listed in the bibliography.

**Evaluation**

At the conclusion of the process, ask participants to fill out evaluation forms for use within the congregation and as a guide to facilitators of a subsequent group. Make copies of the evaluations and send them to the Department for Research and Evaluation of the ELCA as noted on page 101. Responses on the evaluations are important in continuing to develop materials and resources.
This service should be led by the pastor and is intended to be included in a Sunday worship service preceding the beginning of the yearlong journey. If participants include members of two or three congregations, you may wish to include this service at each congregation. Involvement of the congregation is important in recognizing that these people are embarking on a journey that may have an impact on the life and ministry of the congregation. Participants will share their insights and learning throughout the process and will report back to the congregation and its leadership at the end, including steps to begin to move from privilege to partnership.

Invite participants to come forward and gather around the baptismal font.

PASTOR: Dear Friends, you are gathered here to embark on a yearlong journey of study and action, and we are here to surround you and to set you apart for this journey on our behalf. Troubling the Waters for Healing of the Church: A Journey for White Christians from Privilege to Partnership will carry you and all of us onto a path of seeking new ways for us to be church in a multicultural society. As you explore God’s Word and seek to better understand the people and the history of this country and of our church, we pray that God will guide you and lead you.

We are gathered here at the font to remember the waters of Baptism. As you begin this journey, I invite you to dip your finger in the water, make the sign of the cross on your forehead and listen to these words often spoken at the Service of Holy Baptism:

We are born children of a fallen humanity; in the waters of Baptism we are reborn children of God and inheritors of eternal life. By water and the Holy Spirit we are made members of the Church, which is the body of Christ. As we live with [God] and with [God’s] people, we grow in faith, love, and obedience to the will of God (Lutheran Book of Worship (LBW), p. 121).

Martin Luther reminded us of the importance of Baptism as a daily dying and rising to new life. In this journey you will be examining the realities of privilege and the legacy of racism. You will be seeking to die to old understandings and to be open to new callings of the Spirit for mission and ministry for all of us in this place. Are you willing to commit yourself to this journey and to live out this call of your Baptism?

Participants: I AM, AND I ASK GOD TO GUIDE ME.

PASTOR: Will the congregation please stand? Today we set aside this group of people for the journey into the troubled and troubling waters of how, through racism, we have fallen short of God’s intention for humanity. Listen to these words of the Apostle Paul:

“As many of you as were baptized into Christ have clothed yourselves with Christ. There is no longer Jew or Greek, there is no longer slave or free, there is no longer male and female; for all of you are one in Christ Jesus” (Galatians 3:27-28).

Will you support each of these people and pray regularly for them as they seek to discern God’s vision? Will you listen to them and walk with them as they work to discern where God is calling us to move from privilege to partnership?
CONGREGATION: WE WILL, AND WE ASK GOD TO GUIDE US.

PASTOR: We commission you for this study and commit to hold you in prayer. As you stand around this font, may you remember the life-giving water of Baptism and may you walk in the trust that God makes all things new.

Let us pray.

Dear God, today we set aside these siblings to seek your truth of who you call us to be as your one people. As they explore the meaning of White privilege, may they have the courage to ask hard questions and be open to the movement of your Spirit. Open their minds and hearts, and each of our minds and hearts to more fully know what it means to live in full partnership with all people of all backgrounds. As we come to this Advent season of promise and hope, awaken us to your vision and stir us to new life. Amen.
Purpose
This session introduces the participants to one another, provides the purpose and background for the yearlong study, and sets the tone for sharing, based on openness, trust, and respect. The foundation of this program will be laid within the framework of Baptism and of God’s vision as it is reflected at the beginning of the biblical story in Genesis and at the end in Revelation. Participants will reflect on the meaning of God’s vision for them as they commit to participate in this yearlong journey of moving from privilege to partnership.

Session Objectives
From this session, participants will be able to:

• Reflect on God’s vision for the earth and its people, as shared in the first biblical book of Genesis and ending with the last book of Revelation.
• Summarize the direction and purpose of this study.
• Express their thoughts and feelings about entering this journey.

Provide nametags for participants and welcome people as they arrive.

Opening Devotion (20 minutes)
Introduce this session by inviting participants into a devotional time. Call their attention to the large glass bowl of water you have placed on a small table in the center of the group. Remind them of the commissioning service and that it is the water of our baptism that calls us to enter into the waters of this study. Our baptism reminds us of our need for daily dying and rising to newness of life. Water is a sign of cleansing and rebirth and will be a central symbol of this study. The bowl of water will be a reminder of our baptism and of God’s call and claim on us. As you begin the study, ask participants now to come forward, dip their finger in the water and make the sign of the cross on their forehead. Invite them to begin and end each session in this way as a personal reminder that God is present in this work and in their daily lives.

This session begins with the creation of the waters in the story of Genesis and will conclude with the vision of the river of the water of life in the last chapter of Revelation. These waters and all that they symbolize of God’s vision, provide the framework for the year. We enter the study, starting at the beginning with the story of creation.

Invite participants to place anything they are holding on the floor and to sit comfortably in their chairs. Lead the following reflection and reading from Genesis 1:

As I ponder upon the first chapter of Genesis, I believe it is a “stage setter” or a “layer of the foundation” for the rest of Scripture as to the kind of creator God we have and the kind of creation that was and is intended. As we enter the story, we experience a creator God who is diverse and harmonious and desires to be in relationship with creation and for all creation to be in that diverse and yet harmonious relationship.
This creation God of Genesis 1 is an intentional God – intentional about creation’s design and purpose. Enter the story to experience and feel it in new ways. Close your eyes and be still for a moment. Be in touch with your own breathing. Hear and imagine God’s vision as creation unfolds in your mind’s eye. Reflect on the words and feelings, sights and smells of this beautiful and powerful story. Let the images come alive. Listen and reflect on the names of creation, nothing the same, each with its purpose, yet working and flowing together. Feel the rhythm of creation as it comes to be. Move back with me to the beginning of creation as God first breathed life into our earth.

Read Genesis 1:1–31; 2:1-2

Listen for a moment longer to your breathing. Reflect on what it means for God to breathe life into you and all around you.

After some moments of silence, call people back to the current space and time. Invite them to open their eyes again and to look with new sight at those around.

Guide participants in a discussion of the reading and reflection:

• What thoughts and feelings did you have as you listened to the story of creation?
• Did you experience the story in any new or different ways? If so, how?
• What do you see as God’s vision for creation?
• Why do you think we begin this study on understanding who we are as White people with the story of creation?

Sing: WOV #767 “All Things Bright and Beautiful”

Conclude the devotion with prayer. Use the following prayer or say your own.

Creator God, we give you thanks and praise for the wonders of your creation. We marvel at the intricate diversity in all that you have made. We are filled with wonder at the unity and harmony of all things. We thank you for breathing your life and spirit into each of us. We ask you to be with us and to guide us as we begin this study. Walk with us through all the joys and challenges of this coming year. Sustain our spirits and open our hearts and minds to one another and to your leading. In your holy name we pray. Amen.

Introductions (30 minutes)
Welcome participants to this study and thank them again for their commitment to engage in this time together through the year.

Model the introductions for the group by 1) introducing yourself, 2) sharing why you have committed yourself to facilitate this study, and 3) tell who or what is important in your life at this point in time.

Ask participants to introduce themselves to the group, sharing 1) their name, 2) what has brought them to this study, and 3) who or what is important in their lives at this point in time.
Overview (15 minutes)
Participants should have received the Pre-reading Materials and Journey Overview one to two weeks prior to this first meeting; however, it is important to review the journey and commitment that is expected.

- Invite questions or concerns related to the pre-readings of Definitions of Racism and White Privilege, Why a Resource on Privilege for White Christians, and Entering the Waters. List concerns on newsprint and remind participants that you will be coming back to these issues throughout the study and you are not looking for agreement at this time.
- Review the Journey Overview, listing all meeting dates currently scheduled. Check scheduling needs for future sessions.
- Review the focus and emphasis for the sessions in the Season of Advent.
- Emphasize the importance of the community of this group for shared learning and growth, and the expectation that all participants put attendance at all sessions as a priority.
- Ask for any questions or concerns.
- Remind participants that if they haven’t completed the pre-assessment form to do so before the next session. Check that all people have the form. Emphasize the importance of filling out the form as a means to reflect on personal growth and to evaluate the effectiveness of this resource at the end of the year. Remind them that the form is for their personal use. They may keep the form in their folder or you can collect the forms and keep them in a safe place until the end of the study process.

Group Guidelines (10 minutes)
Have the following guidelines pre-printed on newsprint. Read through the six guidelines as significant points to constantly keep in mind. Add brief words of explanation for each, using the following explanations as examples. Leave the guidelines posted during the sessions.

- **Listen to each other’s stories.** Give each person full attention as they speak, listening as much with your heart as with your ears.
- **Respect each person’s journey.** Understand that we all have different prior experiences and learnings. We are all at different places on the journey of understanding ourselves as White people and our place within a larger race-based society. Emphasize that all of us are on a continual journey of growth and that we can respect each person even when our places on that journey differ. When we disagree with one another or challenge one another, we do it with respect, and not as an attack.
- **No one can speak a third time until everyone has a chance to speak once.** We use this as a guideline to keep us mindful of the need to hear everyone in the group and to give time and space for everyone to speak. Some need more time to think and process before speaking; we lift up this rule to help us self-monitor. We will also monitor ourselves as a group if some begin to dominate the conversation.
- **Be willing to share and grow.** This is essential for the process. Growth is not always easy. We usually grow the most through those times in which we have been most uncomfortable. We may need to challenge old understandings and even find some of our belief systems shaken. But we are in the journey together. We will grow most as a group if each of us individually is open to the process, sharing our doubts, questions, and vulnerabilities.
- **Speak only for yourself.** Share your own experiences and feelings and be aware of the tendency to generalize or speak for others.
• Respect confidentiality. This is very important for us to build trust with one another and to feel safe within this group. During our time together we will be sharing some of our life stories and experiences and we need to hold those stories as sacred among us. In sharing with others outside this group, we may talk about how we have changed or grown, but it is important not to share another person’s story unless they have given permission. It is important for all of us to know that what is shared in confidence with this group will be held in confidence.

Ask for any questions or clarifications. Also ask for any additional guidelines that anyone would like to add and be prepared to add those to the list.

Ask for an indication of agreement from each person around the circle.

Rivers and Revelation (40 minutes)
Introduce the symbol of the river and its meaning and significance for this study. (5 minutes)

As we heard in the opening devotion, on the second day of creation—early in the beginning of the universe—God brought the waters together, dry land appeared and the creation of life began. Water has been and continues to be a source for life. Satellites continue to probe the planet Mars in order to determine the presence of water—and, therefore, the presence of life.

Water is essential to our physical lives, and as a visible sign in baptism, is also central to our spiritual lives. The African American spiritual “Wade in the Water” includes the often repeated line, “God’s a-goin—a trouble the waters.” Whether it was sung in times past as a coded slave song or sung today as a song of Baptism, it speaks to the troubling waters that can save.

Water can be troubling and overpowering when we feel and fear its strength, and yet troubled waters can be healing, as in the stirred waters of a whirlpool. In this study we will focus on water both as it troubles us and heals us.

We will begin our study by entering the river of our stories, sharing an experience of powerful troubled waters and of healing waters.

Model the sharing of river/water stories. One facilitator can share stories of both an experience of a fast-flowing river or other powerful troubled waters and an experience of a peace-filled and healing river or waters. If two facilitators are present, each could share one of the stories. Address feelings of fear, powerlessness, disturbance, calm, peace, etc., related to the stories.

Invite participants to gather into groups of three. (15 minutes)

In their small groups invite participants to take four minutes each to share their stories. They can choose to have each person first share their troubled water story and then their healing water story, or have each person share both stories together. Remind them to address the feelings related to those stories. Encourage the groups to watch the time, making sure everyone has an opportunity to speak. Indicate time halfway through to help them mark the time.

Staying in their small groups, call their attention to the center. Briefly process the story sharing:

• What thoughts or feelings did you have as you shared your story or listened to others?
• What meanings or insights did you have about the power and significance of water in our lives?
Invite participants, staying where they are, to listen to a reading of several passages from the book *Enter the River* by Jody Miller Shearer. (5 minutes)

In a poetic sense, each story in this book is a stream, each stream leads to the river, and the river is a source of healing. We live in a world afflicted with racism. The affliction leaves us wounded. The river runs over our wounds, enters their depth, cleans them, and leads us on to more healing (p.13).

In many ways the journey to understand racism is also a journey to the river. It is not a journey across or back again, but a journey to enter in. Only when we enter in as equals will the river heal us. The river is mighty, flowing full of healing, but tinged with the pain of our history. Do not enter lightly or alone.

. . . Understanding the strengths and weaknesses of my own culture and the power I carry on either side of the river comes first. Only then can I hope to enter the river and find healing (p.32).

God also created the healing river. I cannot get away from it. The river draws me on, moves me forward, washes over me, washes over us all.

Which river is this? It is the Mississippi and the Jordan. It is the power of love and the necessity of redemption. It is our present, past, and future. It flows through the heart of our nation and the soul of our belief. The river is the hope of racial reconciliation (p.176).


Invite participants to again turn to their small groups and share their reactions to this reading and their feelings of entering the river of this study. (10 minutes)

- What touched you in Shearer’s description of entering the river as a metaphor for this journey from privilege to partnership?
- How do your experiences and feelings of entering troubled and healing waters speak to your feelings of entering the troubled and healing waters of this journey?
- Is there anything you need from this group to help you enter the waters of understanding racism and what it means to be White?

Gather participants back into the large group. (5 minutes)

- Ask them to share insights or understandings that they would like to share with the larger group.
- Ask for any needs that were expressed in entering the waters of this study.

In summary, you may wish to share the following water stories and feelings that were shared by a participant in a resource pilot event in Seattle, Washington:

- **Troubling Water Story:** Growing up on the free flowing Stillaquamish River was exciting and fun. But early in my teens the Corps of Engineers built a dam on the river. Not only did it contain the water, but it seemed to bring everything to a standstill.

- **Healing Water Story:** The water of the ocean became my place of healing. The constant ebb and flow of the waves and sounds seems to soothe my soul.

- **Entering the Waters of this Study:** My feelings on entering the troubled and healing waters of this study are like that river dam – holding back feelings! I need or want this study to help me chip a hole in that dam so I can truly understand racism and what it means to be White. And I need the group to push me forward so I don’t run back and try to stick my thumb in the hole to stop the process or flow.
Assignment and Journaling
Encourage participants to begin a journal for reflections throughout the year. Ask them to write down their thoughts, insights or questions after each session and to record their feelings and perceptions throughout the course of this study. Encourage them to reflect on any changes they notice in their own awareness, understanding, or behavior related to race. Remind them that this journal is their own private reflection, and may be a source for discovery and growth.

Hand out the worksheet of “Story of My Cultural Journey.” Ask participants to reflect on these questions during the coming week and to take notes as thoughts and memories come forth. There will be time during the next session to draw their cultural journey.

Closing (10 minutes)
Remind participants that this study began with a reading from the first chapter of Genesis, and will close with a reading from the last chapter of Revelation. Ask them to listen to these verses in light of the prior discussion of the rivers.

Revelation 22:1-2: *Then the angel showed me the river of the water of life, bright as crystal, flowing from the throne of God and of the Lamb through the middle of the street of the city. On either side of the river, is the tree of life with its twelve kinds of fruit, producing its fruit each month; and the leaves of the tree are for the healing of the nations.*

Ask for a sharing of words, phrases, or images of God’s vision for creation in this text.

Ask for any images, feelings, or thoughts that they will carry with them as they leave.

Ask for any prayer requests. Close with prayer.

Invite participants as they leave to again touch the water as a reminder of their baptism.
Remembering Stories

**Purpose**
In this session participants will reflect on the challenge laid before Zechariah to fully trust God's promise. They will look at the stories of their own cultural journeys, recalling who and where they came from and reflecting on how that has influenced and shaped their lives.

**Session objectives**
From this session, participants will be able to:

- Connect the story of Zechariah and Elizabeth with their own stories.
- Reflect on the symbol of the river as a metaphor for the journey of their lives.
- More fully understand their cultural journeys.
- Appreciate the power of sharing stories and finding meaning within those stories.

**Opening Devotions (10 minutes)**
Invite people to remember the water of their baptism as you begin the session.

“Wade in the Water” is an African American spiritual, one of the many historical songs that came from the spirit of a people struggling to be free. Many of the songs carried codes for escape, such as the reference in this song to wading in the water to escape from scent-seeking dogs. While the words may have changed through time, the song “Wade in the Water” continues to speak to God’s liberating presence and power. As we are troubled in this study to see things in new ways, we may also experience healing and new freedom.

Song: Renewing Worship #R159 “Wade in the Water”


- What images or thoughts came to mind as you listened to those readings again?
- Share any images or thoughts you had about troubled waters or the healing waters of life during this past week.

God’s creative and redeeming power is present from the beginning of Genesis through the last chapter of Revelation. Throughout the Bible flow the image of the movement of waters and the life-giving river opened. As we wade into the waters of the stories of our own lives during this session, we do so knowing that the water of God’s love surrounds us, heals us and gives us life.

Conclude with prayer.
Welcome and beginning (5 minutes)
Check in with participants on how they are doing. Invite anyone to speak to any experiences or thoughts they had related to the discussion of the previous week.

The Story of Zechariah: Luke 1:5-25; 57-66 (20 minutes)

The story of Zechariah and Elizabeth is not included in the regular cycle of readings that we hear each Sunday and may not be familiar to some of us, but it is a story that sets in motion a new beginning of God’s presence with people. The story of the New Testament begins with Zechariah performing his duties in the Temple according to all the prescribed customs. Zechariah finds it difficult to trust in the promise and vision and is forced into silence. When he is asked to name his son according to the cultural tradition, Zechariah breaks with the culture and follows God’s command.

Listen to the story, but listen in a new way. As we begin this journey, listen with the lens of White privilege. What identity do you have with Zechariah as a person of power and privilege within his community? What vision did Zechariah see and how did he respond? What is the meaning of Zechariah’s break from cultural tradition in naming his son? In this season of Advent in what ways are you called to begin anew? What are you asked to speak?

Read Luke 1:5-25; 57-66. Invite participants to follow along in their Bibles.

Engage participants in discussion and reflection on the text.

- Who is Zechariah in this text? What is the vision he sees? How does he respond?
- What meaning do you find in Zechariah’s inability to speak and the return of his voice?
- Why do you think fear came over all their neighbors? Of what were they afraid?
- What meaning do you find for yourself in this text as you read it through the lens of White privilege?
- To what new ways of thinking or seeing are you being called?
- What are your hopes or fears for this study being a turning point in your journey?

My Story of Cultural Journey

Introduction (5 minutes)
In the busyness of our lives, we seldom take time to look back and reflect on where we came from. We often overlook the people who gave us life and brought us to where we are today. Re-membering is a process of putting back together the parts or members of our past in order to find more complete wholeness. We need to re-member ourselves—to put ourselves back together in community. Looking back may be filled with joy and celebration or tears and sadness and pain, but we enter into the stories of our past to walk back out with new awareness and understanding.

In searching out who we are as White people in this society and church, we need to walk back into the waters of our own cultural journeys to search out who our people were. One of the ways racism has deeply hurt White people has been to take away our identity as part of an ethnic community. All of our ancestors came to this country with ethnic identities. Often that part was taken away or given up in order to become “White”—to become part of a more privileged class. One step in our unpacking of what it means to be
White is to re-claim who we are—to take pride in who our people were and where they came from, and who we are today.

Jody Shearer said it well in his words in Enter the River.

In many ways the journey to understand racism is also a journey to the river. It is not a journey across or back again, but a journey to enter in. Only when we enter in as equals will the river heal us. The river is mighty, flowing full of healing, but tinged with the pain of our history. Do not enter lightly or alone. . . . Understanding the strengths and weaknesses of my own culture and the power I carry on either side of the river comes first. Only then can I hope to enter the river and find healing (p.32).

In his book We Can’t Teach What We Don’t Know, Gary Howard reflects on the journey back into the river to find healing. He writes of his son Benj who lost his best friend Matt to a tragic death in the gorge of the Rio Grande River. Leaving college, he wanted to go back to the river and come to terms with Matt’s death.

Benj’s move to Taos was a mythic act of courage. He had chosen to go into the den of the beast, to face directly the fear and the pain and the confusion he felt. In Taos he met the young man who had been living with Matt at the time of his death, and together they formed a strong bond that helped to heal their mutual wound. In the gorge of the Rio Grande they built a monument of remembrance to their friend and helped each other through a long, cold New Mexico winter.

The next spring Benj got a job with a white-water expedition company in the Grand Canyon of the Colorado River. . . . He now takes his customers on eight-day adventures through the wildest water in the West and has fallen in love with the beauty and mystery of his new canyon home. What began for him as a journey of pain and loss in the Southwest has gradually transformed his life into a time of healing and discovery. He was wiser than I at the time he chose to go to Taos, and I am thankful now that I did not give into my fears and temptations to interrupt his deeper instincts for growth (pp. 65-66).

Reprinted by permission of the publisher from Howard, Gary, We Can’t Teach What We Don’t Know: White Teachers, Multiracial Schools (New York: Teachers College Press, © 1999 by Teachers College, Columbia University. All rights reserved.), pp. 62, 65-68.

We enter into our stories to find new healing and wholeness.

**Drawing “The Story of My Cultural Journey” (20 minutes)**

Invite participants to spend the next 20 minutes drawing the story of their cultural journey. If they wish, they can visualize it as a river, but there is no limit to the imagery, words or other style they wish to use.

Review the sheet “The Story of My Cultural Journey” as a guide for this exercise. Using the notes they have taken during the week, point out the instructions to “Identify ways you could depict your answers in picture, symbols, etc. Draw your cultural journey.” Point out that as they draw, new thoughts and memories may emerge. Remind them that this is a sharing of story, not an artistic work.

Hand out 8.5 x 14 (or larger) sheets of paper and have enough markers or crayons available for participants. Tell participants that when they return from their drawing in 20 minutes, they will meet in small groups to share their stories to the extent they wish to share. Before sending them off, have participants count off by the number of groups you will need to have groups of four.

As a facilitator, if you have not already drawn your own journey in relation to the questions, you can also use this time to do so.
Small group sharing (35 minutes)
As participants gather in their groups of four, ask them to each take eight minutes to share the story of their cultural journey. Keep a timer to call time at each eight-minute interval to give everyone equal time.

Large group process (15 minutes)
Call the participants back into the large group to process the activity.
• What thoughts or feelings did you have as you worked through the questions and prepared your drawing?
• How did it feel to share your story and to listen to the story of others?
• What common threads or connections did you find in the sharing?
• What differences did you experience among the stories in your group?
• What awareness did you have of yourself as being White?
• What did you learn or discover through this process?

Journaling assignment (5 minutes)
Remind participants to continue to use their journal to reflect on their feelings and thoughts. As they are able in the coming weeks, invite them to ask family members about issues of heritage or memories of stories of inclusion or exclusion in this country. What role did cultural identity play in earlier generations? What experiences or events helped to shape or change that identity through the years?

Closing (5 minutes)
Close again with the reading from Revelation 22. Invite participants to listen to these verses again in light of the experiences and sharing of this session.
Revelation 22:1-2: Then the angel showed me the river of the water of life, bright as crystal, flowing from the throne of God and of the Lamb through the middle of the street of the city. On either side of the river is the tree of life with its twelve kinds of fruit, producing its fruit each month; and the leaves of the tree are for the healing of the nations.

Ask for a sharing of any images, feelings or thoughts that they will carry with them as they leave.

Ask for any prayer requests. Close with prayer.

Again invite participants, if they wish, to remember their baptism with the symbol of water.
(Note: If it is difficult for your group to schedule three sessions during the Season of Advent, you may schedule this session after Christmas.)
Season of Advent

Session 3

Brokenness and Promise

Purpose
This session moves from the vision of creation to the brokenness of human community. Participants will engage in the struggles of issues of dominance and broken relationships told in the Genesis stories of Adam and Eve and Cain and Abel. They will work with the image of a river in depicting the intersection of the peoples of the United States.

Session Objectives
From this session, participants will be able to:

• Deepen their understanding of the impact of dominance and control on human relationships, from Adam and Eve to present day America.
• Understand the river as a metaphor for life together in the United States.
• Articulate an awareness of the dominating role that White people have played in U.S. history.

Opening Devotion (10 minutes)
Invite people to remember the water of their baptism as you begin the session.

Introduce the song by noting that it was written by John Newton, who was a former slave trader. Invite participants to hold that context in their minds and hearts as they sing and to hear their own context and meaning.

Song: LBW #448 “Amazing Grace, How Sweet the Sound”

Reflection:
• Ask participants to reflect on the words of this song written by a former slave ship captain.
• What words or images of brokenness did you see or hear in this song?
• How do the words of the song convey a sense of hope in this season of Advent?
• What reflections do you have this Advent season on brokenness and hope?

Conclude with prayer.

Welcome and Beginning (5 minutes)
Check in with participants. Invite anyone to speak to any discoveries or thoughts about their cultural journey or other thoughts related to the study.
The River

Introduction (15 minutes)
We began our study in the waters of creation and the river of life in Revelation. We will begin there to create an image of human life within the waters of God’s love.

Ask participants to take the blue crepe paper and form a river on the long piece of paper on the wall. Unroll the crepe paper and use everyone in the group to work together to shape a river. Move it and shape it so it flows and gives a sense of the flow of a river. Tape it in place.

Recall together words that convey God’s vision for creation.

The Vision is Broken: Genesis 3:1-7 and 4:1-16 (15 minutes)
We began our study with God’s vision of harmony, peace, and healing in the story of creation and the verses of Revelation 22. But that vision of God was interrupted early in the story of humankind. We shared our own stories and found connections with one another, but we also know that our relationships are often broken and disrupted. In this session we will focus on our brokenness as God’s people and as a community of people in this country. We will begin to look at our nation—and our church—as a river of people.

Read Genesis 3:1-7 and 4:1-16. Invite participants to follow in their Bibles.

Discuss the text as a large group:

• What happened to God’s vision for creation in these verses?
• How is the relationship between God and humans - and among humans - broken?
• What is the cause of the disruption of God’s vision?

Provide commentary as needed:
As we reflect on these verses, which immediately follow the creation story, we are confronted with the brokenness and disruption in creation and in our relationships when creation becomes disconnected from the creator’s original intent and purpose. These verses remind us of our human/creature desire to be like God in terms of power, control, and dominance, and our lack of accountability and responsibility to be in healthy and respectful relationships with God and all of creation. Relationships require mutuality, equality, nourishment, and responsibility to be in accordance with the creator’s intent. As is evidenced in these two accounts and throughout human history, we humans have often failed in understanding what it means for us to be made in the image or likeness of God. Our temptation “to be like God,” is to have power over someone, to be in control of who and what we can, with little awareness of consequences. We have failed to see that God uses power not to control or dominate but to reveal strength in vulnerability. The promises of Advent are made real not in Jesus’ domination on earth, but in his going to the cross and dying. God’s power is manifested as God brings forth courage in us to speak the truth to power—speaking truth in government, church, and our personal lives. This story of brokenness involving Adam and Eve and Cain and Abel highlights the story of human relationships throughout the centuries in terms of privilege and control between peoples, races, and countries.
The River of America (35 minutes)

Gary Howard, in his book We Can’t Teach What We Don’t Know, gives us an image of the river as the river of America. As you listen to this reading, think of the symbolic river of life that you created. How is God’s river impacted by the coming of people into that river? Consider what happens to the flow of a river and the impact on the land around it when it is dammed or put into concrete walls or otherwise dominated. What role has dominance played in the river of America?

Gary Howard writes of his journey down the Colorado River and through the Grand Canyon with his son Benj as guide:

On my first journey into the Canyon, I learned that the Colorado is not merely one river. Along the 276-mile stretch between Glen Canyon Dam and Lake Mead, many streams and tributaries join the Colorado. Each stream flows down a unique and separate side canyon, bringing water and silt from miles away, far beyond the rim of the Canyon. The color of the river changes constantly as fresh deposits enter the main channel. And the colors of the many tributaries themselves are in continuous flux, depending on the level and distribution of rainfall in their particular drainage systems.

On a recent journey down the Colorado, the river was flowing clear and blue when we put in at Lee’s Ferry. Soon, the Paria River brought a silt of whitish clay into the main channel, and the water took on a cloudy appearance. Later, the Little Colorado was flowing at high volume and added its thick and reddish-brown water to the Colorado, leaving it a rich and creamy ochre-brown. At the Havasu River we encountered a water of crystal-clear turquoise, the namesake of the Havasupai Indians who live in a small village in the side canyon, “the People of the blue-green water.” Throughout the eight days of our journey, as we passed each new side canyon and observed each subtle shift in the texture and hue of the river, I thought of our rich cultural diversity as a nation.

At its original source, the river of America was formed by the Native People of the continent. With their many languages, cultures, and traditional land bases, they were, and are still today, a richly varied stream. Then came the people of Europe, from different lands and cultures, and changed the river of America considerably upon their arrival. To this mixture came the people of Africa, who came locked in chains in the cargo bays of slave ships, not as willing immigrants. In spite of the pain and tragedy surrounding their arrival, Americans of African descent have added their rich and ancient cultural traditions to the river of America. Latino people were present as well, many of them mestizo, a mixture of Indian and European blood, a new people formed by the confluence of different streams that have contributed to the larger river. And the people of Asia and the Middle East came from their many cultures and homelands, adding even more currents of uniqueness to the larger river.

The river of America, like the Colorado, never stops changing. New streams are continually forming, bringing diverse religions, languages, cultures, tastes, styles, and traditions into the composite channel. As a nation, we are constantly influenced by both internal and external currents of change. Cultural groups within our borders evolve, adapt, migrate, intermarry with other groups, and transform themselves over generations and decades of change and flow. External events in Southeast Asia, Central America, Eastern Europe, the Middle East, and Africa stimulate the flow of more people and cultures into the larger river. As is true for the side canyons of the Colorado, those of us already on the river below cannot see all the historical and cultural terrain these new arrivals have traversed in their journey to join us in the river of America. We cannot know all that they have experienced in their homelands, yet they touch our lives, change us, and make us deeper and richer as a nation because of what they bring to the river.

I realize that this vision of the river of diversity may appear considerably idealized. In actual experience there has been much pain and struggle in these waters, particularly in those places where various cultural streams have met, in both the United States and other nations of the West. In fact, I have
learned from my son that the wildest rapids along the Colorado are created in the confluences, those places where tributaries join the main river. Over eons of time, large floods in the side canyons have occasionally washed huge boulders into the main channel, sometimes completely stopping its flow. The river would build up tremendous pressure behind these temporary dams, and when it finally broke through, a major rapid would be left as a memory of the tumultuous event. These places of turmoil are the most exciting for white-water rafters, but they are also the most dangerous. The same is true for the confluence of cultures. The places where we meet across our differences as human beings can provide stimulating and adventurous opportunities for new learning, but they have also been places of pain, conflict, and loss. . . .

River guides say that the most dangerous places along the Colorado are the “hydraulics.” These are deep holes of powerful recirculating current created on the downriver side of large boulders in the main channel. River guides know that the larger hydraulics can endanger even their 40-foot power rafts, holding them captive in the middle of the current or capsizing their passengers at the whim of the river. A guide must be familiar with the nature and location of these holes and respect their power. On the river of diversity, the holes are a metaphor for the dynamics of White dominance, which have been recirculating for centuries in our institutional practices and cultural assumptions and have always endangered our journey toward unity and social justice (pp 66-68).

Reprinted by permission of the publisher from Howard, Gary, We Can’t Teach What We Don’t Know: White Teachers, Multiracial Schools (New York: Teachers College Press, © 1999 by Teachers College, Columbia University. All rights reserved.), pp. 62, 65-68.

Tell the group that they will be creating a sense of the impact and interaction of the peoples of the United States within the river of life that was created. Remind them that God’s river is always present. Divide the group into five groups, counting off from 1-5.

Give each of the groups a color of crepe paper: red, white, black, brown, yellow and orange, representing the indigenous peoples of America and people of European, African, Latino, Asian and Arab/Middle Eastern heritages. Invite them to talk about the gifts of their assigned people and discuss where and how to place a tributary of that group on the wall.

• Ask them to consider the placement, flow, size, etc., of their tributary so that it can depict the flow of the people relative to the other groups and to its view of its place in the river.

• At the “head waters” of their tributary, have them write several gifts that the people of this heritage bring to the river.

When each of the groups has decided on how they will place their tributary, invite the groups to come up and work with one another in creating the river. Tape the final product in place.

Discuss the process and the image of the river:

• What feelings and thoughts did you have as you worked to describe the gifts and the placement of your assigned group’s tributary?

• What did you notice in the interaction of the group as you worked together to put your various tributaries in place?

• What do you see in this river now?

• How are the stories of Adam and Eve and Cain and Abel played out in a larger way in the story of the river?
ELCA Social Statement— A Time to Confront Racism (20 minutes)

Hand out copies of the ELCA Social Statement “Freed in Christ: Race, Ethnicity, and Culture.” Invite participants to read the entire statement at another time. At this time, ask participants to turn to page 16, #2. “A Time to Confront Racism.” Read and discuss the statement, keeping in mind the river and the stories of Genesis 3 and 4.

- Read this section of the statement, inviting individuals to each read a paragraph out loud.
- Paragraph 1 defines racism as a mix of power, privilege, and prejudice. How does the river manifest that definition?
- Paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 talk about the various ways racism affects the lives of both White people and people of color. How does the river portray those effects?
- How has your life in the river of America been affected by racism?
- What meaning or insights do the stories of Genesis 3 and 4 bring to an understanding of racism and what it means to be White in America?
- Ask for other thoughts, insights or questions.

Christmas and Epiphany Assignment and Journaling (10 minutes)

Remind the group that the seasons of Christmas and Epiphany will be seasons for going out to listen and to discern the gifts that are received from people of other cultures and races.

Hand out squares of black, red, brown, yellow and orange paper. As they talk with others and read and listen during these next weeks, ask participants to be alert to the gifts that people of other races and cultures bring to the whole human community. Ask them to write those gifts on the slips of paper and bring them back for the first session in Lent. Those gifts will be added to the tributaries.

Encourage participants to spend time noticing people they interact with in their daily lives, especially noting the people of color with whom they have contact. Review the following guidelines: Be alert to the person or people that you may have been reluctant to approach or whom you have wanted to get to know better. Pray about the person and consider approaching him or her to have a conversation. Be careful not to be dominating or demanding. Be honest and authentic in truly wanting to get to know this person. Be willing to step outside your comfort zone. If the response is no, accept it graciously. Work at building a relationship. Share your journey in engaging in this study and your commitment to learning more about who you are as a White person and what that identity means in relationship to other people and your place in the world. Ask the person if they would be willing to share some of the story of their heritage if you share some of yours. You may want to begin with a sharing of Christmas, Kwanzaa, Three Kings or other festivals.

As you engage in conversation, notice if you want to jump in and give an explanation about how you see what the person is talking about, or if you want to defend someone or some system. Simply listen. Try not to respond, interpret, defend, or explain away what the person may be feeling or trying to share with you. Listen with an open and receiving heart and mind. Hear, listen and take in the stories of others as a very precious gift.

Hand out a list of books and videos for reading and viewing. Encourage participants to read or view at least three resources on the list if they will not be able to engage in direct conversation with a person.

Ask them to continue to write in their journals. Have them note their feelings and thoughts as they relate to people who are new to them or as they discover new information and learn more of the journey of other people in this country.

Set a date midway through Epiphany for the group to come together to share their learnings, struggles, challenges, questions, and joys. They may also wish to schedule a date to view a video together as a group.
Season of Advent

Session 3 (continued)

**Closing** (10 minutes)
Ask participants to each share one thing they have learned, appreciated, or see differently as a result of these three sessions.

Read Genesis 9:12-17.

Invite one of the participants to read the following commentary:

As we enter more deeply into the season of Advent, we reflect on our preparation to receive God’s promises. We have begun to enter more deeply into the journey of reflecting on our place among God’s people. We leave the session with a word of hope and promise.

In these verses of Genesis 9, the writer continues to draw the picture of who the creator God is. In this post-flood story we hear and see the restoration and promise of creation and its relationship with the creator. The restoration and promise takes a visible sign that portrays who God is and God’s design and intention for creation. From time to time we see in the sky this beautiful sign of God’s promise to restore the brokenness of creation’s relationships—we know it as the rainbow. It is not one-dimensional but full of color and symmetry. Each color is distinct but not separated from each other. The rainbow reflects the creator’s intent for the races and cultures of the world, each is distinct and unique but is also to be in relationship and partnership with one another in mutuality and respect. The colors of the rainbow are not placed in different parts of the sky but are joined together in one arc of beauty and symmetry reflecting God’s intent for the races and cultures of the world.

As we see today, and so often throughout human history, the various races, cultures, and religions of the world are at conflict with one another either through outright war and violence, or through racial disparities, discriminatory policies of the governing authorities, and use and abuse of privilege. The creator God’s desire and design for creation is not being fulfilled—“the bow in the sky” is broken. As we approach the time of God’s coming to us in human flesh, we are challenged to consider what it means for us to be created in God’s image—to live out that image of God in the world.

What does it mean to be God’s image in the world, but to be an example of the creator’s intent for the original rainbow—to be a sign of God’s promise to restore brokenness in the human family and the whole ecosystem. God’s intent for creation, for each of us, is to be our unique and distinct self but to remember that the sign of God’s covenant is the rainbow. The rainbow joined together in one bow, one arc, one human family that hurts and suffers when any other part of it is taken advantage of, abused, dominated, or killed. God’s promise is an overarching promise. God placed a bow in the sky. And God sent God’s own son to help us know and understand what it truly means to be human with one another as God was human with us. Go in God’s promise and peace.

Close with prayer.

Invite participants to carry the covenant promises of God with them by remembering the water of their baptism.
Listening and Learning

This session should be scheduled approximately halfway between the last session in Advent and the next scheduled session in Lent.

An alternative session can be scheduled for participants to come together to view and discuss a video. Or if the group chose to read a common book, have participants come together for a book discussion.

**Purpose**

During this time together, participants will share their learnings and insights from their conversations with people of color, their readings, or viewing. They will be challenged to continue to stretch their comfort zones and to hear new voices in the remaining weeks.

**Session Objectives**

From this session, participants will be able to:

- Identify perspectives and viewpoints that are different from what they had been taught.
- Express an appreciation for hearing the voices and perspectives of people of color.
- Continue to grow in their desire to broaden their search to hear and learn.
- Share reading and video lists that tell more of the story of the United States and its people.

**Opening Devotion (15 minutes)**

Invite people to remember the water of their baptism as you begin the session.

**Song:** WOV #651 “Shine, Jesus, Shine”

**Reading:** 1 Kings 19:9b-13

**Questions for Reflection**

- Would God have reason to ask, “What are you doing here, church? Why aren’t you out in the community among my people?”
- What fears do we have that keep us from going out?
- What are the voices and noise that are clamoring for our attention?
- Which sounds do we need to hear?
- How do we come to hear what God is asking us to do and where God is calling us to go as a church?

Conclude with prayer.
Welcome and Beginning (10 minutes)
Check in with participants. Invite them to share stories or new learnings of their cultural journeys as they gathered with family and friends during the Christmas season.

Sharing the Epiphany Journey (60 minutes)
Invite participants to share their experiences.

• Conversations with people of color—What have they heard and learned? How has the experience been for them? What feelings have they had? What have been the challenges? What gifts did they discover in people?
• Books they have read—What new insights, perspectives, or understanding were presented? How did that compare or contrast with their previous learnings?
• Videos they have viewed—What questions were raised for them? What new perspectives or insights did they come to?

Invite participants to share their excitement or appreciation for what they have learned or what they have come to see differently. What do they hope to continue to learn? How do they hope to continue to grow?

Invite participants to share fears, hesitancies or concerns that they have encountered in themselves or others. What support do they need for their next steps?

Invite participants to share their plans for conversations, reading, or viewing in the remaining time. Be prepared to offer suggestions from the reading or video list based on the challenges and hopes they have expressed.

Assignment and Journaling
Encourage participants to continue to listen for the seldom heard voices in the next weeks. Encourage participants to continue to journal and to discern the places where God is calling them to go—despite their fears.

Closing (5 minutes)
Sing again WOV #651 “Shine, Jesus, Shine”

Close with prayer, including any special requests or needs. Invite them to carry a reminder of the water of baptism with them as they leave.
Searching for What is Lost

Purpose
This session helps participants explore the loss for White people living in a racist society. Participants will engage in the stories of the lost sheep and the lost coin from Luke 15 and will explore the meaning of the texts through the lens of racism. Participants will be introduced to the concept of individualism as a White cultural value and will explore the resulting loss of human community.

Session Objectives
From this session, participants will be able to:

• Read Scripture through a different lens to find new meaning.
• Articulate what they have lost as a result of being "White."
• Understand individualism as a cultural value and the tension it creates for human community.

Opening Devotion (10 minutes)
Invite participants to remember the water of their baptism as you begin the session.

Often when we look at racism, we can identify how racism hurts people of color. We don’t often see how it hurts White people. This session will focus on what we as White people have lost because of racism. The parables of the Lost Sheep and the Lost Coin will guide us in our search. The season of Lent will be a time of searching for where we have strayed from God’s wider community.

Song WOV #734 “Softly and Tenderly Jesus Is Calling”

Reading: Luke 15:1-10

Invite participants to share any thoughts, feelings, or stories about being lost and how it felt to be found.

Sing stanza 1 of #734 as a prayer.

Welcome and Beginning (20 minutes)
As participants come back together after the season of Epiphany, invite each of the participants to share what they learned from their conversations, reading or viewing.

Ask them to share feelings about not having known about or learned these things earlier in life.

Review the schedule for the season of Lent, including meeting dates and an overview of each of the session themes and activities.
The Lost One: Luke 15:1-10 – Parables of the Lost Sheep and the Lost Coin

Introduction and Readings (10 minutes)

Introduce the study for the season of Lent:

The season of Lent is a time of repentance. In Greek the word for repentance is metanoia—meaning to change, to turn away from and towards something; to give up and regain or restore that which was lost. Repentance means to literally turn around—to look at the direction one has been going and to turn around and walk in a new direction. During the season of Lent, we will look at the direction we have gone both as a country and as a church in race relations. We will take a long and hard look at what it has meant—both for ourselves and for people of color—for us as a people to have walked in this direction. We will struggle with the question of what has been lost through our history of domination in race relations, and we will struggle with the legacy of that loss. We will begin to ask how repentance can begin to help heal that which has been lost between the races—trust, mutual respect, gifts and talents, and so much more. We will look deeply into the wound of racism in order to excise the infection and stimulate healing from the inside out. It is not a process that will be completed in six weeks; rather, we embark on this path as a life-long journey of repentance, coming always to deeper and deeper healing.

In his book The Hidden Wound (1989), Wendell Berry gives us powerful words with which to embark on this journey:

... I have been unwilling until now to open in myself what I have known all along to be a wound—a historical wound, prepared centuries ago to come alive in me at my birth like a hereditary disease, and to be augmented and deepened by my life. If I had thought it was only the black people who have suffered from the years of slavery and racism, then I could have dealt fully with the matter long ago: I could have filled myself with pity for them, and would no doubt have enjoyed it a great deal and thought highly of myself. But I am sure it is not so simple as that. If white people have suffered less obviously from racism than black people, they have nevertheless suffered greatly; the cost has been greater perhaps than we can yet know. If the white man has inflicted the wound of racism upon black men, the cost has been that he would receive the mirror image of that wound into himself. As the master, or as a member of the dominant race, he has felt little compulsion to acknowledge it or speak of it; the more painful it has grown the more deeply he has hidden it within himself. But the wound is there, and it is a profound disorder, as great a damage in his mind as it is in his society.

This wound is in me, as complex and deep in my flesh as blood and nerves. I have borne it all my life, with varying degrees of consciousness, but always carefully, always with the most delicate consideration for the pain I would feel if I were somehow forced to acknowledge it. But now I am increasingly aware of the opposite compulsion. I want to know, as fully and exactly as I can, what the wound is and how much I am suffering from it. And I want to be cured; I want to be free of the wound myself, and I do not want to pass it on to my children.

Excerpt from The Hidden Wound, by Wendell Berry. Copyright © 1989 by Wendell Berry. Reprinted by permission of North Point Press, a division of Farrar, Straus and Giroux. LLC

Give participants some moments of silence to reflect on the reading.

In light of the words of Wendell Berry, invite them to again listen to the Parables of the Lost Sheep and the Lost Coin. As they listen, have them keep in mind the context of these parables in the Gospel of Luke. In the preceding chapters 13 and 14, the parables and actions of Jesus focus on reversing the images of the
realm of God and who will be invited into God’s reign. Invite them to listen with new ears. Where do they see themselves as White people in the parable? Who is it that is lost?

Read Luke 15:1-10 pausing between the reading of the two parables.

Small Group Discussion (20 minutes)
Ask participants to count off to form groups of four to discuss the reading and text.

- How did you experience and feel the sense of loss in these parables?
- With whom or what have you normally identified in this text? Did you identify any differently in this reading today?
- Who do you see as being lost from the larger community and at what consequence to the community?
- What would it mean to you if White people are the ones who are lost?
- The “Freed in Christ: Race, Ethnicity and Culture” Social Statement identifies effects and losses on White people because of racism. What have you lost because of racism?
- In the parables, and in life, what does it take for discovery and restoration to occur?

Large Group Feedback (15 minutes)

- Invite each group to share their thoughts and insights with the larger group.
- What meaning or insights do these parables bring to an understanding of what it means to be White?
- What feelings or thoughts do you have about thinking of yourself as a part of a group identified as being White?

The Lost One—Searching for Community

In most cases, the concept of thinking of oneself as part of a White group will be new to most participants. In order to build awareness of being White, engage participants in the following exercise.

“Whiteness” Exercise (15 minutes)

Ask participants to move into groups of three. In their small groups, tell them that one at a time they will each speak to the question, “When are you White?” They will each have two minutes, and you will call time at the end of each two-minute segment. Tell them that silence is OK. The two people who are listening can only fill the silence by repeating the question, “When are you White?”

When the time is complete, ask participants to take five minutes to process the exercise with each other in their group. What was it like to be asked that question? (i.e., Was it easy or difficult to respond? What feelings did you have?) What did you notice or learn?

As a large group, ask for feedback to the exercise. Ask people to indicate how often they have thought about this question and their level of awareness of being White every day.
Background

Present the following background:

Most of us have spent little time thinking of ourselves as being White. As Robert Terry has said, “Being White in America is never having to think about it.” For the most part, we grow up in the United States surrounded by images of Whiteness, reading about history from a White perspective, reading White authors, dealing with White people as the people in authority, and functioning with cultural norms that are based in western, European heritage. Our life is seen as normal and we generally do not wake up everyday looking at the color of our skin and having to prepare ourselves for how we will be treated because of our skin color. Peggy McIntosh speaks of our ability to be oblivious to our skin color—and all of the other advantages we receive—as White privilege. She talks about an invisible knapsack that we walk around with constantly. We did not ask for and we may not want it, but we have it. It is unearned and is simply given to us at birth. We may have other factors that work against us by virtue of gender, economic class, age, physical ability, or sexual orientation, but in our daily lives, the institutional systems that govern our lives are led by White people and are set up with White people in mind.

We tend, as White people, to see ourselves as individuals, rather than as members of a group. We describe ourselves as members of the human race, rather than as members of the White race. We see others as racial beings, and describe them as such, but we do not see ourselves or describe ourselves as White racial beings. We tend to group people of American Indian and Alaskan Native, African, Asian, Latin and Arab/Middle Eastern heritages as “multicultural,” but do not include ourselves as White people as one equal part of that multicultural mix. Our temptation is to define others and assign group characteristics, but to see and define ourselves as individuals.

Small Group Discussion (10 minutes)

Ask participants to again gather in their small groups.

- Reflect again on the question, “When are you White?”
- How important was identification of yourself as a part of a race of people in your growing up?
- Is race a usual part of your self-identification and introduction to others? Why or why not?
- Share your feelings about being identified as a part of “White people.”

Large Group Feedback (15 minutes)

- Ask participants how they are feeling.
- What are the questions, challenges, and struggles of dealing with being part of a White group?
- In the existence of racism, what is the loss for us as White people?
- Invite each person to share one thing they are thinking or feeling as result of this session.
Assignment and Journaling
Hand out the article “White Spaces” by Tobin Miller Shearer. Ask them to read the article before the next session.

Remind participants to continue to journal. Invite them to reflect in their journal on what it means to be part of a White group and the loss they experience because of being White.

Closing (5 minutes)

Sing WOV #734 “Softly and Tenderly Jesus Is Calling.”

Close with prayer.

Invite participants to carry a reminder of the water of their baptism with them on their forehead.
Purpose
In this session participants will explore the timeline of United States history, noting the dates and events that manifest systemic racism. Involvement in the shaping of the timeline will help participants grow in their awareness and understanding of White dominance.

Session Objectives
From this session, participants will be able to:

- Name events in United States history that were acts of oppression toward people of color.
- Articulate the pervasiveness of White dominance throughout United States history.
- Understand the definition of racism as a structural and systemic concept.
- Express feelings related to the history that was learned and the history that was not taught.

Opening Devotion (15 minutes)
Invite participants to remember the water of their baptism as you begin the session.
Remind participants of the “lost parables” from the previous session. The song recalls the wanderings of the lost son and asks for God’s presence in our own wanderings.

Song: WOV #733 “Our Father, We Have Wandered”

Reading: Paragraphs 2-6 in the article “White Spaces.”

Reflection Invite participants to share new or deepened understandings of how knowing who we are as White people might bring us nearer to the realm of God. Recall Tobin Shearer’s White spaces of isolation, control, loss, and loathing. How have participants experienced those places? What new meaning or insight did this article bring to understanding the cost of racism for White people?

Conclude with prayer.
**Welcome and Beginning** (5 minutes)
Check in with participants. Ask for other questions or thoughts that were not shared during the opening reflection.

**Unpacking the Timeline of the United States**

**Background** (20 minutes)

Call the attention of the group to the river that was created in session 3. State that the purpose of this session is to more fully understand the intersection of the people who have come to live in the current borders of the United States. It is also designed to more deeply understand the impact of the teaching of our history as a country. State that together you will develop a timeline of the United States within the river. In a later session, you will add the timeline of the Lutheran church or other denominational churches.

- Point to the stream of the red crepe paper. As a group, collectively recall the early history of North America prior to 1492. Address questions, such as: When did people first move into this land? Who were the people who lived here? What languages did they speak? How did their cultural traditions vary across the land from east to west? Who were the leaders of the peoples? What role did spirituality play in their lives? What were their forms of government? What were their forms of agriculture and commerce? How did those vary across the land from ocean to ocean? What knowledge and gifts did they have as a people?

- Talk about the ability or inability to answer those questions. Compare the ability to answer those questions about North America to the ability to answer those same questions about Europe over that same time frame.

- Point to the tributaries of black, yellow, brown, and orange on your river. Collectively share the stories and histories of Africans, Asians, Latinos, and Arab/Middle Easterners. What was the story of the lands from which they came? When did they come to this land? How did they come?

- Noting the five streams of people coming into this land after 1492, whose history do you know best? Why?

- What is the meaning of being an “American” and not knowing the history of this land and its indigenous people?

- What does it mean to be “American” for people of American Indian/Alaskan Native, African, Latino, Asian, and Arab/Middle Easterner heritages? Whose history and stories are they taught in school?

- What does it mean that “America” was “discovered” in 1492? What meaning does that language convey about the place of White people in history?

- What is told of history in this land from 1492 to 1607? Whose story is told from that point on?

Read the following quotation from Howard Zinn’s *A People’s History of the United States* both as a summary to the above discussion and introduction to the work on the timeline.

*When the Pilgrims came to New England they too were coming not to vacant land but to territory inhabited by tribes of Indians. The governor of the Massachusetts Bay Colony, John Winthrop, created the excuse to take Indian land by declaring the area legally a “vacuum.” The Indians, he said, had not “subdued” the land, and therefore had only a “natural” right to it, but not a “civil right.” A “natural right” did not have legal standing.*
The Puritans also appealed to the Bible, Psalm 2:8: “Ask of me, and I shall give thee, the heathen for thine inheritance, and the uttermost parts of the earth for thy possession.” And to justify their use of force to take the land, they cited Romans 13:2: “Whosoever therefore resisteth the power, resisteth the ordinance of God: and they that resist shall receive to themselves damnation” (pp. 13-14).


Small Group Discussion: Shaping the Timeline (25 minutes)
Divide into four small groups. Assign each of the groups a section of time:
1. 1607-1787  2. 1788-1864  3. 1865-1920  4. 1921-Present

Ask each group to:
- Review the preliminary list of dates.
- Brainstorm additional dates and events in their section of time.
- Read the sections from A People’s History of the United States that apply to their section of years.
- Discuss the relationships of people of European, African, Asian, Latino, Arab/Middle Eastern and indigenous heritages during this period of time.
- Choose one event on the timeline and talk about that event using three questions that are helpful in reading an historical account: 1) Who is telling the story? 2) Who is actor and who is acted upon? 3) How is the story different from other stories about the same event, especially those stories told by people who “lost”?
- Put their selected dates and events on the timeline or draw a symbol or symbols that depict this period of time.

Large Group Feedback (25 minutes)
- Ask each group to present their section of time, highlighting dates and events, perspectives in the telling of the story and relationships of people of different heritages.
- When the timeline is complete, ask participants what they see and their thoughts and feelings about what they see.
- How are the foundational attitudes expressed in Zinn’s book reflected in the timeline?
- Who has determined and controlled the course and direction of the timeline and the telling of the story of United States history?

Small Group Discussion (15 minutes)
Ask participants to re-gather in small groups to reflect on what this timeline means for them.
- What have you lost in the traditional telling of United States history?
- Where is your own story lost in this telling of United States history?
- What has been the benefit of being White in the United States?
- How does the telling of the story shape and influence your understanding of the world and your place in it?
Large Group Feedback (5 minutes)
Invite each small group to share responses from their discussion.

Assignment and Journaling
Hand out the article “Understanding White Privilege” by Frances E. Kendall. Ask them to read the article before the next session.
Remind participants to continue to journal. Invite them to reflect on the experience of building the timeline and their thoughts and feelings as they reflect on the meaning and impact of the timeline in their own lives.

Closing (10 minutes)
Invite each person to share what they learned, appreciated, or see differently as a result of this session.
Close with prayer, lifting up struggles, concerns, and new learnings that were expressed.
Invite participants to remember their baptism as they leave.
Purpose
It is difficult to deal with issues of White privilege and to change deeply socialized attitudes and ways of being. In this session participants will examine Jesus’ own struggles with issues of privilege and will explore their own place within a privileged system.

Session Objectives
From this session, participants will be able to:
- Define racism and social dominance as a structural paradigm.
- See their own struggles with privilege within the context of Jesus’ struggle with privilege.
- Understand the tension between the feelings of anger, guilt, and shame and the need for responsibility.

Opening Devotion (15 minutes)
Invite participants to remember the water of their baptism as you begin the session.

Begin the session with an acknowledgement that the journey of becoming aware of unspoken privilege can be difficult. This is a spiritual journey that Jesus walks with us.

Song: WOV #660 “I Want Jesus to Walk with Me”

Ask participants, as they are comfortable, to close their eyes and enter into the story of the Canaanite woman. Invite them to visualize the events as they unfold and to listen for words or phrases that stick with them.

Reading: Matthew 15:21-28

Give some time of silence to reflect on the text. Ask participants to share words and phrases that they heard.

Invite the participants to share a time when they have asked Jesus to walk with them.

Invite the class to name any people who they would like to lift up in special prayer for Jesus’ healing touch.

Conclude with prayer.
Welcome and Beginning (15 minutes)
Check in with participants. Ask for thoughts, feelings, or questions related to the assigned reading. If individuals are struggling with issues that will be dealt with in this session, invite them to continue to listen and wrestle with those issues. Affirm participants for their questions and struggles. Acknowledge the painful work that this journey requires and call attention again to the struggle that even Jesus had in recognizing and changing the perspectives he had been taught. State that the system of dominance has been put in place over a long period of time and that we have been carefully taught our place within that system. Recognizing the system and how we have been set up within it, and unlearning our role is a difficult and painful process. As people of faith, we know that Jesus walks with us in the journey and brings us healing.

Social Dominance and Privilege In Jesus’ Story and Ours

Jesus and the Canaanite Woman: Matthew 15:21-28 (30 minutes)
Divide the group into three groups. Tell them that you will be reading the story of Matthew 15 a second time. This time, they will listen and respond as one of the people in the story. Ask them to listen and participate in the story from that person’s perspective. After the reading, they will be talking with one another from the first person perspective of their assigned person.

- Group one listen as Jesus’ disciples
- Group two listen as the Canaanite woman
- Group three listen as Jesus

Reading: Matthew 15:21-28. Invite participants to follow in their Bibles.

Within the small groups, talk about your experience within the story:

- How do you see yourself?
- How do you see yourself in relationship to the others in the story?
- What feelings and reactions do you have to what is happening?

Gather as a large group and invite the three small groups to talk to one another from their perspective in the story, using the first person when they speak. It’s okay if they have differing perspectives within their collective identity.

When the conversation seems to be losing its intensity, invite participants to step out of their characters and back into the circle of the group.

- Ask for feedback on the conversation.
- What did you notice about the attitudes and interactions?
- What feelings did you have as your person in the story?
- Did you feel any conflicts or tensions within yourself? If so, what were they?
- What meaning or insights did you have as the conversation played out?
Use the commentary on Matthew 15 as a reference. Hand out the commentary for participants to take home and to reflect on during the week.

When one looks at this text through the lens of White privilege what an amazing revelation this is! It has much to say about Jesus and his humanity, his attitude toward this Gentile woman, the courage and intentionality of this woman, and the implications this has upon our church in dealing (or not dealing) with our own privilege (personally and institutionally). This text has much to say about privilege, the application of it, and how it was challenged—all of which from the first century is applicable to us in the 21st century. It goes without saying that the Jews of Jesus’ day had a definite underlying belief that they were a privileged people, e.g., God’s chosen people, and that belief had an affect on their relationships with other races. As we enter this text we get the sense that Jesus would really rather not deal with this Gentile woman. First, he does not respond to her. Second, he reiterates that his mission is primarily to the “house of Israel,” and third, he makes a clear racial preference for the Jews versus the Gentiles, or “the other” (children and dogs, vs.26).

I am not saying that the main point of this text is that Jesus “had not gotten it” about his own privilege and that after this brief exchange with the woman from Syrophoenicia he all of a sudden got it about the shortfalls of his own privilege. I am saying that this exchange between Jesus and the Gentile woman is an example and opportunity that Scripture provides for us as the ELCA to be open, to be vulnerable, to be challenged, and to be willing to look at and discuss White privilege and its daily operation in our lives, in our church, and in our society. I do believe that Matthew’s main point to his intended readers, the newly forming Christian community of the latter part of the first century, is that the Gentiles—of whatever race, country, or culture—were to be a part of this new community of believers.

Christendom confesses Jesus as fully God and fully human. As to the human part the Church confesses that Jesus was fully human, but without sin. It is not a new theological thought to say that Jesus as he lived his life out on earth was to some degree coming to a clearer understanding of who he was—from his first Passover visit to Jerusalem with Joseph and Mary to his going to the cross. Just as Jesus did not want to deal with this Gentile woman, so too, we as the dominant racial group in the ELCA and in society do not want to deal with the voice(s) calling us and challenging us to look at why we are still 97 percent White in a society that is less than 70 percent White. The voices are calling us and challenging us to seriously look at what White privilege is, how it affects us as White people, and affects our relationship to communities of color in our local communities and society. It was the persistent voice of this courageous Gentile woman that called to Jesus saying that “the other people” (the dogs, vs.26) belong to the masters and should be able to receive food from their table. Though we may not have “the other people” kneeling in front of us, I believe in many instances we do have people knocking on our church doors asking for assistance, or speaking in our local communities calling for justice, calling for allies to work for justice. We are called in this text to consider what has been and is our response to these voices/knocks at the door. How do our privilege and our stereotypes of “the other” affect the way we respond? Are we open, vulnerable, and willing to listen? Or, do we respond like the disciples—send them away to someone else (vs.23)? Or, are we resistant and wanting to avoid and not interact as Jesus did (vss.23 & 24)?

One of the key lessons from the text for members of the ELCA and other predominantly White denominations is that Jesus was in the end willing to sit down “eyeball to eyeball, heart to heart” with his neighbor who was different from him in culture, country, and religion. When we do that in our settings we begin to listen to the story of others, their version of history, their version of how things happened in this country, why events happened the way they did, and who benefited from all that and who continues to benefit. Our goal for this church is that we (as the White people in it) be as courageous and intentional
in being open to looking at and dealing with our privilege and its affects as this Syrophoenician woman was in calling Jesus to see her for who she was a fellow child of God and not a member of “those other people.”

**Our Story of White Privilege** (10 minutes)

As we have already seen, in the ELCA Social Statement, “Freed in Christ: Race, Ethnicity and Culture,” racism is defined as racial prejudice + institutional power + White privilege. All people have racial prejudices, but the strength in racism is the institutional power that reinforces the position of one social group over others, and the privilege, which that affords. It is helpful to look at that definition in light of the United States timeline and to understand racism as an institutional system of White dominance. It is not about us as individuals, but about us as a collective. When we see ourselves as White people separate from this reality, we try to discount our privilege because of our social class, gender, age, etc. The hard reality—and painful reality—is that we cannot separate ourselves from this story. It is our story collectively of all people in this country. I did not own slaves, but I am part of the story of a people whose history together is built on White dominance. And for my own healing, I need to understand and own that story in order to build a new White identity that can work with others to find a new place in the story.

Gary Howard in his book *We Can’t Teach What We Don’t Know* talks about the privilege that comes to us as a result of our collective identity of being White:

> Many privileges have come to Whites simply because we are members of the dominant group: the privilege of having our voices heard, of not having to explain or defend our legitimate citizenship or identity, of seeing our images projected in a positive light, of remaining insulated from other people’s realities, of being represented in positions of power, and of being able to tell our own stories. These privileges are usually not earned and often not consciously acknowledged. That our privileged dominance often threatens the physical and cultural well being of other groups is a reality that Whites, for the most part, have chosen to ignore. The fact that we can choose to ignore such realities is perhaps our most insidious privilege (p. 62).

Seeing ourselves as a member of a group benefiting from privilege is a challenge for many of us who are White. We have been trained and conditioned to see and define ourselves as individuals, not as a group of people. Defining ourselves apart from “those other White people” allows us to see others as racist but not to see ourselves as racist. It is a painful journey.

In her book *Why Are All the Black Kids Sitting Together in the Cafeteria? And Other Conversations About Race*, Beverly Daniel Tatum addresses this struggle:

> The view of oneself as an individual is very compatible with the dominant ideology of rugged individualism and the American myth of meritocracy. Understanding racism as a system of advantage that structurally benefits Whites and disadvantages people of color on the basis of group membership threatens not only beliefs about society but also beliefs about one’s own life accomplishments . . . If viewing oneself as a group member threatens one’s self-definition, making the paradigm shift from individual to group member will be painful (p. 103).

Based on the article by Frances Kendall and these readings, let’s talk about White privilege.
Small Group Discussion (25 minutes)
Gather into groups of four for discussion:

- How do you see yourself within a collective White identity? What is hard about seeing yourself as part of a White group?
- Name privileges you experience every day because you are White.
- In what ways have you tried to distance yourself or see yourself as separate from other White people?
- What does it mean to you to own an identity of yourself as a member of the White collective?
- How would you explain the reality of White privilege to someone outside this group?

Large Group Feedback (15 minutes)

- Ask each small group to share thoughts, feelings and comments on the questions.
- What messages have you received from the larger society of what your role is to be as a White person?

Assignment and Journaling

Hand out the readings by the Rev. Clemence Sabourin, Vine Deloria, Jr. and José Miguel de Jesús. Ask participants to read and reflect on these readings prior to the next session. Invite them to note how the writers see White attitudes and values expressed in the church.

Invite participants to journal on their thoughts and feelings related to who they are as a White person and their place of privilege within a system of White racism.

Closing (10 minutes)

Invite each person to share a word or thought of their feelings or struggles from this session.

_The journey of Lent is a hard journey as we are called to turn around. It would be so easy to give up. Looking at who we are and how we have been separated from God’s beloved community is hard work. Jesus knows the depth of struggle, and promised to be with us._

Close with prayer, asking for Jesus, who knows our struggle, to walk with us and strengthen us for the journey. Pray that we may continue to be vigilant in this work.

Invite participants to leave with a reminder of the water of baptism.
Entering the Story of the Church

**Purpose**
Despite God’s vision and God’s call to be one, the church has historically been a part of the system of oppression and dominance. In this session, participants will explore attitudes and beliefs that have supported the system of racism.

**Session Objectives**
From this session, participants will be able to:

- Honestly assess the history of the church in being and living as God’s beloved community.
- Name ways in which the Bible has been misused to dominate and control people of color.
- Examine how Judeo-Christian values have been co-opted to support racism in the life of the church and United States society.

**Opening Devotion (15 minutes)**
Invite participants to remember the water of their baptism as you begin the session.

*In the Gospels of Matthew, Mark and Luke, we read of Jesus’ telling of the Parable of the Sower and The Seed. Some seed fell on the path and was eaten by birds; some fell on rocky soil and withered because it had no root; some fell among thorns and was choked out; and some fell on good soil and bore abundant grain. We pray that the words and challenges of this study will fall on good soil.*

Song: WOV #713 “Lord, Let My Heart Be Good Soil”

After telling the people the parable, Jesus challenged his disciples to see and to hear.

*Reading: Matthew 13:13-17*

**Reflection Questions:**

- What has been challenging and difficult for you to hear in this study?
- What are the barriers that keep us from seeing and hearing a deeper truth about our history and our White dominance and privilege?
- What joys have you experienced or what new windows or doors do you see opening as you see and hear and learn?
- Where have we as a church had a dull heart, unhearing ears or unseeing eyes?

Conclude with prayer.
Welcome and Beginning (5 minutes)
Check in with participants. Invite participants to share thoughts, questions, or concerns they may have.

Racism and the Role of the Church

Introduction (10 minutes)
State that this will be a difficult session as you work to name some hard truths of how the church has been an instrument in supporting, and even legitimizing racism and White dominance.

We have all been taught to cherish our faith, to hold fast to our traditions and to love our church. To confront central truths that we have been taught is not easy for any of us. What we have learned from our parents and grandparents’ knees, from our Sunday school teachers and pastors is held near and dear to us. Those people never meant to lie to us, to deceive us, or to teach us untruths. The social reality of racism is that it is generational and has been passed down through the centuries; the teachings of the church have been co-opted to support it. If we are to find our healing among the nations and to live as God’s beloved community, we need to enter the troubled waters and to look into its very depths to hear and see truth.

Stand at the beginning—the “headwaters” of the timeline—and ask participants to name things they were taught or that they have heard that reflect how the Bible has been misused against people or to support the attitudes and actions on the timeline. [Examples of what may be named are: Jews as Jesus killers; the curse of Ham; slaves be obedient to your masters; women keep silence in the church; the poor you will always have with you; God is on our side] If participants have difficulty beginning, give one or two examples.

When the sharing seems to be complete, say that you will add four concepts to the list (if they aren’t already listed). State that these concepts are central to Judeo-Christian belief systems: dominion, chosen people, singleness of truth, and patriarchy.

Small Groups (20 minutes)
Ask participants to form groups of four. Ask them to discuss the power and effect of the things that were listed, including the four tenets of the Judeo-Christian worldview that you listed.

- Recall the words from Genesis 1:28, “…have dominion over the fish of the sea and over the birds of the air and over every living thing that moves upon the earth.” How has “dominion” been used over the course of time in ways that are contrary to God’s vision?
- How have the concepts of dominion, of being chosen, and of singleness of truth and divine sanction of patriarchy shaped how the people who held those concepts saw themselves?
- What effect have those concepts had on others? How are they played out in the timeline?
- Choose one or two other teachings that are listed and discuss their effect on the people who held them and on people outside of that group.
- How do those concepts and teachings continue to underlie actions and attitudes within our nation and our church?
- How were you taught these concepts? How have they shaped your worldview? What role have those teachings played in how you see yourself and others?
Large Group Feedback (25 minutes)

- Invite each group to share insights from their discussion.
- What thoughts and feelings have you had in moving through this discussion?
- How were these foundational attitudes and teachings of the White church addressed in the articles written by Miguel de Jesús, Vine Deloria, Jr. and the Rev. Clemence Sabourin?
- How have those values served as barriers to people of color?
- What insights and understandings did their stories bring to your picture of what it means to be White and Christian?
- What does all of this mean for who we are as God’s people and what we are called to do?

Unpacking the Timeline of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America

*Note: The ELCA history may be found on the ELCA website. If your group is of another denominational background or includes people from various backgrounds, use timeline(s) from those denominations. Check the denomination’s website or contact a regional or national office for the information.*

Small Group Discussion (15 minutes)

Invite participants to gather in the same groups that they were in as they developed the timeline of the United States.

Assign them the same sections of time:

1. 1607-1787  
2. 1788-1864  
3. 1865-1920  
4. 1921-Present

Ask each group to:

- Review the list of dates on the handout.
- Add other dates and events during this period of time as you recall them.
- How would you describe this period of time in Lutheran (your denomination’s) history? What image could you create to visualize this time period?
- Discuss the relationships of people of European, American Indian/Alaskan Native, African, Asian, Latino, and Arab/Middle Eastern, heritages within the church during this period of time.
- How are the foundational values reflected in this timeline?
- Put selected dates and events on the timeline or draw a symbol or symbols to depict the events and feelings of the time.
Large Group Feedback (20 minutes)

- Ask each group to present their section of time, highlighting dates, events, and relationships of people of different heritages.
- When the timeline is complete, ask participants what they see.
- How does the timeline of the church reflect the timeline of society?
- What message does the timeline convey of what it means to be Lutheran?
- Compare the timeline of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America, including its “headwaters,” with the vision of the river of creation and God’s whole community.
- How is racism (defined as a mix of prejudice + power + privilege in the ELCA Social Statement) part of the fabric of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America? What attitudes are held about people of color (prejudice)? + Who holds the institutional power? + Whose culture and traditions are the norm (privilege)? [Note: Other denominations use your organization’s defined definition of racism.]

Assignment and Journaling
Encourage participants to take time this week to take a walk to reflect, to watch, and listen to people’s interactions, to notice words or actions that reflect the Judeo-Christian values and teachings that were named. Encourage them to take time to journal—to write, or otherwise record—what they notice and how they feel about all they have talked about.

Closing (10 minutes)
Reading: Matthew 13:13-17

Reflection: Invite each member of the group to share one thing they heard or saw in a new way in this session.

Close with prayer.

Invite participants to leave with a reminder of the water of their baptism.
Purpose
In this session participants will explore the story of the Good Samaritan. As they enter the roles of the various people in the story, they will examine the cultural attitudes and actions of those people. Participants will be introduced to the layers of White culture that reinforce and hold traditions and practices in place.

Session Objectives
From this session, participants will be able to:

- Talk about the people in the story of the Good Samaritan and find themselves in those roles.
- Unpack the cultural pyramid to understand White cultural norms and values that guide practices within the church.
- Begin to define what is needed to break the cycle of White dominance.

Opening Devotion (5 minutes)
Invite participants to remember the water of their baptism as you begin the session.

In our time together in this session, we will explore an old parable in a new way. The parable of the Good Samaritan is often shared as an example of our call to serve our neighbor. We will enter it again to listen for new insights and meaning. We begin in song with the tune of our neighbors in Ghana.

Song: WOV #765 “Jesu, Jesu, Fill Us with Your Love”

Conclude with Prayer

Welcome and Beginning (5 minutes)
Check-in with the group. Invite participants to share thoughts, questions or concerns they may have. Invite them to share what they noticed or heard as they were alert for expressions of attitudes of dominance.

Enter the Text (15 minutes)

In the immediately preceding verses, Jesus blesses his disciples for seeing what they have seen and hearing what they have heard. In the parable, both the religious leaders and the Samaritan “see” the man in the ditch, but who really “sees” him? What messages is Jesus giving to his listeners then and to us today?

This parable is preceded by an exchange with a lawyer who wants to test Jesus. A lawyer in Jesus’ day was someone whose life work was the interpretation of the Law of Moses and its application in the contemporary setting of the people of Israel. His assumption is that for anyone to hope to have a part in the life of God’s people now and in the age to come, one must conform to the moral and ritual requirements laid down in the Law of Moses, but he wants to know what Jesus considers to be the most important of these.

Read Luke 10:25-29. Invite participants to follow in their own Bibles.

Assign characters to small groups and ask one person (or more where needed) from each small group to act out the text as it is read. Give a brief background of each of the characters.

TRAVELER: We have no identification of the traveler, but it seems to be assumed he is a Jew. The person is left for dead at the side of the road.

ROBBERS: Did the robbers see this as an easy way out to live off the well being of others? Was this their last resort for survival? No mention is made of their identity.

PRIEST: An Israelite who had been set apart from everyone else to serve God. A priest must be made clean, by washing and sacrifice, before he could take on his holy work. He is to avoid touching a corpse, unless it is that of a close relative, because death is linked with sin. Touching a corpse would make him ritually unclean and unable to perform his work in the Temple. A priest is to be both a teacher and a living example of God’s holy ways.

LEVITE: Also an Israelite, of the tribe of Levi, the priestly tribe. Within the tribe of Levi, different families and clans are responsible for caring for different parts of the tabernacle. Levites also need to be set apart through a special ceremony of washing and offering sacrifices. A Levite is to remain clean, to be pure in body and mind, to serve God in the tabernacle.

SAMARITAN: A person hated and despised by Jews. Samaritans were people from various races who came into the land of Samaria after Israel was defeated and its people were carried into captivity. Samaritans worshipped God according to the first five books of the Old Testament, but because of their mixed race and incomplete religion, the Jews regarded them as enemies and would go out of their way to avoid them.

INNKEEPER: No identification is made of the innkeeper’s background. The person is there to provide a service.
Set the stage for the story by creating a picture of the road. Jerusalem is on a ridge about 2,500 feet above sea level, and Jericho is about 1,200 feet below sea level, dropping approximately 3,700 feet in less than 20 miles. Most of this road passes through narrow, rocky, barren, uninhabited land, and so was a convenient place for robbers to attack travelers.

Ask the “actors” to take their places.

Read Luke 10:30-37, reading slowly and pausing as needed for the acting out of the story.

Invite the actors to take their seats and read the text a second time as participants listen.

**Small Group Discussion** (10 minutes)
In small groups enter the story from your character’s perspective:

- How did you see yourself in the story?
- How did you see others in the story?
- How did your view of yourself and of others guide your actions?
- What other factors helped to determine your actions?

**Large Group Discussion** (20 minutes)

- Ask each small group to present the story from their character’s perspective.
- With whom do you most identify in this parable? Why?
- How do you see prejudices, cultural practices, and institutional policies as factors in how this story is played out?
- Why did Jesus so specifically name the person who helped a “Samaritan”?
- Hearing this story from the perspective of the lawyer—who was trying to justify himself—what challenges might Jesus be laying before him?
- What challenges might Jesus be giving us through this story?
- Jesus knew that the lawyer, and the other Jews who were listening, likely would have found it too distasteful to identify with the Samaritan. Note that the lawyer cannot even say the name “Samaritan.” He responds to Jesus’ question of who is his neighbor with “The one who showed him mercy.” The listeners wouldn’t want to be like the Priest or Levite, so that leaves the hearer identifying with the man in the ditch. What does it mean to be the man in the ditch? What challenge does that raise in you? From whom do you need help for support and healing?
Unpacking Culture and the Church

Invite participants to describe White culture. Write their descriptions on newsprint as they name them. If it is difficult for the group to name White culture, ask why.

Present Levels of Racism and the Cultural Pyramid (15 minutes)
Hand out copies of Levels of Racism and the Cultural Pyramid. Walk participants through the chart of the levels at which racism expresses itself and into an understanding of the structure and depth of culture and how it is manifested in the church.

LEVELS OF RACISM:
We could dissect the definition of racism—prejudice + power + privilege—into the three levels in which racism plays itself out—individual + institutional + cultural. All three are part of a systemic whole. Note how the chart presents them as interwoven and inseparable. Each of us as individuals holds prejudices about people of other groups. We can each work to change our prejudices by getting to know people from those groups, broadening our experiences, etc. That is an important part of our work, but that in itself will not overcome racism.

Both the church and society have worked to address the institutional level. The civil rights movement brought new laws for voting and banning visible barriers of separation, but these laws have done little to change the institutional systems. The ELCA wrote representational principles into its governance documents to be a church that includes people of color and language other than English, but the face of the church has changed little in fifteen years. Issues of power are central to holding institutions in place. Without a change in who holds the power, who makes the decisions, who controls the resources, institutions of health care, education, employment, finance, government, media, religion, etc, will not change. White institutional control holds racism in place and ensures that White people will benefit most from the decisions that are made.

The third link, cultural racism, encompasses all the values, belief systems, ways of thinking and interacting, and ways of doing things that define the norm for all people. Because White people hold the power in the institutions in this society and our church, White people never have to think about being White. Indeed, I have never been asked, “And how long have you been Lutheran?” As long as those who benefit from the system, live quietly within the system, do not question the powers of authority, and do not challenge the belief systems and norms that hold it in place, racism will survive and thrive. As long as we recognize or even envy after the cultures of others, but don’t think that we as White people have a culture, racism will be alive and well. No one can live apart from culture. Culture is the stuff of life. It defines when and how we get up in the morning, how we interact, how we cook and what we eat, how we describe the world and our place in it. Culture is central to our being. As White people, we have difficulty seeing ourselves as cultural people because our culture is like the air we breathe. As long as we do not recognize our culture for what it is, as one culture among many, we live and act out of our position of dominance and will not be able to be an authentic part of a multicultural world.

CULTURAL PYRAMID: (You may wish to draw a pyramid on newsprint of What, How and Why.)
The cultural pyramid helps us unpack the layers that hold our White way of life in place. It is a pyramid or iceberg that lies deep beneath the surface. Often we bump against one another even before we physically meet and can never come together because the bottom stuff gets in the way. Most often when we talk about culture, we focus on those things that are listed at the top of the pyramid. They are the outward manifestations of culture. They are the stuff of ethnic festivals and ethnic potluck gatherings. They are the
music, clothing, dance, jewelry, and art that are often used to define groups. When we come to better know a culture, we move to an understanding of how people live in relationship with one another; how they interact and celebrate, how they view and treat authority; how they communicate and handle emotions. It involves a comfort level of being able to function without having to think about it.

When we become immersed in a culture, we know its foundational values and belief systems that give rise to all the how’s and what’s of culture. We understand why life is as it is.

We will build the pyramid back up to see how White foundational values and norms define our life together.

At the “headwaters” of the timeline we listed White cultural values and foundational beliefs that included dominion, chosenness, singleness of truth and divine sanction of patriarchy. In an earlier session, we defined individualism as central to a White way of life. Those are values that shape us and mold us. Not every culture or group of people holds those same foundational values. None of the values are right or wrong. We are not judging values or rating them. We are working to recognize White cultural values as values that exist and help to form our lives.

The continuum reflects a range of where value may be placed within a culture.

- Within White United States culture, valuing singleness of truth means that someone can know and hold the truth. It can be written down and passed on and we can go to books and libraries and even the internet as sources of information. When it’s written, it must be true. When some people speak, we know it’s the last word.

- The emphasis on individualism is lived out in hierarchies and making it on one’s own. It is manifested in achieving individual grades in school and in suits and uniforms so we know whether we’re talking to the custodian, hotel desk clerk or the CEO or manager.

- In White society, we value linear ways of thinking and doing things, we move from left to right; we know the order in which to complete tasks; we know that we progress through the grades in a set order of learning. We follow an agenda.

- In White United States society and institutions, the mind is highly valued. We need to think things out, to be able to explain and get our minds around it. We look somewhat suspect at decisions that are made just because it feels right. We carefully guard our emotions and are taught not to let our feelings show. We put our music into notes on a page and follow the script. We don’t want to move to the music in church or say “Amen” in the middle of a sermon.

- A White value is expressed in “Time is money.” Because time is given monetary value, we don’t want to waste time. We structure our time together, set a beginning and ending time, and don’t want church to last more than an hour. We don’t want to arrive too early and have a meeting last too long. We eat fast and move fast.

Culture is deep. It shapes who we are, how we see the world, how we set up our institutions, and how we get through each day. It is important to say again, that none of these cultural values or ways of doing things is bad. What is important is that we recognize our culture and our ways of being as one way, and not the only way. When we see and recognize our culture as our culture, then we can also see and recognize other cultures as having meaning, and that there may be more than one way of defining things and more than one way of doing things. And none of them is wrong in and of themselves, some just may be more valued by one group than by another.
Small Group Discussion (20 minutes)
Form groups of four. Invite participants to use the cultural pyramid as a reference in the discussion.

- How do you benefit from being White in the church?
- How do you see the White cultural norms and values shaping the way things are done?
- Talk about a tradition in your congregation—the time of services, the order of worship, the type of music, the programs of evangelism or education, etc.—and explore why that tradition is important. What is its value base?
- Physically or mentally walk through a part of the church building. What images greet people? What messages are conveyed of the culture that defines this place?
- Name a cultural value that is important to you. Talk about your struggle when that value comes up against someone else’s value, e.g. the use of time, order of decision-making, etc. What would it take for you to give up that value as definitive?

Large Group Feedback (20 minutes)
- Invite responses to each of the small group questions.
- Read paragraphs 2-4, pages 15-16, “A Time to Take Culture Seriously” in the ELCA Social Statement.
- What will it take to move beyond assimilation and truly welcome other cultural norms and values and ways of doing things as part of the church?
- What does the parable of the Good Samaritan mean to you now?

Assignment and Journaling
Ask participants to notice the culture of their local congregation this week. Notice how time is viewed and used; how people think and communicate; how people make decisions within groups. Notice also the pictures on the walls and expressions of words and music. Encourage them to record what they see differently and to note their feelings and thoughts in their journals.

Closing (10 minutes)
Invite participants to take a moment of silence and reflection to be in touch with what they are feeling and to center themselves in God’s presence. Invite them to prepare to lift their feelings, thoughts or concerns to God in prayer. Ask each person to end their petition with the words, “God, hear my prayer.”

Use WOV #775 “Lord, Listen to Your Children Praying” as response to each prayer petition.

Begin the time of prayer by singing the song twice.

Invite participants to remember their baptism as they leave.
Purpose
This session will explore the personal impact of racism by helping participants examine their own legacy of privilege. The work of repentance, of turning around, is to know and understand racism as institutional, cultural, and personal. The final work of repentance is to see the sin of racism within oneself and to know the need for healing.

Session Objectives
From this session, participants will be able to:

• Examine the effects of racism in the chains of privilege in their own lives.
• Understand White privilege as a historical and generational reality of racism.
• Name the sin of racism, the need for repentance, and the work for healing.
• Celebrate the ways in which people of faith have walked the path of resistance.

Opening Devotion (10 minutes)
Invite people to remember the water of their baptism as you begin the session.

The journey of confronting racism is hard and the work is tiring. We can be tempted to see racism in others and overlook its presence and reality in our own lives. Our reading challenges us to first look at ourselves. Our song is a prayer for God to be with us through the journey.

Reading: Luke 6:39-42
Song: WOV #731 “Precious Lord, Take My Hand”

Racism, as we have seen, is institutional. It’s woven into the fabric and history of our country. Racism is cultural. We’ve seen how deeply it is part of our value system and determines how and why we do things. Racism is also personal. Each of us is part of the systemic whole and each of us is implicated in the system of racism. It is far easier to find racism in others, than to see it and own it in ourselves. In 1970, in his book For Whites Only, Robert Terry wrote about the challenge for us as White people.

For most white Americans, the orientation used to interpret the racial situation has not understood whites to be the problem. We have been taught that America was the great melting pot and any blockage of blacks from entering the mainstream was not white unwillingness but black inability. Our old white orientation interprets blacks, not whites, as the problem . . . . To shift the whole issue around, to understand whites as the basic problem, is to change consciousness radically (p. 25).
Changing consciousness, turning around in our thinking and acting is the work of repentance. It means owning our own sin and committing ourselves to the hard daily work of living in new ways. In this session, we will seek to examine the log of racism in our own eyes so that we can turn around toward healing and new life in the community of God’s people.

Conclude with prayer.

Welcome and Beginning (10 minutes)
Check in with participants. Ask participants to share what they noticed about the culture of their congregation or the community during this past week. Invite them to share examples of White culture that they noticed. How did they feel about what they noticed?

Unpacking the Personal Legacy of Racism—Chains of White Privilege

Introduction (5 minutes)
Lift up for participants the difficulty that we, as White people, have in recognizing and owning our own racism. We fear being called a racist. We become defensive when racism is raised as an issue and want to find other causes for a problem. We want to point to “those White people” as racist, but not ourselves. Share your own story or confession. You may use the following as an example in introducing the “chains of White privilege” with your own story.

For my own healing, I have needed to see my own racism. I heard messages and stereotypes about people of color. I was raised with pictures of a White Jesus and White disciples and accepted it as fact. I learned about White heroes and White civilizers of the world. I learned about White people as scientists, inventors, mathematicians, authors, and composers. I was taught that the world was open to me, if I just worked hard enough. I wasn’t taught how to “act my place” in order to be safe and survive. Racism is deeply personal. It is part of my life everyday. The privileges surround me in daily life. I didn’t earn the right not to be followed around in stores. I didn’t earn the right to have band-aids match my flesh color. I didn’t earn the right to have people in authority in government, education, business, and finance look like me and act like me. All of these just simply are. I learned to take all of these things for granted. Because I learned racism, I can also work at unlearning it. But it will take the rest of my life.

Too often I hear in myself—and in others—that I’m not a racist. I’m not privileged. After all, I grew up on a farm. We had few resources. I was poorer than most of the young people in my high school. I had to sew my own clothes. We never went out to eat or went on vacation. I haven’t had advantages. I have had to work hard for everything I have. But then I have to look hard at the log in my own eye. I have to get on my knees and repent. I have to look at the chains of privilege and know that I am White. I benefit. I am privileged.

My privileges trace back through parents who had enough resources so that I could get a loan to go to college, back to my grandfather being able to buy a second farm so my father, the third son, would have a place to farm, back to my ancestors five generations ago being able to buy land and build their own church and speak their own language when they arrived in this country, back to the freedom my ancestors had in 1843 to choose to leave Pomerania, a former area of Germany, in order to be free to practice their Lutheran religion and have their own schools.
Privilege runs deep. As a White person, I can’t escape it. I am chained to racism by my privilege. My turning around——my healing——lies in seeing it and knowing it and then working everyday to dismantle the system that set it up.

Building the Chain of Privilege (40 minutes)
Tell participants that they will have the next 40 minutes to work individually in their own act of repentance in naming and owning their own chain of privilege. Ask participants to look at the instructions for building their chain of privilege. Review the instructions and answer any questions participants may have. Assign groups of four before breaking up individually.

- Consider who you are today and your location in all factors of life; your employment, education, housing, transportation, community, entertainment and travel opportunities, family, friends, and social groups.
- How has your life today been shaped by people, places, and events that preceded you? For example, how did you reach your level of education; who paid for it, what resources were available to you, how were you given information or access to resources, how were those resources shaped or put in place by previous generations? How have you been able to live where you do; to get the job you have; to be able to travel where you will; etc.
- Using the paper links, create a paper chain that shares the story of your legacy of access or stream of opportunity that brought you to where you are today.

Small Group Discussion (35 minutes)
In your group of four, share the story of your chain of privilege.

Large Group Discussion (20 minutes)
- What thoughts and feelings did you have as you worked on your chain?
- What new meanings, insights, or understanding did you experience?
- How has being White shaped your experience and reality?
- What have you lost because of racism?
- What does it mean to you to repent of racism?
Closing (15 minutes)
Introduce the closing by reminding participants that we are not alone on this journey.

Many have gone before us in working against racism, and because of our work, we may help others who come after us. As a church we have acknowledged our sin of being swept up within the system of racism, but people of God have always been resisters against oppression. People like Bartolomé de Las Casas, a contemporary of Columbus who struggled to improve treatment of the Arawak people; Levi and Catherine Coffin who helped over 2000 slaves to freedom on the Underground Railroad; William Lloyd Garrison, an abolitionist writer; John Brown, who led a raid on a federal arsenal to undermine slavery; the Rev. Andrew Schulze who brought Lutherans together to stand against racism through the Lutheran Human Relations Association; the Rev. James Reeb, a Unitarian clergyman killed during the Civil Rights Movement in 1965. These people and so many, many more have also framed and shaped us. They give us a model of what it means to live fully aware of racism and acting against it. They are White people who gave us a model for resistance and for healing.

Invite participants to remember those people who helped to bring them to this place with the courage and conviction to name and confront the truth and to work for healing. Invite them to recall their names and faces as they listen to the reading from Hebrews.

Reading: Hebrews 12:1-3.

Invite participants to come forward to the timeline and to add the name of one or two people who were important in their own lives in breaking down barriers and working for justice. Remind the group that we are surrounded by a great cloud of witnesses who have troubled the waters for the healing of the nations. We follow in their steps. In closing, invite each person in the group to share one thought or feeling they will carry with them into Holy Week and Easter.

Conclude with prayer.

Assignment and Journaling
Encourage participants to continue to journal on their thoughts and feelings. Invite them to set aside time during Holy Week for silence and reflection.

Invite them to leave with a reminder of the water of their baptism.
Purpose
This session will invite participants to enter into the healing process. Using the biblical story of Naaman, participants will explore the process of healing, and will come to understand the process of healing as a lifelong journey that requires coming back to the river many times.

Session Objectives
From this session, participants will be able to:

• Apply the story of Naaman to their own lives and their search for healing from racism.
• Experience a sense of both the anticipation and the fear of "entering the river" for healing.
• See the story of Naaman entering the river seven times as a metaphor for the lifelong journey of working against racism.

Opening Devotion (10 minutes)
Invite participants to remember the water of their baptism as you begin the session.

As we begin the sessions of the Season of Easter, we come as resurrection people filled with the hope of gathering at the river envisioned in Revelation. We look for the healing power of the water of life.

Song: WOV #690 “Shall We Gather at the River”

Reading: Revelation 22:1-2

Reflection Questions:
• What thoughts and feelings did you have as you walked through Holy Week and celebrated Christ’s resurrection?
• What meaning do these verses from Revelation have for you now?
• What thoughts and feelings did you have as we sang “Shall We Gather at the River”?
• What words of hope do you bring to this phase of our study?

Conclude with Prayer
Welcome and Beginning (10 minutes)
Check in with participants. Invite additional comments and thoughts, observations or insights that participants would like to share.

Review the schedule for the Season of Easter, calling attention again to the times and dates for meeting. Invite any questions participants may have.

The Healing of Naaman

Introduction (15 minutes)
Acknowledge the work of Jody Miller Shearer in his book Enter the River: Healing Steps from White Privilege Toward Racial Reconciliation. Words from Shearer’s book introduced the image of the river in session 1. In his book he recalls the biblical story of Naaman the Syrian who entered the Jordan River to be cleansed. His comparison of the affliction of racism to Naaman’s leprosy is at the heart of this session.

 Invite participants to listen or follow along as you read 2 Kings 5:1-14.
Ask participants to name words or phrases that stuck out for them.

Divide the group into six small groups, assigning one character to each group: 1) Naaman, 2) the young Israelite girl, 3) Elisha, 4) the king of Aram, 5) the king of Israel, and 6) Naaman’s servants.

Tell the groups that they will be listening to the story again, this time from their character’s perspective. What is your role in the story? What are you called on to do? How do you act or react and why?

Set the stage for the reading of the text:
Naaman, was a commander of the Aramean or Syrian army. Aram at this time between 800 and 840 B.C. held great strategic power and was the center of wealth and culture in the area. Naaman as army commander, had received every honor that military skill and good fortune could bring, but he was a leper. Despite all that he had achieved and all the resources at his command, he knew he had a horrible disease. There were conflicts between Aram and Israel. In an Aramean raid, a young Israelite girl had been taken from her home and carried back to Aram where she served as a maid to Naaman’s wife. Knowing of Naaman’s leprosy, the girl spoke out of her faith and trust in God and told her mistress of the prophet in Samaria. The king of Aram then sent Naaman to the king of Israel with a letter commanding the king of Israel to heal Naaman. Knowing the power and might of Aram, the king of Israel “tore his clothes” at the request. Elisha, however, heard of the command and sent word for the king to send Naaman to him. Naaman, despite his prejudices against Israel, traveled to come to Elisha, but when he arrived, Elisha didn’t even come out to greet him. Instead Elisha sent a messenger out to the mighty man of Naaman and told him to bathe seven times in the River Jordan. Not only was Naaman angry that Elisha wouldn’t come out to him, but the Jordan is a muddy river with muddy banks—a sharp contrast to the beauty of the rivers of Damascus. So Naaman refused and turned to leave in anger. His servants, however, persuaded him to go and wash. Reluctant but putting aside his pride, Naaman, the proud soldier, washed in the muddy river seven times and was healed.

Read 2 Kings 5:1-14 a second time.
Discussion of Roles (20 minutes)
Ask participants to spend a few minutes sharing within their character.

- Define your role and position in the story.
- What are you called on to do?
- How do you act or react and why?
- From your character’s perspective, how do you see the events in this story?

Bring the group back together, asking each group to speak from their character’s perspective, sharing their role and actions in the story and how they see what happened.

The Healing from Racism
Ask participants to enter for a moment into the role of Naaman. Imagine yourself as Naaman coming to the muddy riverbank, how do you enter the first time? Would you strip of everything, or possibly try leaving on some of the armor or robes? And what about the second time, and third, and fourth, and fifth, and sixth and seventh? By the seventh time would you be completely vulnerable, dipping completely under the surface? Would you trust your community — your servants — to be standing on the bank giving you protection? Would you have come to completely trust the muddy waters of the Jordan for healing? What does it take to find healing from your disease?

Ask participants to now form groups of six, with one person from each of the previous six groups. Ask each group to re-write this story as a story of healing from the leprosy of racism.

Small Group Discussion (35 minutes)
Search the story for its meaning and application to the disease of racism, including as you can, the role of the different people in the story. Consider questions, such as: How do we know that we are afflicted with the disease of racism? What are the voices that lead us toward healing? What are the “waters” — the activities, learnings, experiences — that we need to enter in order to begin the healing of our disease of racism? What is the role of our community, family, or friends as we enter the river for healing? What does it mean to enter the river to find healing? What prejudices or old understandings do we have to lay aside in order to enter the healing water? What is the meaning of going in seven times? Prepare to present your story in whatever way you wish.

Large Group Sharing (20 minutes)
NOTE: At this point, there will be two or at most, three groups, since the groups re-formed into groups of six.

- Invite each group to share their story of healing.
- What did you hear, see or notice in the telling of the stories?
- What meaning do you find in this story for your own journey?
- What meaning do you find for the journey of your congregation?
Journaling Assignment
Encourage participants to continue to journal. Invite them to reflect this week on what it means to enter the Jordan. How do you see yourself in need of healing? What will it take to find that healing?

Closing (10 minutes)
Remind participants that our journey of healing is a life-long journey. We have already entered the river for healing, and we will continue on the journey of healing from racism throughout our lives. Invite participants to share an event, a person, or a memory that has been a step for them in this journey.

Celebrate those steps in a closing prayer.

Invite participants to remember their baptism as they leave.
Purpose
The work to find healing within a new White identity is a lifelong journey. This session will introduce participants to the statuses or stages of racial identity development. The chart of racial identity development can serve as a guide to understand the complex dynamics of growth in finding what it means to be White.

Session Objectives
From this session, participants will be able to:

• Understand the theory of racial identity development.
• Examine their own journey using the statuses of racial development as a guide.
• Identify their own places for challenge and growth.

Opening Devotion (15 minutes)
Invite participants to remember the water of their baptism as you begin the session.

In this session we will examine stages of White identity and seek to discern how we can grow in our self-understanding. As Jesus called people to leave behind their old ways to follow him, so we are called to new paths and new directions as people of God.

Reading: Mark 1:16-20

Song: WOV #784 “You Have Come Down to the Lakeshore”

Reflection Questions:

• How do you hear Jesus calling to you in this work?
• What is Jesus calling you to do?
• What do you need to leave behind?

Conclude with prayer, praying for strength and courage to follow where Jesus calls.
Welcome and Beginning (5 minutes)
Check in with participants. What thoughts did they have about Naaman during this past week? Do they have any new stories to share of a step into the waters?

Racial Identity Development

Background (20 minutes)
Hand out the chart of racial identity development. Present the background and use of this theory.

Since Peggy McIntosh’s article on White privilege was published in 1989, more and more people have been working to understand what it means to be White. As people have shared their stories of their own growth and development in understanding racism and their place within it, people gathered the stories and began to notice the similarities within those stories. Throughout the 1990s, a number of researchers independently were studying White identity, and all found similar patterns in people’s growing consciousness and awareness of themselves as White racial beings and what that means.

Janet Helms, Rita Hardiman, and other researchers, have described that development in a series of stages, or more recent terminology—in statuses. Each status indicates a way of thinking, seeing and understanding the world from a particular racial lens. It is a way of finding meaning of how I belong within a particular racial group.

As in all growth, we move into a different status when something shakes that way of seeing and understanding, and it is no longer sufficient for us to give meaning to what we see. In repeated studies, growth has been shown to move in the pattern in this chart. That doesn't mean that everyone moves through all of these statuses. Some people remain in the status of pre-encounter all of their lives and it remains sufficient for them to make sense of their world. Any of us can stay at any one of these statuses. And some of us may skip a particular status.

We also don’t move through these statuses in a neat and precise order and then act consistently out of those statuses. Movement and growth is always fluid. It is more a spiral of growth than a straight line of linear growth. While I may primarily be thinking or acting out of one particular status at a moment in time, I may hear something or experience something that will trigger me back into a different status and I may say something that I thought I had unlearned long ago. The statuses follow in a sequence, but they are not always orderly or timely. Growth is not neat, easy, clean or precise. We need, therefore, to look at this chart with some caution on how we use it.

The work to find healing within a new White identity is a lifelong journey.

The chart can serve as a very helpful guide for us on the journey. When I’m caught deeply into feelings of guilt about racism, or I hear those feelings expressed in others, I can know that it is something I have to live through or help a person walk through. As White people, we don’t come to a new understanding of what it means to be White, without moving through some painful steps. It can be helpful to know that what we are experiencing is growing pains. It doesn’t feel good when we are in some of these places, but we can trust that with time, and with the help and support of other people, we will come through it.

The chart can also serve as a helpful guide in listening to and responding to other people. If I have been helped and prodded along the way in my own development, I also am responsible to journey with others. And I need to be patient. Growth does not happen easily or quickly. I may need to repeatedly ask someone not to tell a racist joke; I may need to regularly ask hard questions about how the decisions that
are made may affect people of color. But I can’t give up. I need to step back to where I was at that place in my thinking and to remember what helped me to question and grow.

It is important to remember that this is a tool for understanding, not for judgment. It is a tool to help us on our journey together; it is not a way to categorize or condemn people.

Tell participants that you will walk through the statuses with examples from your own life to help them understand the journey. You will then give them time to look at their own journey and to share that in a small group.

Share your story, as honestly as you are able, through each of the statuses. Be as courageous as Naaman in leaving your baggage on the riverbank and entering the process of healing. Work to recognize where you are, and if you have not moved through all of the statuses, share where you see your need for growth. If you see yourself in the last stage, be certain to recognize and state that there is no end point to growth. None of us can ever “arrive.”

It is important to have prepared your thoughts ahead of time. More memories will come in each re-telling of your story. For help in understanding each status, read pages 87-94 in *We Can’t Teach What We Don’t Know* and pages 93-113 in *Why Are All the Black Kids Sitting Together in the Cafeteria? And Other Conversations About Race*.

**Individual Reflection** (25 minutes)
Ask participants to take time to recall their own story, remembering words, interactions, and things they may have thought. We may feel embarrassed by those things, but remembering is also an act of healing. Invite them to chart their journey alongside the descriptions.

**Small Group Discussion** (35 minutes)
Gather into groups of four.

- Share one or more experiences of challenge and growth in your journey of racial identity.
- What was good about being in a particular status? What was difficult?
- Where do you see yourself now?
- What are your challenges to grow?
- How do you see the tension in yourself between where you are now and former statuses?

**Large Group Discussion** (15 minutes)

- What was good or hard about working through this chart?
- Was it helpful to you? If so, how?
- What meaning does the chart give for our continuing growth?
- How can other people be helpful to you on this journey?
Assignment and Journaling
Encourage participants to journal on their memories of their growth, especially remembering those people or events that were helpful in moving them forward on the journey.

Hand out the reading on allies. Ask them to be aware of how they can be allies to people who are oppressed.

Closing (5 minutes)
Invite participants to join in singing WOV #784 “You Have Come Down to the Lakeshore” in prayerful meditation, reflecting on the journey they have already traveled and where Jesus is calling on them to follow.

Allow time for silence and meditation.

Close with prayer.

Invitem participants to remember the healing power of the water of baptism as they leave.
Purpose
In this session participants will explore how their learning becomes real in the life of their congregation. Participants will work through the racial journey of their congregation, describing the current life and ministry, and identifying steps and possibilities for change.

Session Objectives
From this session, participants will be able to:

• Describe the levels of growth of a congregation.
• Honestly explore and describe the current culture of their congregation.
• Examine possible steps for growth and change.

Opening Devotion (10 minutes)
Invite participants to remember the water of their baptism as you begin the session.

The prophet Amos spoke harsh words to the people of Israel. The people had grown complacent and comfortable. Amos denounced their false sense of security and their empty worship. He challenged them instead to seek justice. In this session we will seek to hear God’s challenge for us today.

Reading: Amos 5:21-24

Reflection Questions:

• What do you hear in these verses?
• What is God calling us to do?
• As you look back at the river we created, how does God’s justice and righteousness flow through it?

Song: WOV #763 “Let Justice Flow like Streams”

Conclude with prayer.

Welcome and Beginning (10 minutes)
Check in with participants. Invite comments and thoughts on the racial identity development chart from last week and on the article on allies. State that you will be sharing more about what it means to be an ally in this session and in the sessions during Pentecost.
Racial Identity of Congregations and Communities

Introduction (20 minutes)

Like individuals, congregations, communities, and organizations function out of a particular way of thinking, interacting, and seeing the world. Congregations and organizations have their own culture that determines what they celebrate and how they look, how they do things and why they do it that way. In order for a church (on the local level or nationally) to welcome and embrace new cultures, it must first understand its own culture and how that culture shapes and forms its own norms, values, and standards. It is a key first step to understand why some music and forms of worship are valued more highly than others, why some forms of leadership and styles of decision-making are seen as the way to do things, and why some ways of viewing time and space are simply givens. With that groundwork in place, congregations can wrestle more honestly and openly with their values and the expressions of those values. And they can listen with greater care to the expression of other cultural values. If a congregation can see its culture as one culture—one way of doing and thinking and seeing—and other cultures as having an equal set of norms, values, and standards that give rise to their cultural expressions, then transformation can happen. People can talk together and discern which values and expressions will work together to form a new congregational culture that embraces more than one culture.

Write the five stages of a congregation’s development on 8”x11” pieces of paper. Put those papers on the floor, spaced out in a continuum.

Ask participants to stand at the place that they see their congregation at this time.

Invite them to talk with another person who is standing at their same place, or at the nearest place. Ask them to describe to the other person why they are standing there.

Ask participants to notice the continuum of the group. Invite people along the spectrum to share why they describe their congregation at the place they are standing.

Description of Congregation Stages (35 minutes)

Hand out the chart with the congregation stages. Review the chart, sharing examples of how congregations may look, think, and act along the continuum. Make comparisons to the racial identity development of individuals and the growing awareness in that continuum. Refer to the deepening awareness of the cultural pyramid that this chart reflects, and the growing realization that other cultures also are grounded in their own values and norms. The final stage of transformation would reflect a congregation in which varieties of music, worship styles, artwork, and foods are represented. But it also would include separate and equal value structures with constant dialogue in working out how things get done. There would be a common recognition of systems of oppression and a shared responsibility in working against systems of oppression and mutual accountability in calling attention to when people are being left out.

Ask participants to gather in groups by congregations. If eight or more people are present from one congregation, have them break into separate groups of four to six people as needed. If one or two are present from a congregation, have them join people who identify their congregations at a similar level.

Give each group a sheet of newsprint and markers. Invite them to draw — words, images, symbols, stick figures — how their congregation looks, thinks, and acts. Consider who the people are, the nature of art and architecture, how people relate with one another, who makes decisions and how. Reflect on how the congregation does worship, education, youth ministry, stewardship, outreach, social ministry, etc.

When groups are ready, invite them to share their drawings with each other. What do they notice in the drawings?
Imagining Congregational Change (35 minutes)
Give participants another sheet of newsprint. Ask them to look at the description at the next level of growth. What would the same congregation look like at that level? What would be some of the changes? Identify the challenges that the congregation would face in implementing those changes. What conversations would need to happen?

When groups are ready, invite them again to share their drawings, identifying the changes that would indicate growth in becoming more multicultural and identifying the challenges and barriers along the way. Invite discussion on the drawings:

• What did you experience as you worked on your drawing?
• What did you notice in the drawings of others?
• What will it take for congregations to enter the Jordan for healing?
• How can congregational culture change happen?

Keep the drawings for the next sessions during the season of Pentecost. Tell participants that you will spend the next sessions exploring how to be active agents for change as you actively seek God’s vision for the healing of the nations.

Assignment and Journaling
Ask participants to continue to be aware of their congregation, paying close attention to what they see and how things are done. Ask them to note where they see signs of openness and possibility for change. Who might they see as possible allies in working for change? Encourage them to note in their journals the things they notice.

Closing (10 minutes)
Invite each person to share a word of Easter—a thought, word, or feeling of healing that they have experienced during this season of Easter.

Song: WOV #737 “There Is a Balm in Gilead”

Close with prayer.

Invite participants to remember the water of their baptism as they leave.
Purpose
This session engages participants in the story of Peter and Cornelius as told in Acts 10 and 11. Participants will explore this story of crossing a cultural chasm that had been put in place over a long period of time and held in place by tradition and the teachings of authority. The session will address the vision, the fears and hesitancies and the risktaking of the people in this story of Acts.

Session Objectives
From this session, participants will be able to:

• Describe the various roles of the people in Acts 10 and 11 in crossing the cultural chasm.
• Name the old messages and fears that the people needed to face and overcome.
• Reflect on the power of the vision that led Peter and Cornelius to act.
• Recognize the ten steps of Acts as steps for action today.

Opening Devotion (10 minutes)
Invite participants to remember the water of their baptism as you begin the session.

Share with participants that you are entering the season of Pentecost in this study. Remind them that this long season of the church year focuses on the work of the Holy Spirit, leading and guiding the growth of the church. On the day of Pentecost the Spirit stirred the disciples to preach and teach.

Reading: Acts 2:1-12
Peter and the disciples responded to the question, “What does this mean?” by sharing the story of God’s power and the pouring out of God’s Spirit. They told of Jesus who died and whom God raised up. They reminded the people of the words of the prophet Joel, “I will pour out my spirit on all flesh; your sons and your daughters shall prophesy, your old men shall dream dreams, and your young men shall see visions.” (2:28) It is the story that has been told and sung through the years. As we begin the study of this season, we pray that the Spirit will blow through our wilderness times—our times of struggle and uncertainty—and that the Spirit will stir us from our placidity toward action.

Song: WOV #684 “Spirit, Spirit of Gentleness”

Conclude with prayer, inviting God’s Spirit into this work.
Welcome and Beginnings (10 minutes)
Check in with participants. Invite them to share things they noticed about their congregation. Where do they see places for growth and change?

The Story of Peter and Cornelius: Acts 10:1 – 11:18

Introduction (5 minutes)
We have been on a journey as White Christians to find our place in a multicultural society and church. We have reviewed our history as a nation and a church and have reflected on the dominant role that those of European heritage have played. We are faced with the reality that the ELCA remains a predominantly white church. We stand at the brink of this cultural chasm and ponder how we enter the multicultural waters.

The early church stood at the cultural chasm between Jews and Gentiles. The early Christians debated and struggled with the question of whether people needed to embrace the ways of Jews before becoming Christian. Jews had long-held beliefs of their place as God’s chosen people. They had laws and traditions that were intricately interwoven into their lives to keep themselves pure and to maintain their relationship with God. To associate with Gentiles—to receive them as equals—was unheard of.

In the story of Peter and Cornelius in Acts 10 and 11, both Jews and Gentiles had to examine their beliefs and attitudes about one another. They stood on the brink of the cultural chasm, and they took a step that brought people together in the water of baptism. The question of the place of Jews and Gentiles did not end in that water in Acts. The cultural struggles of the early church were not over, but some people’s feet were wet and the church was on a new journey.

Enter the Text: Acts 10:1 – 11:18 (25 minutes)
Hand out Bibles if participants don’t have them, or have copies of the text available as a handout. Introduce the individuals or groups of people who will be mentioned in the text:

- Peter
- Cornelius
- The messengers from Cornelius
- Simon the Tanner (and his household)
- Cornelius’ household or community
- The six circumcised believers who accompany Peter
- The apostles in Jerusalem

Form seven small groups and assign a role in the story to each of the groups. If you have fewer than 14 participants, assign both Simon the Tanner and the believers who accompany Peter to one group, and if necessary, both the messengers and Cornelius’ household to another group.
Give the following brief cultural background to the people in the text.

Peter was a lifelong Jew who had learned all of the teachings and laws of Moses and the prophets. He was schooled in what it meant to be a good Jew and to observe the laws of what was clean and unclean. He had carefully followed all of the laws of what he could and couldn’t eat and would have had a strong reaction to eating what he had been taught was unclean. Eating what was unclean would defile him. He had also been taught that Gentiles are unclean and that as a Jew he was not to associate with Gentiles.

Simon the Tanner was a tanner of hides. He was also a Jew, but would be seen by some as somewhat of an outsider because of his occupation. He lived in Joppa and provided a place for Peter to stay during his time in Joppa.

The six circumcised believers were also Jews who had been schooled in all of the laws. They would have learned well what to eat and what not to eat, who to associate with and who not to. The apostles in Jerusalem were, of course, all Jews. They were people who had lived and traveled with Jesus. They were people of authority.

Cornelius was a Roman centurion, a leader of the occupying force, a Gentile. He was a man of great authority and power who answered to Rome and whose allegiance was to be given to Caesar and the gods of Rome. He was trained to see himself as superior to the lowly Jews whose land he occupied.

The messengers from Cornelius—the two slaves and the devout soldier—would likely have been Romans, or other non-Jews. Their loyalty would have been to Cornelius, knowing that their livelihood, and possibly their existence, depended on Cornelius and on obeying his orders. They would not have trusted Jews nor have been taught to look kindly at them. They would have known that Jews would look at them suspiciously as occupiers of the Jewish land.

Cornelius’ household would also be Gentiles. They would have lived a life apart from the Jews. They lived in the land and would, therefore, have been observers of the Jews but would not have associated with them.

Peter and Cornelius—and their respective communities of Jews and Gentiles—were separated by religion, cultural and ethnic backgrounds, economics, and politics. There was much that divided them and created a great cultural chasm between their two worlds.

Ask participants to stand together in their character role and to be prepared to speak and act out the parts of their character as it is told in the text. Individuals (Peter and Cornelius) may choose one person in their small group to act out the person and another to read the words spoken by their character.

Invite one person to read the parts of the angel and the voice and Spirit that spoke to Peter.

As the narrator, begin the reading, pausing to give time for characters to move as directed in the text and to read their words as noted.

Reading and Acting: Acts 10:1 – 11:18
Small Group Discussion (20 minutes)
Ask participants to meet in their small groups to further explore the text and to discuss the events of the story through the eyes of their assigned person(s). As they review the questions, ask them to prepare to share their responses from the first-person viewpoint.

- What is your role in the story?
- What were your fears?
- What was God calling forth in you?
- How did you feel about it?
- What did you do?

Large Group Sharing (25 minutes)

- Invite each group to share their responses, speaking from the first person. Ask additional questions if needed to get a full sense of the person’s role in the story.
- What meaning does this text have for us and for our church today?

Note the variety of roles of people in this story. Each role was different, but each was important to the whole story. God calls each of us to act and to be in partnership with one another as we strive toward God’s vision of justice and peace.

Crossing the Cultural Chasm: Taking Steps to Act

Introduction (10 minutes)
Introduce the ten steps of Acts that serve as a guide from the story of Acts 10 and 11. Read through the ten steps and the questions of application for each step. State that you will be spending the next sessions following the steps laid out in this story of Acts.

1. **Prayer:** Both Peter and Cornelius were so deeply engaged in prayer that they were able to see and hear God’s vision.
   Do you pray with the expectation that you will see and know God’s vision for your life and for your congregation?

2. **Vision:** Cornelius and Peter each received a vision of what God was calling them to do.
   As you engage in prayer together and share in prayer-filled dialogue, what vision is emerging of what God is calling you to do as a congregation?

3. **Giving up Old Messages:** Peter, Simon the Tanner, the believers who accompany Peter, and the apostles in Jerusalem all had to give up deeply imbedded beliefs about who and what is clean and unclean. They had to move across a barrier and associate with people they had been taught to avoid all of their lives. They had to see Gentiles as God’s beloved people and not as untouchable, profane, and unclean. Cornelius, his messengers and his entire household had to receive Jews as messengers to them. In turn, they had to see that they had something to offer as they invited Peter to stay with them and gave him hospitality.
   What old messages, stereotypes, past hurts, or traditions must you give up about other people in order to step forward into new relationships and new ministry? Who are people in the community who
are not welcomed in the congregation? If the congregation and community are primarily White, why is that? What are the spoken or unspoken messages within the community that keep people of color out?

4. **Commitment to Risk-Taking:** All of the people in the story had to step outside their comfort zone and take a risk, whether it was opening their home to the “other” as did Simon the Tanner and the members of Cornelius’ household, or venturing into unfriendly territory as did the messengers and the believers who came with Peter, or Peter and Cornelius who took bold public actions, or the apostles who allowed the old law of distinction to be discarded. Each of the actions was a risk in breaking out of cultural traditions and ways of life. Each took a commitment of faith to step forth.

   What fears do you have in moving toward the vision? What barriers might hold you back? What consequences may you have to face? Given the fears and risks, what is your commitment to making White privilege visible and living out a vision of partnership?

5. **Gathering Community:** The entire story is a story of community. No one acted alone. Both Cornelius and Peter realized the importance of community in sending out messengers, and having others with them to give them support and to be witnesses to the events.

   What does it mean to move forward with community? Who do you need to have with you? Who do you need to talk with? What help or support do you need and from whom?

6. **Re-telling the Story:** Over and over Peter and Cornelius repeated their stories of God’s call and direction. When Peter came to Jerusalem, he had to explain the story to the apostles, step by step. Central to the entire story is the telling of the story of Jesus.

   What story do you have to share with others? What have you seen or heard in a new way during the months of this study? Who needs to hear your story?

7. **Action Step:** Cornelius took a first step in calling his servants and sending them to bring Peter to him. Peter’s first step was inviting the messengers into Simon’s home. Each step of this story builds on a previous step and each step is important in leading to the step of baptizing Gentiles — an action step that shook the foundations of the belief system.

   What is a first step you can take?

8. **Receiving Hospitality:** Cornelius and his household invited Peter to stay with them several days. In his vision Peter had seen a sheet filled with foods he had been taught to see as unclean and avoided all of his life. They were foods common to the diet of a Gentile. As Peter accepted the hospitality of his Gentile hosts, he had to sleep in a strange place and eat the foods of Gentiles. At this point Peter fully accepted the Gentiles as genuine partners in the faith. Having eaten their food and accepted their hospitality, Peter no longer was in control. As shown in the vision, Peter had to let go of his cultural values and recognize and accept values of the Gentiles as also being of God. This step of receiving hospitality reflected the depth of change, both in Peter, and in what the church would be. The Gentiles were not simply recipients of the Holy Spirit and of “mission efforts,” but were full partners in the faith.

   Who within your community or congregation do you regularly avoid? Whose hospitality do you find difficult to accept? From whom do you need to receive hospitality? Whose gifts need to be accepted within the congregation?

9. **Report Back/Reflect:** Peter had to report to the apostles in Jerusalem, explaining all that he had done. He reported each of the steps and God’s direction in moving him to act. He reflected on all that happened and what it meant. To whom will you need to report and when?
10. Experience Change — Leading to Celebration and New Action: When the apostles heard the story, they first were silenced. Then they praised God, recognizing that Gentiles, too, could hear and receive God’s saving grace.

How have you been changed? For what do you praise God? With new understanding comes the need to again engage in prayer to seek God’s continually unfolding vision, and to take new risks and new action steps.

Steps to Act: Prayer (15 minutes)

- In Acts 10:1-2 and 9, we read that both Cornelius and Peter were in prayer.
- Invite participants into a time of prayer, beginning with a time of silence.
- Allow at least five minutes in silence, inviting people to lift their fears and concerns before God and to listen to what God is calling forth in them and in the congregation. If they wish, they may write down their thoughts for their own reflection.
- Lead a time of shared prayer by lifting up your fears and concerns and inviting others to voice their petitions.
- Conclude by praying for insight to follow where God is leading and for the courage to go forth.

Assignment and Journaling
Encourage participants to spend time in daily prayer, seeking to hear where God is calling. Encourage them to journal on what they are feeling and hearing. Are they hearing and seeing things around them differently? Are they becoming aware in new ways? What visions do they have of where God is leading?

Closing
Close with the refrain and stanzas 3 and 4 of WOV #684 “Spirit, Spirit of Gentleness.”

Invite participants to remember the healing power of the water of baptism as they leave.
Beholding God’s New Vision

Purpose
In this session participants will work through the steps of Acts 10 and 11 in the context of their congregation. They will seek together to shape a vision of what God is calling them to be. They will unpack the old messages that could hold them back, express their fears, and articulate their commitments to live out the vision. Together they will name others to invite on this journey and prepare to go out to share the story.

Session Objectives
From this session, participants will be able to:

• Describe a vision of where they see God leading the congregation at this time.
• Name the old messages and fears that the congregation needs to face.
• Share their own individual commitments for living out the vision.
• Identify people in the congregation and/or community to connect with for this journey.
• Share the story with others.

Opening Devotion (15 minutes)
Invite participants to remember the water of their baptism as you begin the session.

Song: WOV #712 “Listen, God Is Calling”

Invite participants to share from their reflections and time of prayer since the last session how they have heard where God is calling the congregation, and/or themselves personally.

Read the following story as a reflection on where and how God may be leading and guiding toward new ways of seeing and stretching.

A PARABLE
Once there was a woman who lived in a little central European village. She was a nurse and had devoted her life to caring for her neighbors. She was there at birth and at death; she bound up scratches, bruises and broken bones as well as sat through the interminable nights with those who were seriously ill.

In the course of time she died. She had no family and so the villagers decided to hold a very lovely funeral for her. But the village priest had to remind them that she could not be buried in the cemetery. For the town was Roman Catholic and the woman had been a Protestant. The villagers protested but the priest held firm. It was not easy for him, as he too had been nursed by her. Nevertheless the canons of the church were very clear: she would have to be buried outside the fence.
The day of the funeral arrived and the whole village accompanied her casket to the cemetery, where she was buried—outside the fence. That night, after dark, a group of the villagers went back and moved the fence.

Sometimes being inclusive is just a matter of moving the fence.

Gracious God, make us fence-movers.—Amen

From Visions of Justice by Dr. Faith Rohrbough (Milwaukee, WI: Lutheran Human Relations Association, 1994). Used by permission.

Welcome and Beginnings (10 minutes)
Check in with participants. Invite them to share other thoughts or reflections since the last session.

Taking Steps to Act

Steps to Act: Vision (30 minutes)

- In Acts 10:3-6 and 10:10-16 we read that both Cornelius and Peter received a vision of what God was calling them to do.
- Briefly revisit the drawings from Session 13 on where the congregation currently is and how it would look at the next step.
- Give each person an 81/2 x 14 piece of paper and have sufficient markers or crayons available. Ask each person to draw a vision of how they would like to see their congregation ten years from now.
- Invite each person to share their vision.
- After the sharing, ask for common words and themes that were expressed. Record those words and themes on newsprint.
- What are the most important images and words that express the common vision for the congregation at this point in time?
- Write or draw that common vision and celebrate the vision as a group.

Steps to Act: Giving Up Old Messages (20 minutes)

- In Acts 10:13-16, we hear Peter struggling with the messages and traditions he had learned.
- On the back of their individual vision drawings, ask participants to write the old messages, cultural values and traditions, stereotypes, or ways of thinking that continue to challenge them and get in the way of living into the vision they have drawn.
- Invite participants to gather in small groups of three or four to share their old messages and to develop a list of teachings, traditions, and messages that will be challenging for the congregation to give up in living into the group vision.
- Ask each small group to report their list to the whole.
- As a group, name those old messages that will be necessary for the congregation to struggle with in moving toward the vision. What cultural values and norms will need to be identified and addressed? Write those messages on the back of the group vision.
- Remind the group that the old messages and learnings never completely go away. They lie within us and we need to consciously work to unlearn them and put new awareness and understanding in place. We need to always be alert to how close below the surface those messages may lie and
how they can come forward to disrupt our efforts to make the vision real. We need to be jointly accountable to putting them behind us in order to move forward with the vision.

**Steps to Act: Commitment to Risk Taking** (20 minutes)

- In Acts 10:7-8 and 17-25, Cornelius, the messengers, Peter, Simon the Tanner, the believers from Joppa and Cornelius’ relatives and friends all took risks.
- In small groups of three or four, ask participants to share their fears in moving forward on the vision and to name the price they may have to pay in others’ reactions as they name White privilege or question cultural values. What risks might they have to take?
- As a small group, ask them to name the fears the congregation will have to face, the barriers that might hold them back, and the risks the congregation will have to take to live into the vision.
- Invite each small group to share their responses within the large group. List the fears and barriers on newsprint as they are named.
- Invite those within the group who are ready to share their commitments to take risks within the congregation.

**Steps to Act: Gathering Community** (15 minutes)

- In Acts 10:23b-24, we are reminded that both Peter and Cornelius gathered their community to support them and to be witnesses of the event. They both recognized their need to have allies with them on the journey.
- As a large group, talk about the role of allies in this work. Given the fears and barriers that were named, whom do they need to talk with in the congregation? Whose gifts could be helpful in this journey? Are there people within the community with whom they need to talk and interact? Whose help and support do they need? Who would they like to invite with them on the journey? List the names on newsprint as they are lifted up.
- Gather within the small groups to review the list of names and to help each person identify one or two people with whom they will share the story of this journey within the next month. They may also identify someone who has not already been listed.
- Re-gather as a large group to share the names of those with whom they will share the story.
Closing and Assignment

Steps to Act: Re-telling the Story (10 minutes)

- Throughout Acts 10 and 11, Cornelius and Peter re-told the story as they had experienced it. In Acts 10:34-43, Peter re-told the story of God’s saving power through Jesus.
- Telling the story of a community is key to bringing others into that community. In the next month, ask each person to schedule a time to share the story of this journey with one other person.
- To prepare for their sharing, invite them to journal or to reflect on what they have learned or how they have grown through this process. How have they been guided toward an awareness of what God is calling forth in them? How have they grown in their understanding of God’s vision for the congregation and community?
- If the person they are sharing with is a member of the congregation, they may wish to invite that person to consider being part of a new group to engage in this study in the coming year, or to invite the person to consider how they might be helpful in the journey.
- If the person is a member of the community, they may share the vision of the congregation, talk about common visions they may have for the community, and ways the congregation and community can be allies in this journey.
- Invite each person to share one apprehension, hope, or joy that they have at this time.
- Close with prayer.

Invite participants to remember the healing power of the water of baptism.
Purpose
This session will engage participants in the process of identifying steps for action and the need to see themselves as receivers. Others will need to be invited on the journey, and leadership needs to be actively engaged in the process of decision-making, but participants will identify where action can begin to happen.

Session Objectives
From this session, participants will be able to:

• List specific, attainable beginning steps for action on the journey.
• Develop a timeline with measures for accomplishment.
• Identify people and resources necessary to implement action.
• Identify needs for growth and learning as individuals and as a congregation.
• State commitments for action and places for learning.

Opening Devotion (25 minutes)
Invite participants to remember the water of their baptism as you begin the session.
Begin with prayer, giving thanks for the opportunities to give witness to the story of this journey and asking for God’s continued guidance and direction in this work.
Invite participants to retell the story of their conversations with people during the past month.
• What thoughts or feelings did they notice in themselves as they shared their stories?
• What did they learn as they talked with others?
• What new meanings, insights, or understanding did they find through those conversations?
Remind participants of the powerful work of the Holy Spirit in the early church that led Jews and Gentiles to cross the deep and wide cultural chasm of their day. Invite them to give thanks for the powerful work God is doing through them to bring healing and partnership to God’s church and to pray for courage to continue to examine the hard places where White superiority creeps in.

Song: WOV #755 “We All Are One in Mission”
Welcome and Beginnings (5 minutes)
Check in with participants, inviting any comments or thoughts that weren’t expressed in the opening devotion, or other needs participants wish to share with one another.

Taking Steps to Act

Steps to Act: Taking Action
In Acts 10:47-48 Peter took an action step in response to the action of the Holy Spirit. His ordering the Gentiles to be baptized transformed the understanding of who was included in the church. It was an action step that was built on very specific preceding actions and came about in response to recognizing God’s action.

Small Groups (35 minutes)
- Gather in small groups of four or five around common interests of ministry: music and worship, education, youth, stewardship, evangelism and outreach, social justice, etc.
- Brainstorm—and record on newsprint—possible first steps to take as a group within one or two areas of ministry. Remember to think in measurable, attainable steps. Be attentive to where God is leading. Search for what will call people to stretch beyond their comfort zone and will challenge cultural norms.
- Review the list and prioritize steps. Identify two or three action steps that can be taken in the next three months. Identify a timeline, person(s) responsible, and resources needed to accomplish each of the steps.
- Identify action steps to recommend to the congregation’s leadership for the next year. Define timelines, responsibilities and resources for each of those action steps.
- Identify other people within the congregation who are important to taking action within these areas of ministry and name the person(s) responsible for sharing the story with them.

Large Group (30 minutes)
- Invite each group to present their 1) three-month action steps with timeline and responsibilities; 2) recommended action steps for one year; and 3) people with whom to talk.
- Review each of the three categories separately and choose priorities so that the final list of each is attainable within the resources of the congregation but will stretch the congregation to grow. Work for consensus in choosing the three-month goals, in defining the list of recommended actions for the year and in identifying people with whom to talk.
- Review the final lists to check timelines and people responsible.
- Set dates as needed for small groups to work on their action steps.
- Set a date within the next four to six weeks to meet with leadership of the congregation to report on the learnings for the year and to discuss the recommended action steps.
- Set a date in three months to report back to one another as a group and to celebrate together.
Steps to Act: Receiving Hospitality

In Acts 10:48b we read of the profound step of significant change. If Peter had simply baptized Gentiles and left, it would have been a good story, but the chasm of culture would have been left unchanged. The meaning and depth of change is in Peter staying and eating the food of Gentiles.

Small Groups (15 minutes)

• Review the action steps for what may have been missed in allowing White cultural norms and values to be the operative norms.
• Consider how the opinions, values, and voices of people of color will be heard and fully valued and gifts fully received.
• What ways of living and thinking within the congregation need to continue to be examined in order to fully accept and trust the gifts of persons of color?
• How can the congregation be receivers of hospitality and so begin to enter a genuine partnership with persons of color?
• If the congregation and community are primarily White, how can the congregation be stretched to examine ways it maintains White exclusiveness? How can the gifts of persons of color become a presence within the congregation?

Large Group (10 minutes)

• Invite each group to share comments and feedback.
• Make revisions to action steps as needed.
• Lift up the challenge of continually needing to re-examine our actions and to take another step deeper. Conscious of maintaining his Jewish purity in foods, Peter could have refused (politely) Cornelius’ hospitality and left. He would still have carried out his mission to the Gentiles, but on his terms and under his control, maintaining his way of life as superior. In receiving hospitality and staying to eat, cultural traditions were broken and Gentiles would not have to become like Jews first in order to become Christian. The ongoing challenge today is how people of color are included in the church. Are they expected to become like Europeans first and to blend in and be like us? To what extent are we willing to fully receive the gifts of people of African, Latino, Asian, American Indian and Alaskan Native, and Middle Eastern heritages? Are we willing to be impacted and significantly changed?
Assignment and Journaling
Point out the dates set in the “Taking Action” section and invite people to name the steps for which they agreed to be responsible. Encourage participants to continue to journal about their feelings as they take steps toward action. What old (or new) fears and hesitancies do they notice. What joy and possibilities do they experience?

Closing
Close with prayer, giving thanks for God’s guiding and leading and praying for wisdom and courage to step forth in action.

Invite participants to remember the healing power of the water of baptism as they leave.
Season of Pentecost
Session 17

Reporting Back and Reflecting

Purpose
Acts 11 is a record of Peter’s report to the church at Jerusalem. Peter and Cornelius had visions, they gave up old messages, they gathered others with them and all took risks and moved forward step by step in action. Peter then had to explain it to the apostles in Jerusalem, step by step. In this session participants will report back to the congregation regarding steps and learnings of this yearlong journey. They will share the vision, the things they have heard, seen, and learned, and will talk together about where the journey leads in continued action and growth.

This session may be held with identified leaders of the congregation or as a special gathering to which all members of the congregation are invited. If this is a meeting with the leaders of the congregation, plan a two-hour session, expanding the time for sharing the vision and shaping the action steps.

Session Objectives
From this session, the gathered participants will be able to:

• Describe the visioning from this group of where God is leading the congregation.
• Retell the story of the experiences and learnings of this group.
• Identify goals for the congregation for the coming year.

Opening Devotion (20 minutes)
Invite participants to remember the water of their baptism as you begin this session.

The title of the resource for this journey is Troubling the Waters for Healing of the Church: A Journey for White Christians from Privilege to Partnership. Water has been a central image to the study and the river has been a symbol for understanding the flow of time and events. This journey is our story told within God’s story. The waters of the first verses of Genesis 1 and the waters of Revelation 22, the last chapter in the Bible, frame the journey.

Read: Genesis 1:1-3 and Revelation 22:1-2

Invite participants in the group to share their thoughts and reflections on what it has meant to them to enter the waters of this journey. What have they learned? How have they experienced the strength and power of the troubling waters and how have they felt the healing touch of water?

Join together as a whole group in singing WOV #763 “Let Justice Flow like Streams.”

Close with prayer.
Welcome and Beginnings (15 minutes)
Invite each person present to share their name and to share a hope or dream they have for the life and growth of the congregation.

Steps to Act: Reporting Back and Reflecting

Vision (10 minutes)
- Invite a member of the group to share the vision for the congregation as the group has come to see it.
- Invite questions, reflections, or comments about the vision.
- Identify expansions or changes to the vision as they are articulated by the larger group, but be prepared to address concerns that would limit the vision and inhibit growth.

Action Steps (40 minutes)
- Present the three-month and yearlong action steps identified by the group.
- Gather into small groups of five or six people with a member of the study group being present in each group.
- Ask small groups to choose one or two of the identified goals that are of special interest to them. Invite them to talk about how they see this action taking place within the congregation. What results may come about because of this action? How could this lead to further action and deeper learning?
- Invite small groups to identify any additional goals to help to bring the vision into being.
- Regather as a large group, inviting each small group to speak to one or two of the listed goals and to identify any additional goals.
- Work with the group to prioritize among all of the listed goals and establish consensus for taking these steps for action.
- If this is a leadership group of the congregation, work with the group to establish timelines and roles or groups responsible for carrying out each action step.
- If this is a larger group of the congregation, identify the leaders who will establish timelines and responsibilities and a date by which they will accomplish that.
- Invite people to join in the action steps and to prayerfully consider their response if they are called.

Closing (5 minutes)
- Gather in a circle with each person placing their right hand on the shoulder of the person to their right.
- Close with prayer, asking God to join this circle together, helping each one to support and lift one another up in living out God’s vision.

NOTE: Since this is a larger group meeting, there is no assignment or journaling.

Invite participants to remember the healing power of the water of baptism as they leave.
Season of Pentecost
Session 18

Experiencing Change... Praising God...
Continuing the Journey

Purpose
After hearing and reflecting on Peter’s story, those gathered in Jerusalem praised God. In this session, participants in this yearlong journey will reflect on what they have learned and will celebrate together. This session is not to be seen as a conclusion, but rather as a refreshment stop on the lifelong journey.

Session Objectives
From this session, participants will be able to:

• Name the things they have learned on this journey and the ways they have grown.
• Reflect on the progress of the three-month action steps.
• Identify continuing support they need for the journey.
• Affirm commitments they have made for long-term action steps and identify any new short-term action.
• Plan a liturgy to be used within the worship service to celebrate the work of this year.

Opening Devotion (10 minutes)
Use the “Brief Order for Confession and Forgiveness” from WOV, pages 10-11, or similar liturgy of confession and forgiveness.

In the time of silence for reflection and self-examination, invite people to name out loud or in their hearts the ways they have been ignorant of racism or unaware of their own privilege or attitudes of superiority.

As the words of forgiveness are announced, invite them as pairs to dip a finger in the bowl of water in the center and to make a sign of the cross on one another’s forehead as a reminder of God’s forgiving and redeeming love in the waters of baptism.

Close with prayer.

Welcome and Beginnings (5 minutes)
Check in with participants. Invite sharing of their journey over the past month.
Steps to Act: Experiencing Change . . .
In Acts 11:18 the apostles and believers in Jerusalem experienced a depth of change that silenced their criticism. They praised God, and then the journey and the struggles of growth continued.

Small Groups (20 minutes)
- Form small groups of four people.
- Invite participants to reflect back on the cultural journeys they drew in Session 2 in Advent. What new understandings or insights do they now bring to their cultural journey?
- What reflections do they have about their experiences of change or growth during the year and for what or whom do they give thanks and praise?
- Identify needs for continuing on the journey.
- How have they seen or felt God at work during this year?

Steps to Act: . . . Celebrating and Praising God (25 minutes)
- Work as a group to create a liturgy for a worship service to celebrate the work of this year. Work together as a whole group or divide into separate groups to address different elements.
- What messages would this group like to share with the congregation? How would they share that?
- What symbols or images would they like to include?
- What songs or readings express the work of the year?
- Report back as a group and identify the person(s) who will take responsibility for preparing the service and communicating with the necessary people.

Steps to Act: . . . Continuing the Journey (15 minutes)
- Review the list of three-month steps for action.
- Ask the group to evaluate their progress. What steps have been taken? What is left to do? Who will be responsible for any remaining action?
- Name new steps for action to be taken individually or as a group. List those steps on newsprint and define responsibilities and timelines.
- If a new group will be beginning the study in Advent, identify within the group who will be available for support and interaction.
- Remind the group that this year has been another step in their lifelong journey. Encourage them to continue to journal, to pray for one another, and to engage in continuing action and growth.
Evaluations (15 minutes)

- Hand out the evaluation form and post assessment.
- Give time for people to complete those forms.
- Lead the group through a verbal evaluation, listing on newsprint the things that worked well and the things that could be changed or improved.
- Share the verbal and written evaluations with the leader of the next group.
- Send the written evaluations and verbal comments to: ELCA Department for Research and Evaluation, 8765 W. Higgins Road, Chicago, IL 60631.

Closing (30 minutes)

Invite one person in the circle to share a personal reflection on the year as expressed in the earlier small group discussion. When that person is finished, have him or her invite another person to share. Continue the invitation to share, until everyone has done so.

Close with prayer.
Ms. Joyce Caldwell, project coordinator and lead writer, is an associate in ministry in the ELCA. She has a master’s degree in Education, with a specialization in curriculum and instruction. She began her ministry in 1975 serving as church staff associate in education and youth ministries in a congregation in southeastern Wisconsin. During her eleven years in that call, she initiated partnership efforts with a congregation in the central city of Milwaukee and began the journey of working against racism within the church. After teaching high school English for a year, she accepted the position of Covenant Congregation Director with Lutheran Human Relations Association. She subsequently served as the Executive Director of Lutheran Human Relations Association for twelve years. During those years, Joyce worked with many people of all backgrounds in developing and writing biblically based anti-racism and bridge-building programs. She led workshops across the United States and facilitated anti-racism workshops and facilitator trainings for the ELCA. Joyce also worked in partnership with the Interfaith Conference of Greater Milwaukee in developing their Beyond Racism program and writing the curriculum. Joyce’s passion is to continue to work within the church to expand the vision of what it means to live as God’s whole people, and to find ways to make that vision real. She continues to facilitate discussions and lead workshops and is currently serving as Director of the Multicultural Urban-Suburban Emerging Youth Leaders (MUSEYL) program of the Nehemiah Project in Milwaukee, helping high school youth to break down urban/suburban and racial boundaries. She is beginning work on a Ph.D. in Human and Organizational Systems.

The Rev. Paul Benz, co-facilitator and secondary writer, is an ordained pastor in the ELCA. He began his ministry serving an all-White congregation in northeastern Kentucky where one of his first community contacts was with the local chapter of the NAACP. That relationship continued until he left that call to relocate back to the Pacific Northwest where his wife grew up. Paul continued his work in the community while serving as parish pastor on Whidbey Island north of Seattle. He currently is in his fourth year serving as Director of Lutheran Public Policy Office of Washington State where his personal passion for racial justice and working with people of color communities is a vital part of his life and ministry. He believes that until the White community intentionally wrestles with the issue of privilege the racial chasm that still divides this country will continue. Our denomination will continue to be 98 percent White, while our society is only 70 percent White and growing less so every year.
BIBLIOGRAPHY


Other resources:


*No Hate Allowed: A Resource for Congregations for Action Against Racial Hate Crimes*. Project of the Commission for Multicultural Ministries of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America. Edited by Lily Wu.
PARTICIPANT SECTION
## Contents

### Participant Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preface</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definitions of Racism and White Privilege</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Why a Resource for White Christians on Privilege and Partnership?</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entering the Waters</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journey Overview</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Assessment</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELCA Social Statement: “Freed in Christ: Race, Ethnicity and Culture”</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Season of Advent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Beholding God’s Vision</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Story of My Cultural Journey</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Remembering Stories</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Brokenness and Promise</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Season of Epiphany

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Listening and Learning</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Season of Lent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Searching for What is Lost</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“White Spaces” by Tobin Miller Shearer</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Entering the Story of the United States</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>United States Timeline</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Readings from A People’s History of the United States</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Understanding White Privilege” by Frances E. Kendall</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Examining Attitudes of Privilege</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Excerpts from Let the Righteous Speak by Clemence Sabourin</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“A Violated Covenant” by Vine Deloria, Jr.</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Perspective on Lutheranism” by José Miguel de Jesús</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Entering the Story of the Church</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lutheran Church Timeline</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Examining the Construction of Culture</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dissecting the Cultural Pyramid</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Levels of Racism</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Entering My Story of Privilege</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Season of Easter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Entering the Healing Waters</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Walking the Journey Toward New White Identity</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White Racial Identity Development</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“How to Be an Ally If You Are a Person with Privilege” by Kendall</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Building Toward a Multicultural Church</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Journey of White Congregations and Institutions</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Season of Pentecost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Session 14</td>
<td>Stepping Out in Faith</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session 15</td>
<td>Beholding God’s New Vision</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session 16</td>
<td>Taking Steps to Act</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session 17</td>
<td>Reporting Back and Reflecting</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session 18</td>
<td>Experiencing Change…Praising God…Continuing the Journey</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Post-Assessment** 103  
**Evaluation Form** 105  
**Bibliography** 107
Preface

I am often asked what signs of hope I see for the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America. The invitation for White Christians to journey from privilege to partnership is one very hopeful sign. From its beginning in 1988, the ELCA has made a firm commitment to become an increasingly multicultural and an intentionally anti-racist church. Our continual failure to fulfill that commitment raises significant questions regarding the integrity of our witness to God's love in Christ for the whole creation and causes deep concern for our viability in an increasingly and richly diverse context.

Many will be uncomfortable with the phrase “White Christian.” We still prefer to identify ourselves with the culture and country of our immigrant ancestors. Even more disturbing is the word “privilege.” It is far easier for us to identify what we lack than confront the benefits that come to us by virtue of being White. Yet until we, in a spirit of humility and repentance, are willing to explore the power, privilege, and prejudice that belong to us as White Christians, it may be impossible for us to become the multicultural church I believe most of us sincerely desire to be.

This study is an invitation to explore who we are. Therefore it begins and ends in baptismal waters. Water, together with God’s Word of promise, is cleansing and healing as we are bathed in God's grace in Christ. Yet these waters are also troubling, for in them each day the power and privilege to which we have become so accustomed is put to death. We are raised to new life in Christ reconciled to each other. We are set free in faith to work for justice and peace. Rather, let us join as partners in the relentless pursuit of a more just world.

The ELCA social statement “Freed in Christ: Race, Ethnicity and Culture,” is very clear. It says, “Racism, both blatant and subtle, continues to deny the reconciling work of the cross. God's forgiveness frees us from the enslavement of racism. For some, this may mean giving up power or privilege; for others, it may mean giving up anger or prejudice. Let us know this reconciliation in our lives!” (p. 5)

May the journey you begin through this study lead not only to new insights, but also to bold action. We look forward to being transformed by the Spirit from persons of privilege to partners in building not only a more inclusive and multicultural church, but also a more just world.

God’s peace be with you,

Mark S. Hanson, Bishop Emeritus
Definitions of Racism and White Privilege

The ELCA Social Statement “Freed in Christ: Race, Ethnicity, and Culture,” defines racism as “a mix of power, privilege, and prejudice” (p. 4). This complex mix moves racism and any system of oppression out of the realm of simple individual feelings and actions into the realm of cultural and institutional systems. It is a definition that addresses the systemic nature of racism within the United States since the arrival of Europeans.

Power is the key that locks the system of racism and any system of oppression in place. Prejudice is a set of negative beliefs generalized about a whole group of people. All people hold prejudices, but only the dominant group has the power to enforce laws, establish institutions and set cultural standards that are used to dominate those who are the subject of their prejudice. For example, only White people had the institutional power to establish separate and unequal schools for White children and children of African American or American Indian heritage. Only White people had the institutional power to establish and enforce past Jim Crow laws and current drug laws that disproportionately target crack cocaine users who are more often people of color over powder cocaine users who are mostly White. White people established standards of beauty and defined the cultural norm of individualism. All White people do not have individual power, but all White people benefit from dominant White cultural and institutional power.

White privilege is the spill over effect of racial prejudice and White institutional power. It means that a White person in the United States has privilege, simply because one is White. It means that as a member of the dominant group a White person has greater access or availability to resources because of being White. It means that White ways of thinking and living are seen as the norm against which all people of color are compared. Life is structured around those norms for the benefit of White people. White privilege is the ability to grow up thinking that race doesn’t matter. It is not having to daily think about skin color and the questions, looks, and hurdles that need to be overcome because of one’s color. White privilege may be less recognizable to some White people because of gender, age, sexual orientation, economic class or physical or mental ability, but it remains a reality simply because of one’s membership in the White dominant group.
The ELCA Social Statement defines racism, with its mix of power, privilege and prejudice, as sin and “a violation of God’s intention for humanity” (p. 4). The church has participated in the sin of racism as it has used its power to intertwine White cultural norms with the stories of the Gospel. The church has perpetuated the definition of “White” as right and pure, and “Black” as sinful and unclean. Pictures of a White Jesus—although born in the Middle East—permeate churches. In essence, White privilege needs to be addressed because as Christians we have “missed the mark” and fallen short of God’s intention for humanity. The church has fallen short of God’s intent for us to be one in Christ (Galatians 3:28), and missed the mark of “loving your neighbor as yourself” (Matthew 22:39), particularly neighbors of color who have experienced firsthand time after time the effects of White privilege, the effects of power and control.

This resource addresses the need to examine the sin of racism and its affect on mission and ministry within a multicultural society. It does that by addressing White Christians. The time has come to examine the question of why the ELCA, and other mainline Protestant denominations, remain so White and so exclusive of others. The church cannot become inclusive without first telling the truth about how it consciously and unconsciously operates in exclusive ways.

In this resource, participants will seek to discover what has been lost because of racism and its accompanying White privilege and what needs to be found (Luke 15). Participants will enter the river with Naaman the great Syrian military leader (2 Kings 5) and will explore what needs to be left behind in order to be healed. Participants will work to recognize the truth of who we have been and who we are. The truth needs to be revealed—the truth about the history, legacy, and “present-ness” of racism and White privilege in our society and in our church. The great reformation text is applicable here—“If you continue in my word, you are truly my disciples; and you will know the truth, and the truth will make you free” (John 8:31-32).

This church (the ELCA) of the Reformation needs to confront the truth that we remain predominantly White, while society is continually becoming more multicultural.

This resource was developed to confront and discuss openly the truth of our past and present in order to be set free to move from privilege to partnership. It was developed to work toward the vision of “that Rainbow Church, that beloved community” where all are treated as people created in the image of God. Only when we become like the Shepherd and the woman who intently searched after what was lost, or become like Naaman and are willing to enter into
the river of truth, will we be healed and set free to re-form our church so that it looks and acts more like what God desires and intends for the Church universal and for all of creation.

Why address White privilege? Because as White people and as disciples of Christ we need to move from privilege to partnership. We need to know and understand privilege in order to work in new ways toward partnership, in which we intentionally appreciate, respect, learn from, and equally share power with our neighbor whose cultural identity and past is different from ours. The journey requires intentionality in moving out of our safe and secure sanctuaries of home and church and into our local and global neighborhoods to meet, listen and enter into relationship with our neighbors of color — those with whom we have missed the mark of loving as our self.

The ELCA Social Statement “Freed in Christ” states, “Because of sin and indifference, intentional measures are necessary for vision to become reality” (p. 5). This resource is such an intention.
Troubled waters of large rapids can be dangerous to those who have the courage to enter. Those waters need to be negotiated with a skilled and experienced guide and trust in those with whom one journeys. Waters of a whirlpool are purposefully stirred up and troubled in order to provide healing. The troubling waters of this journey will require the courage to enter dialogue that seeks truth. It will require trust in the group of participants and the guidance of the facilitator. And it requires an openness to enter into the process for the purpose of healing from years of racism, unspoken White privilege and separation from people and communities of color.

The African American spiritual “Wade in the Water” repeats the words, “God’s a-goin’-a trouble the water” (Renewing Worship R159). The roots of this song can be traced back to a slave song from before the Civil War that served as a coded song with escape instructions. The words have been changed through time, but the reference to God’s help in leading to freedom is still clear.

This yearlong process begins with the premise that White Christians need healing from the effects of racism in order to find new freedom for full multicultural partnership. The journey is based in the same hope and prayer of the song “Wade in the Water,” that God will trouble the waters in a way that provides healing—healing from what has been lost in personal identity in being “White”—healing from fear and separation—healing from attitudes of prejudice and superiority. The process is based in scripture to discern where God is leading and guiding the church.

The journey toward freedom and partnership for White Christians is made more difficult by the invisibility of the chains that hold one captive to cultural systems and institutional structures based on White privilege. Exercises in this resource help to make those realities visible. Activities and discussion lead White Christians to address the specific role White people play in maintaining systems of racism. The resource raises questions of what it means to be White and how greater awareness, understanding, and commitment can lead to development of a new White identity that is consciously aware of systems of racism and actively working for change.

This yearlong journey begins at the baptismal font to remember the lifelong journey of daily dying and rising to new life. Each session begins and ends with a reminder of the healing and cleansing waters of Baptism. The resource is not based on guilt or blame, but rather on the responsibility as Christians to see, know, and understand truth. Participants will examine the history of the United States, the Church, and their own personal history for the legacy of White privilege. Within the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America (ELCA), despite a commitment in 1988 to become a church of 10 percent of the people of color or language other than English, the church remains majority White, non-Hispanic. Despite good intentions and past hopes, the journey to be a multicultural church is unfinished. Simply opening the doors and saying, “All are welcome,” is clearly not enough. This process is an intentional journey to enter into addressing hard questions of what needs to die in order for new life to rise.
The commitment to enter into this study should not be made lightly. It will mean re-examining old learnings and seeking after new ways of relating. It may mean giving up some old cultural traditions. It may include pain, tears, and challenge. Entering troubled waters to seek healing requires courage, trust, and a willingness to join with others to seek a church of full partnership. Welcome to the journey.

**Journey Overview**

**SEASON OF ADVENT:** A time of new beginning. These sessions engage participants in looking at God’s promise and vision and in beginning the journey to examine White privilege.

**Session 1: Beholding God’s Vision**
- Genesis 1: The Story of Creation
- Introduction to Study and Group Guidelines
- Rivers and Revelation

**Session 2: Remembering Stories**
- Luke 1 – The Story of the Promise of the Birth of John the Baptist
- Share the Story of My Cultural Journey

**Session 3: Brokenness and Promise**
- Creating the River
- Genesis 3 and 4 — The Vision is Broken
- Create the River of America

**SEASONS OF CHRISTMAS AND EPIPHANY:** A time of new insights and understanding. These seasons provide time and guidance for remembering one’s own story and for listening and learning from people of color and for hearing history and stories often untold.

**Independent Reading, Viewing, and Conversations**

**Session 4: Listening and Learning**
- Share the Epiphany Journey

**SEASON OF LENT:** A time for repentance. Weekly sessions lead participants in Bible study and discussion to examine the legacy of racism and White privilege in the United States, both in the church and in one’s own personal history.

**Session 5: Searching for What is Lost**
- Luke 15:1-10 – Parables of the Lost Sheep and the Lost Coin
- “Whiteness” Exercise
Session 6: Entering the Story of the United States
Recall the Early History of North America
Develop the Timeline of the United States

Session 7: Examining Attitudes of Privilege
Definitions of Social Dominance, Racism and White Privilege
Matthew 15:21-28 – Jesus and the Canaanite Woman
Social Dominance and Privilege

Session 8: Entering the Story of the Church
Examine Foundational Attitudes and Beliefs
Develop the Timeline of the Church

Session 9: Examining the Construction of Culture
Examine Culture and the Lutheran Church

Session 10: Entering My Story of Privilege
Chains of White Privilege
Celebration of Resistance of People of Faith

SEASON OF EASTER: A time of new life. Through the story of Naaman, participants will explore the process of healing from the disease of racism and examine the steps to a new White identity and a multicultural church.

Session 11: Entering the Healing Waters
2 Kings 5:1-14 – The Healing of Naaman
Journey toward Healing from Racism

Session 12: Walking the Journey toward New White Identity
Journey of White Racial Identity Development

Session 13: Building toward a Multicultural Church
Journey from Exclusion to Inclusion for White Congregations
Explore Steps for Change

SEASON OF PENTECOST: A time for action. The story of Peter and Cornelius will guide participants in prayer and vision and in taking steps to live out the vision of an inclusive church.

Session 14: Stepping Out in Faith
Acts 10:1-11:18 – Story of Peter and Cornelius
Steps to Act on Faith Commitments – Prayer
Session 15: Beholding God’s New Vision
Acts 10:1-43 – Story of Peter and Cornelius
Steps to Act on Faith Commitments: – Vision, Giving Up Old Messages, Commitment to Risk Taking, Gathering Community

Session 16: Taking Steps to Act
Acts 10:44-48 – Story of Peter and Cornelius
Steps to Act on Faith Commitments—Taking Action, Receiving Hospitality

Session 17: Reporting Back and Reflecting
Acts 11:1-17 – Peter and Accompanying Believers Report to Jerusalem
Steps to Act on Faith Commitments – Reporting Back and Reflecting
Meet with Congregation Council and Other Appropriate Groups for Report and Action

Session 18: Experiencing Change . . . Praising God . . . Continuing the Journey
Acts 11:18 – Story of Praise and New Beginnings in Jerusalem
Steps to Act on Faith Commitments – Experiencing Change, Celebrating and Praising God, and Continuing the Journey
Pre-Assessment

Complete the following assessment of your awareness and understanding of racism and White privilege. The assessment is for your personal use. Indicate where you see yourself on the spectrum from 1 to 5 (lesser to greater understanding) and describe your experiences. Keep the form in your folder. You will be asked to review this form at the end of the yearlong process.

### AWARENESS AND UNDERSTANDING OF WHITE PRIVILEGE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some Awareness | Much Awareness and Understanding

Define White privilege and comment on your level of awareness and understanding:

---

### DEFINITION OF SELF AS WHITE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See and Define Self as an Individual | See and Define Self as part of a White Group

Describe your definition of yourself as part of a racial group:

---

### EXPERIENCE IN BEING IN NON-WHITE SETTINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Avoid Settings and Situations of Being Only White Person | Seek out Non-White Settings

Describe experiences of being the only or one of a few White persons present in a group:

---

### COMFORT LEVEL IN NON-WHITE SETTINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Uncomfortable/Timid | Very Comfortable

Describe your comfort level in non-White settings:

---
STRENGTH OF RELATIONSHIPS ACROSS RACIAL LINES

1 2 3 4 5
Few/Weak Cross-Cultural Relationships...........................................................Strong and Good Cross-Cultural Relationships

Describe your relationships with persons of color:


AWARENESS AND UNDERSTANDING OF INSTITUTIONAL SYSTEMS OF RACISM

1 2 3 4 5
See Racism Primarily as Individual........................................................................Can Analyze Institutional Racism

Describe where and how you see racism at work, including your awareness and understanding of institutional racism:


AWARENESS AND UNDERSTANDING OF CULTURAL RACISM

1 2 3 4 5
Little Awareness of White Culture...........................................................................Can Analyze and Describe White Cultural Values

Describe your understanding of White culture and its impact on life in the church:


WILLINGNESS AND ABILITY TO TAKE ACTION AGAINST RACISM

1 2 3 4 5
Unsure How to Act or Speak against Racism......................................................Regularly Speak and Act against Racism

Describe your actions against racism:


FEELINGS AND RESPONSES IN TALKING ABOUT AND ADDRESSING ISSUES OF RACE

1 2 3 4 5
Fear/Avoidance/Hesitancy.......................................................................................Invite and Welcome Conversation

Describe your feelings about entering this study and engaging in regular conversations about race:
A Social Statement on Freed in Christ: Race, Ethnicity and Culture

Adopted by a more than two-thirds majority vote as a social statement of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America by its third Churchwide Assembly on August 31, 1993, in Kansas City, Missouri.

FACING GOD

1. A Time of Vision
For us as members of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America there is one God and one Lord, Jesus Christ, ". . . through whom are all things and through whom we exist" (1Cor 8:6).

Scripture speaks of one humanity, created by God. It recounts our rebellion and enslavement to sin. Scripture tells of a diverse people reconciled to God through the blood of the cross, a people set free for the work of reconciliation. It heralds a new freedom and future in one Lord, one faith, one baptism.

If the story of Babel is of a people scattered, the story of Pentecost is of a people called and gathered. Christ brings together the scattered children of God (John 11:52). The Holy Spirit breathes the freedom of the Gospel into the Church, where every people under heaven is represented.

A humanity enslaved to sin has been set free; a Church has been gathered in freedom. Cultural differences still matter, but they can be seen for what God intends—blessings rather than means of enslavement.

2. A Time of Confession
The Church is built on the confession made by Peter (Mat 16:13-20) and by Martha (John 11:1-27), when they declared Jesus to be the Messiah, the Son of God. From age to age the Church proclaims Christ, who was crucified for our trespasses and raised for our justification (Rom 4:25).

The Church confesses Christ, who has broken down the dividing wall (Eph 2:14). Christ, our peace, has put an end to the hostility of race, ethnicity, gender, and economic class. The Church proclaims Christ, confident this good news sets at liberty those captive behind walls of hostility (cf. Luke 4:18).

The Church looks toward the freedom of the reign of God, announced by and embodied in Jesus. But Christians live between the “now” of the reign of God and the “not yet” of its fulfillment. Trusting the promise of freedom, we can face the fact that each of us is captive, each of us is in bondage to sin (1 John 1:8).

Therefore, we confess our sinfulness. Because we are sinners as well as saints, we rebuild walls broken down by Christ. We fall back into enslaving patterns of injustice. We betray the truth that sets us free. Because we are saints as well as sinners, we reach for the freedom that is ours in Christ.

3. A Time of Commitment
We of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America, with the whole Church, look forward to the time when people will come from east and west, north and south to eat in the reign of God (Luke 13:29). For the Church catholic, diversity of cultures is both a given and a glimpse of the future.

The Evangelical Lutheran Church in America has roots in church bodies with a strong immigrant history. These churches kept the faith once delivered to the saints in ways appropriate to the cultural background of their membership. Besides preserving the faith, they furthered mission and ministry.

The Christ to whom the Church witnesses is the Christ who breaks down walls of cultural exclusivity (Mark 7:24-29; John 4). We of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America have recognized ourselves to be in mission and ministry in a multicultural society, and have committed ourselves to welcome cultural diversity. Given our history, the commitment was neither quick nor easy.
The commitment was made, though, in these and other ways:

- the goal that, within the first ten years of its existence, ten percent of this church’s membership would be African American, Asian, Hispanic, or Native American;
- the adoption of an organizational principle providing for the representation of cultural diversity on churchwide staff and on boards and other decision-making bodies; the creation of a Commission for Multicultural Ministries and adoption of a Multicultural Mission Strategy;
- the encouragement of people of African Descent, Asian, Arab and Middle Eastern, Latino, and Native American associations; the recognition of the Slovak Zion Synod and German, Hungarian, Finnish, and Danish special interest conferences; the regard for distinctive cultures, such as the Appalachian culture; the assertion that deafness leads to the creation of a unique language and culture, and a new context for ministry;
- the effort to start and to support ministry in people of African Descent, Asian, Arab and Middle Eastern, Latino, and Native American, or multicultural settings; the effort to recognize and to empower pastoral leaders while honoring their cultures; the effort to provide resources in languages other than English;
- the public policy advocacy at state, federal, and international levels that seeks to eliminate racial or ethnic discrimination; the private sector advocacy that encourages corporate social responsibility for community development;
- the attention to inclusivity by seminaries, colleges, and social ministry organizations of the church; and
- the respect for cultural diversity in the work of global mission.

4. A Time of Spiritual Crisis *

We of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America rejoice in our freedom in Christ Jesus. But we know we must persevere in our commitment to follow Christ and to serve neighbor, and live up to our specific commitments. While we have taken many measures fitting to a church in mission and ministry in a multicultural society, we still falter.

We falter in what we do, or in refusing to carry out what we have promised to do. We falter through ignorance of what we have done or left undone. We falter when we cling to old ideas that prevent us from becoming the people God calls us to be.

With all Christians everywhere, members of this church live in a time of crisis (Rom 2:1 ff.). We are torn between the freedom offered in Christ, the new Adam, and the captivity known by the old Adam. We are torn between becoming the people God calls us to be and remaining the people we are, barricaded behind old walls of hostility.

The social, economic, and political dimensions of the crisis are acute, and indications of it abound. A burning cross reminds us that blatant acts of intimidation, hatred, and violence continue. A critical look reminds us of barriers that are more insidious.

The source of this many-faceted crisis, however, is profoundly spiritual. We will rise to the crisis, not by making a longer list of commitments, but by persisting with repentant hearts.

FACING OBSTACLES

1. A Time to Take Culture Seriously

We of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America too often react fearfully or grudgingly to the diversity of cultures. We are to delight in the fact that the people called, gathered, and enlightened have such diversity. We are, as a multicultural church, to minister in a diverse but divided society.

Culture includes music, art, and dance, but is more than that. Culture—the attitudes and patterns of life—plays a part in setting priorities, developing procedures, and choosing expressions of faith.
This church has not moved much beyond an “assimilation” approach to culture, where the assimilated are those who adopt the values and behavior of the dominant culture. This keeps us from benefitting from the plurality of cultures already present in our church, and from appreciating the plurality of cultures in society.

This church clearly shares the brokenness of a society that has responded to cultural diversity through fear and efforts at assimilation. Our society has melded many European ethnic groups into mainstream America, but it has included people of other cultural identities only insofar as they have taken on the values and behavior of the dominant culture.

A wall of hostility stands intact. Captive on one side of the wall, people with access to opportunities and institutions are largely unaware either of their own cultural biases or the worth of other cultures. On the other side of the wall, people scarred by slavery and other forms of degradation and suffering have seen their cultures ridiculed and reviled, or destroyed.

2. A Time to Confront Racism

All of us sin and fall short of the glory of God (Rom 3:23).

Racism — a mix of power, privilege, and prejudice — is sin, a violation of God’s intention for humanity. The resulting racial, ethnic, or cultural barriers deny the truth that all people are God’s creatures and, therefore, persons of dignity. Racism fractures and fragments both church and society.

When we speak of racism as though it were a matter of personal attitudes only, we underestimate it. We have only begun to realize the complexity of the sin, which spreads like an infection through the entire social system. Racism infects and affects everyone, with an impact that varies according to race, ethnicity, or culture, and other factors such as gender or economic situation.

This church has often addressed words on racism to white members. We have done so because our mission and ministry are in a society where white people have been favored and hold unequal power to implement their prejudices—socially, politically, and economically. What has been the case is still the case: skin color makes a difference and white people benefit from a privileged position.

Racism, however, infects and affects everyone. It deforms relationships between and within racial, ethnic, or cultural groups. It undermines the promise of community and exacerbates prejudice and unhealthy competition among these groups. It robs white people of the possibility of authentic relationships with people of color, and people of color of the possibility of authentic relationships with white people.

Racism also can lead to the rejection of self, as when white people internalize guilt or people of color internalize values associated with white culture. It hinders us from becoming who God calls us to be.

When we rebuild walls of hostility and live behind them—blaming others for the problem and looking to them for solutions—we ignore the role we ourselves play in the problem and also in the solution. When we confront racism and move toward fairness and justice in society, all of us benefit.

3. A Time to Be the Church

Vision breaks through brokenness. We are one in Christ. As the body of Christ, we are free to live out our connectedness with each other. Promises are kept when vision is communicated in word and deed, and members are captured by it. For this to happen, we need the leadership of all who have been given responsibility and authority: members of congregations and their pastors; boards and staff of institutions and agencies of the church; synodical bishops; and the bishop of this church.

We expect our leadership to name the sin of racism and lead us in our repentance of it. Although racism affects each one of us differently, we must take responsibility for our participation, acknowledge our complicity, repent of our sin, and pray God will bring us to reconciliation.

Racism, both blatant and subtle, continues to deny the reconciling work of the cross. God’s forgiveness frees us from the enslavement of racism. For some, this may mean giving up power or privilege;
for others, it may mean giving up anger or prejudice. Let us know this reconciliation in our lives!

We expect our leadership to persevere in their challenge to us to be in mission and ministry in a multicultural society. The Church catholic already has diversity of cultures. For the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America, catholicity is a given. Members will question, however, why intentional measures have been taken in order for us to be a multicultural church.

Because of sin and indifference, intentional measures are necessary for vision to become reality. We expect our leadership to clarify why measures were taken, and to help members deal with the implications of such measures.

DOING JUSTICE

1. A Time for Public Leadership
Our world is one where racial and ethnic lines are drawn and enforced. Our world is one where hostility festers along those dividing lines, often bursting out in violence. Our world is one where power and prejudice combine in bitter oppression.

But God has not gathered the Church as yet one more example of brokenness. The Church exists to proclaim Jesus the Christ, whose life, death, and resurrection mean freedom for the world. The Church also exists to teach the law of God, announcing that the God who justifies expects all people to do justice.

So, the Church must cry out for justice, and thereby resist the cynicism fueled by visions that failed and dreams that died. The Church must insist on justice, and thereby refuse to blame victimized people for their situations. The Church must insist on justice, and thereby assure participation of all people.

The Church that pursues justice will face and address difficult social, political, and economic problems such as:

- how racism must be confronted in order to build a society where diversity is truly valued;
- how race and ethnicity figure in political decisions on immigration, crime, and environmental pollution; and
- how economic forces work against people of color in housing, medical care, education, and employment.

In its pursuit of justice, this church must question responses that are quick, easy, and, therefore, probably inadequate.

2. A Time for Public Witness
The Church that confesses Christ in public demonstrates its commitment through involvement in public life—globally and locally, nationally and in neighborhoods. Through public events such as elections or town meetings, through public bodies such as legislatures or volunteer groups, church members help to forge political will and consensus.

Participation in public life is essential to doing justice and undoing injustice. Only when people affected by racial and ethnic division speak publicly of painful realities, does there emerge the possibility of justice for everyone.

In places served by the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America, however, public life is too often in sorry shape, shallow, and fragmented. Increasingly cynical or simply bored, many residents ignore public debate. Many find it difficult to participate fully because of racial or ethnic barriers, or economic hardship.

This church, therefore, will actively promote a public life worthy of the name. We encourage public witness by members, and stand publicly as a church against injustice. We insist on a public forum accessible to everyone, since the interests of everyone are at stake.

3. A Time for Public Deliberation
One way that we, the members of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America, will promote a better public life is through example. This church has already committed itself to a moral deliberation that deals openly with conflict and controversy. In fact, such deliberation has helped us to discover new dimensions of mission and new possibilities for ministry.
This church will live up to its commitment to deliberation. Specifically, we will:

- model an honest engagement with issues of race, ethnicity and culture, by being a community of mutual conversation, mutual correction, and mutual consolation; model a healthy and healing response to the change that inevitably comes from cultural contact;
- model exchanges in which people of different cultures can find points of agreement while sometimes “agreeing to disagree;”
- encourage and participate in the education of young people, in order that they might be better equipped to live in a multicultural society;
- bring together parties in conflict, creating space for deliberation; and
- participate in identifying the demands of justice, and work with others who would have justice for all.

4. A Time for Advocacy

The Evangelical Lutheran Church in America received from its predecessor church bodies a solid foundation upon which to build advocacy for justice and opposition to racial and ethnic discrimination. We will listen to our advocates as we examine our own institutional life, and will model that for which we call.

Our advocacy will take place in partnership ecumenically, among corporations and local, state, and national governments. We look for positive incentives for change and fair distribution of the social costs of correcting past wrongs. We will work for respect of cultures, for example in mass media and public presentations, in art and advertising, and in other endeavors. We will speak against policy initiatives that discriminate on the basis of language.

This church will support legislation, ordinances, and resolutions that guarantee to all persons equally:

- civil rights, including full protection of the law and redress under the law of discriminatory practices; and to all citizens, the right to vote;
- access to quality education, health care, and nutrition;
- opportunity for employment with fair compensation, and possibilities for job training and education, apprenticeship, promotion, and union membership;
- opportunity for business ownership;
- access to legal, banking, and insurance services;
- the right to rent, buy, and occupy housing in any place; and
- access to public transportation and accommodation.

We of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America will advocate for just immigration policies, including fairness in visa regulations and in admitting and protecting refugees. We will work for policies that cause neither undue repercussions within immigrant communities nor bias against them.

Our efforts on behalf of local and international community and in opposition to racism will recognize the multicultural nature of the world. We will promote international respect for human rights, and support the international movement to eliminate discrimination.
Addendum

“Social Statements in the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America,” adopted by the 1989 Churchwide Assembly, states that an addendum shall be added to those statements that elicit significant division in the Churchwide Assembly. The following amendment (at the point indicated in the text) received support at the Churchwide Assembly but not the vote needed for approval.

“We of the ELCA with all Christians everywhere live in a time of crisis (Rom 2:1ff). We are faced with choices and decisions which mean success or failure, life or death. The Church cannot remain silent while the cross, symbol of Christ’s death to set us free, remains an instrument of racial, ethnic, and cultural hatred and evil. Cross burnings continue as acts of intimidation, hatred, and evil. Groups which espouse racial, ethnic, and cultural purity and which foster acts of racial and cultural annihilation recruit youth as well as adults. Ethnic centricity (racial, ethnic, and cultural purity) and economic instability give rise to worldwide acts of rioting, hatred, and violence. Some U.S. corporations exploit people of color in poorer nations by employing these people at below living wages to work in sweatshop conditions like those long outlawed in the United States, while efforts at economic self-sufficiency by people of color in the United States are resisted and undermined. Racism also creates identity and self-esteem crises for children of color, particularly those of interracial heritage.

Christ calls upon us to love our neighbors as ourselves (Luke 10:27). Christ does not qualify this mandate. A major part of the crisis is over lack of experience or knowledge of those whose race, ethnicity, and culture differ from our own.

The social, economic, and political dimensions of the crisis are acute. We consider the source of the crisis to be profoundly spiritual. The activist Christ threw the money changers out of the temple. The Church must continue to take an activist role. We must make a choice. Are we going to continue barricaded behind old walls of ignorance and hostility or are we going to be the people God calls us to be?
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Purpose
This session provides the purpose and background for the yearlong study and sets the tone for sharing, based on openness, trust, and respect. The foundation of this program will be laid within the framework of Baptism and of God’s vision as it is reflected at the beginning of the biblical story in Genesis and at the end in Revelation. We will reflect on the meaning of God’s vision as we commit to participate in this yearlong journey of moving from privilege to partnership.

Discussion 1 – Water Stories

- Share a troubling water story—an experience of a fast-flowing river or other troubled waters. How did you feel as you encountered those waters?
- Share a healing water story—an experience of peace-filled waters or healing river. What feelings does that memory stir in you?

Readings from the book Enter the River: Healing Steps from White Privilege Toward Racial Reconciliation, by Jody Miller Shearer

In a poetic sense, each story in this book is a stream, each stream leads to the river, and the river is a source of healing. We live in a world afflicted with racism. The affliction leaves us wounded. The river runs over our wounds, enters their depth, cleans them, and leads us on to more healing (p.13).

In many ways the journey to understand racism is also a journey to the river. It is not a journey across or back again, but a journey to enter in. Only when we enter in as equals will the river heal us. The river is mighty, flowing full of healing, but tinged with the pain of our history. Do not enter lightly or alone.

. . . Understanding the strengths and weaknesses of my own culture and the power I carry on either side of the river comes first. Only then can I hope to enter the river and find healing (p.32).

God also created the healing river. I cannot get away from it. The river draws me on, moves me forward, washes over me, washes over us all.


Which river is this? It is the Mississippi and the Jordan. It is the power of love and the necessity of redemption. It is our present, past, and future. It flows through the heart of our nation and the soul of our belief. The river is the hope of racial reconciliation (p.176).
Discussion 2 – Entering the River of this Study

- What touched you in Shearer’s description of entering the river as a metaphor for this journey from privilege to partnership?
- How do your experiences and feelings of entering troubled and healing waters speak to your feelings of entering the troubled and healing waters of this journey?
- Is there anything you need from this group to help you enter the waters of understanding racism and what it means to be White?

Journaling

Entering this journey is a step into new waters for this gathered community. Each session will provide opportunities for reflection and sharing. Keeping a journal provides an opportunity to record a personal journey of the year. Begin your journal this week as you reflect on your thoughts and feelings related to beginning this journey. What responses did you have to the definitions and comments expressed in the pre-readings? What thoughts, insights, or questions do you have after this session? Throughout the year, use your journal to write down thoughts, insights, or questions after each session. Record your feelings and perceptions throughout the course of this journey. Reflect on any changes you notice in your awareness, understanding, or behavior related to race. This journal is your own private reflection and it may be a source for discovery and growth.

Assignment

During the coming week reflect on the questions on the worksheet “Story of My Cultural Journey.” Take notes as thoughts and memories come forth. There will be time during the next session to draw your cultural journey.
Instructions: Brainstorm answers to each question in the space below. Knowing what you do about your cultural journey, draw it as best you can.

1. As far as you know, who were “your people” before coming to this country? What are the roots of your heritage?

2. Why and how did your ancestors come to the United States?

3. Where and how did they live when they arrived here? What realities, experiences, values shaped your people in this country? What were some significant turning points for your family of heritage during their journey?

4. What were some early events that influenced your identity?

5. Who were “your people” when you were growing up? How did you become aware of this? What impact did being a part of this group have on you?

6. Who were the people on the outside of your group? How did you feel toward them? What events influenced your feelings?

7. What were some significant turning points for you during your journey?

8. Who are “your people” now — those reference groups with whom you now identify?
Remembering Stories

Purpose
In this session we will reflect on the challenge laid before Zechariah to fully trust God’s promise. We will look at the stories of our own cultural journeys, recalling who and where we came from and reflecting on how that has influenced and shaped our lives.

Discussion on Luke 1:5-25; 57-66
- Who is Zechariah in this text? What is the vision he sees? How does he respond?
- What meaning do you find in Zechariah’s inability to speak and the return of his voice?
- Why do you think fear came over all their neighbors? Of what were they afraid?
- What meaning do you find for yourself in this text as you read it through the lens of White privilege?
- To what new ways of thinking or seeing are you being called?
- What are your hopes or fears for this study being a turning point in your journey?

Small Group Sharing
Share your drawing and story of your cultural journey.

Journaling
Continue to use your journal to reflect on your feelings and thoughts. As you are able in the coming weeks, invite family members to talk about issues of heritage or memories of stories of inclusion or exclusion in this country. What role did cultural identity play in earlier generations? What experiences or events helped to shape or change that identity through the years?
Brokenness and Promise

Purpose
This session moves from the vision of creation to the brokenness of human community. We will engage in the struggles of issues of dominance and broken relationships told in the Genesis stories of Adam and Eve and Cain and Abel. We will work with the image of a river in depicting the intersection of the peoples of the United States.

Reading on The River of America
Gary Howard, in his book *We Can’t Teach What We Don’t Know*, gives us an image of the river as the river of America. As you listen to this reading, think of the symbolic river of life that you created. How is God’s river impacted by the coming of people into that river? Consider what happens to the flow of a river and the impact on the land around it when it is dammed or put into concrete walls or otherwise dominated. What role has dominance played in the river of America?

Gary Howard writes of his journey down the Colorado River and through the Grand Canyon with his son Benj as guide:

On my first journey into the Canyon, I learned that the Colorado is not merely one river. Along the 276-mile stretch between Glen Canyon Dam and Lake Mead, many streams and tributaries join the Colorado. Each stream flows down a unique and separate side canyon, bringing water and silt from miles away, far beyond the rim of the Canyon. The color of the river changes constantly as fresh deposits enter the main channel. And the colors of the many tributaries themselves are in continuous flux, depending on the level and distribution of rainfall in their particular drainage systems.

On a recent journey down the Colorado, the river was flowing clear and blue when we put in at Lee’s Ferry. Soon, the Paria River brought a silt of whitish clay into the main channel, and the water took on a cloudy appearance. Later, the Little Colorado was flowing at high volume and added its thick and reddish-brown water to the Colorado, leaving it a rich and creamy ochre-brown. At the Havasu River we encountered a water of crystal-clear turquoise, the namesake of the Havasupai Indians who live in a small village in the side canyon, “the People of the blue-green water.” Throughout the eight days of our journey, as we passed each new side canyon and observed each subtle shift in the texture and hue of the river, I thought of our rich cultural diversity as a nation.
At its original source, the river of America was formed by the Native People of the continent. With their many languages, cultures, and traditional land bases, they were, and are still today, a richly varied stream. Then came the people of Europe, from different lands and cultures, and changed the river of America considerably upon their arrival. To this mixture came the people of Africa, who came locked in chains in the cargo bays of slave ships, not as willing immigrants. In spite of the pain and tragedy surrounding their arrival, Americans of African descent have added their rich and ancient cultural traditions to the river of America. Hispanic people were present as well, many of them mestizo, a mixture of Indian and European blood, a new people formed by the confluence of different streams that have contributed to the larger river. And the people of Asia came from their many cultures and homelands, adding even more currents of uniqueness to the larger river.

The river of America, like the Colorado, never stops changing. New streams are continually forming, bringing diverse religions, languages, cultures, tastes, styles, and traditions into the composite channel. As a nation, we are constantly influenced by both internal and external currents of change. Cultural groups within our borders evolve, adapt, migrate, intermarry with other groups, and transform themselves over generations and decades of change and flow. External events in Southeast Asia, Central America, Eastern Europe, the Middle East, and Africa stimulate the flow of more people and cultures into the larger river. As is true for the side canyons of the Colorado, those of us already on the river below cannot see all the historical and cultural terrain these new arrivals have traversed in their journey to join us in the river of America. We cannot know all that they have experienced in their homelands, yet they touch our lives, change us, and make us deeper and richer as a nation because of what they bring to the river.

I realize that this vision of the river of diversity may appear considerably idealized. In actual experience there has been much pain and struggle in these waters, particularly in those places where various cultural streams have met, in both the United States and other nations of the West. In fact, I have learned from my son that the wildest rapids along the Colorado are created in the confluences, those places where tributaries join the main river. Over eons of time, large floods in the side canyons have occasionally washed huge boulders into the main channel, sometimes completely stopping its flow. The river would build up tremendous pressure behind these temporary dams, and when it finally broke through, a major rapid would be left as a memory of the tumultuous event. These places of turmoil are the most exciting for white-water rafters, but they are also the most dangerous. The same is true for the confluence of cultures. The places where we meet across our differences as human beings can provide stimulating and adventurous opportunities for new learning, but they have also been places of pain, conflict, and loss. . . .
River guides say that the most dangerous places along the Colorado are the “hydraulics.” These are deep holes of powerful recirculating current created on the downriver side of large boulders in the main channel. River guides know that the larger hydraulics can endanger even their 40-foot power rafts, holding them captive in the middle of the current or capsizing their passengers at the whim of the river. A guide must be familiar with the nature and location of these holes and respect their power. On the river of diversity, the holes are a metaphor for the dynamics of White dominance, which have been recirculating for centuries in our institutional practices and cultural assumptions and have always endangered our journey toward unity and social justice (pp 66-68).

Reprinted by permission of the publisher from Howard, Gary, We Can’t Teach What We Don’t Know: White Teachers, Multiracial Schools (New York: Teachers College Press, © 1999 by Teachers College, Columbia University. All rights reserved.)), pp. 62, 65-68.

Creating the River of America
• You will receive a roll of crepe paper representing a group of people in the United States.
• Talk about the gifts people of this heritage brought to America.
• Discuss where and how to place a tributary of the group on the wall.
• Consider the placement, flow, size, etc., of the tributary so that it can depict the flow of the people relative to the other groups and to its view of its place in the river.
• At the “head waters” of the tributary, write several gifts that the people of this heritage bring to the river.

ELCA Social Statement – “Freed in Christ: Race, Ethnicity, and Culture”
• Take turns reading “A Time to Confront Racism” on page 16, section #2.
• Paragraph 1 defines racism as a mix of power, privilege, and prejudice. How does the river manifest that definition?
• Paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 talk about the various ways racism affects the lives of both White people and people of color. How does the river portray those effects?
• How has your life in the river of America been affected by racism?
• What meaning or insights do the stories of Genesis 3 and 4 bring to an understanding of racism and what it means to be White in America?

Christmas and Epiphany Assignment
The seasons of Christmas and Epiphany will be seasons for going out to listen and to discern the gifts that are received from people of other cultures and races.

As you talk with others and read and listen during these next weeks, be alert to the gifts that people of other races and cultures bring to the whole human community. Write down those gifts on the slips of paper you’ve been given and bring them back for the first session in Lent. Those gifts will be added to the tributaries.

Spend time noticing people you interact with in your daily life, especially noting the people of color that you have contact with. Be alert to the person or people that you may have been reluctant to approach or who you have wanted to get to know better. Pray about the person and consider approaching him or her to have a conversation. Be careful not to be dominating or demanding. Be honest and authentic in truly wanting to get to
know this person. Be willing to step outside your comfort zone. If the response is “no,” accept it graciously. Work at building a relationship. Share your journey in engaging in this study and your commitment to learning more about who you are as a White person and what that identity means in relationship to other people and your place in the world. Ask the person if they would be willing to share some of the story of their heritage if you share some of yours. You may want to begin with a sharing of Christmas, Kwanzaa, Three Kings or other festivals.

As you engage in conversation, notice if you want to jump in and give an explanation about how you see what the person is talking about, or if you want to defend someone or some system. Simply listen. Try not to respond, interpret, defend, or explain away what the person may be feeling or trying to share with you. Listen with an open and receiving heart and mind. Hear, listen, and take in the stories of others as a very precious gift.

If you are not able to engage in direct conversation with someone, read or view at least three resources on the list of books and videos.

**Journaling**

Continue to write in your journal. Note your feelings and thoughts as you relate to people who are new to you, or as you discover new information and learn more of the journey of other people in this country.
Season of Epiphany

Session 4

Listening and Learning

Purpose
During the group session, we will share our learnings and insights from conversations with people of color, readings or viewing. We will work to continue to stretch our comfort zones and to hear new voices in the remaining weeks.

Suggested Reading
Explore the many books, fiction and nonfiction, that are written by people of color. Browse the bookshelves of your local library or bookstore. Find works that appeal to you and may bring you a different perspective. Listen to the voices of James Baldwin, Maya Angelou, Toni Morrison, Amy Tan, Lalita Tademy, and many, many others. Also, read adolescent literature such as Mildred Taylor’s Roll of Thunder, Hear My Cry.

The following books focus on history, sociology, and implications of race in the United States:


**Suggested Viewing**


*La Familia Pérez* (1995), directed by Mira Nair.

*Once Upon a Time. . .When We Were Colored* (1996), directed by Tim Reid.

*Selena* (1997), directed by Gregory Nava.

*Soul Food* (1997), directed by George Tillman Jr.
Purpose
In this session we will explore the loss for White people living in a racist society. We will engage in the stories of the lost sheep and the lost coin from Luke 15 and will explore the meaning of the texts through the lens of racism. We will examine the concept of individualism as a White cultural value and will explore the resulting loss of human community.

Reading from The Hidden Wound by Wendell Berry
“. . . I have been unwilling until now to open in myself what I have known all along to be a wound—a historical wound, prepared centuries ago to come alive in me at my birth like a hereditary disease, and to be augmented and deepened by my life. If I had thought it was only the black people who have suffered from the years of slavery and racism, then I could have dealt fully with the matter long ago: I could have filled myself with pity for them, and would no doubt have enjoyed it a great deal and thought highly of myself. But I am sure it is not so simple as that. If white people have suffered less obviously from racism than black people, they have nevertheless suffered greatly; the cost has been greater perhaps than we can yet know. If the white man has inflicted the wound of racism upon black men, the cost has been that he would receive the mirror image of that wound into himself. As the master, or as a member of the dominant race, he has felt little compulsion to acknowledge it or speak of it; the more painful it has grown the more deeply he has hidden it within himself. But the wound is there, and it is a profound disorder, as great a damage in his mind as it is in his society.

This wound is in me, as complex and deep in my flesh as blood and nerves. I have borne it all my life, with varying degrees of consciousness, but always carefully, always with the most delicate consideration for the pain I would feel if I were somehow forced to acknowledge it. But now I am increasingly aware of the opposite compulsion. I want to know, as fully and exactly as I can, what the wound is and how much I am suffering from it. And I want to be cured; I want to be free of the wound myself, and I do not want to pass it on to my children.

Excerpt from The Hidden Wound, by Wendell Berry. Copyright © 1989 by Wendell Berry. Reprinted by permission of North Point Press, a division of Farrar, Straus and Giroux. LLC.
Discussion on Luke 15:1-10 Parables of the Lost Sheep and Lost Coin

- How did you experience and feel the sense of loss in these parables?
- With whom or what have you normally identified in this text? Did you identify any differently in this reading today?
- Who do you see as being lost from the larger community and at what consequence to the community?
- What would it mean to you if White people are the ones who are lost?
- The “Freed in Christ: Race, Ethnicity, and Culture” Social Statement identifies effects—and losses—on White people because of racism. What have you lost because of racism?
- In the parables, and in life, what does it take for discovery and restoration to occur?

Discussion on Whiteness Exercise

- What was it like to be asked the question, “When are you White?” Was it easy or difficult to respond? What feelings did you have?
- What did you notice or learn?

Reflection on Being White

Most of us have spent little time thinking of ourselves as being White. As Robert Terry has said, “Being White in America is never having to think about it.” For the most part, we grow up in the United States surrounded by images of Whiteness, reading about history from a White perspective, reading White authors, dealing with White people as the people in authority, and functioning with cultural norms that are based in western, European heritage. Our life is seen as normal and we generally do not wake up everyday looking at the color of our skin and having to prepare ourselves for how we will be treated because of our skin color. Peggy McIntosh speaks of our ability to be oblivious to our skin color—and all of the other advantages we receive—as White privilege. She talks about an invisible knapsack that we walk around with constantly. We did not ask for and we may not want it, but we have it. It is unearned and is simply given to us at birth. We may have other factors that work against us by virtue of gender, economic class, age, physical ability, or sexual orientation, but in our daily lives, the institutional systems that govern our lives are led by White people and are set up with White people in mind.

We tend, as White people, to see ourselves as individuals, rather than as members of a group. We describe ourselves as members of the human race, rather than as members of the White race. We see others as racial beings, and describe them as such, but we do not see ourselves or describe ourselves as White racial beings. We tend to group people of American Indian and Alaskan Native, African, Asian, Latin and Arab/Middle Eastern heritages as “multicultural,” but do not include ourselves as White people as one equal part of that multicultural mix. Our temptation is to define others and assign group characteristics, but to see and define ourselves as individuals.
Discussion on White Racial Identity

- Reflect again on the question, “When are you White?”
- How important was identification of yourself as a part of a race of people in your growing up?
- Is race a usual part of your self-identification and introduction to others? Why or why not?
- Share your feelings about being identified as a part of “White people.”

Journaling

As you write in your journal this week, reflect on what it means to be part of a White group and the loss you experience because of being White.

Assignment

Read and reflect on the article “White Spaces” by Tobin Miller Shearer before the next session.
“If we would build a beloved community across racial lines, we must confront the ways that racism shapes and wounds not only persons of color, but also those who are white.”

For most of my adult life, I have been involved in work to overcome racism. For me as a white male, this has meant confronting not only the effects of racism on people of color, but also the ways racism and white privilege have shaped my own life and spirituality.

As I consider racism’s effect on my life, I often think of the unnamed scribe in Mark’s Gospel who asks Jesus which commandment is the greatest. Jesus surprises the scribe with a twofold response: You shall love the Lord your God with all your heart, soul, mind, and strength; and you shall love your neighbor as yourself. After the scribe affirms Jesus by adding that love of God and neighbor is “much more important than all whole burnt offerings and sacrifices,” Jesus tells him: “You are not far from the kingdom of God” (12:28-34).

These words of Jesus ring in my ears, for I think that this scribe’s situation parallels the identity of white people who struggle with racism today. Like the scribes of Jesus’ time, we are the beneficiaries, the privileged ones in a stratified society that oppresses the poor and defines many as unclean. We are the ones who get “greeted with respect in the marketplace” and have “the best seats in synagogues and places of honor at banquets.” By the virtue of our skin color, we end up profiting at the expense of the poor and oppressed.

It is difficult to honestly acknowledge the power and privilege we receive because of our whiteness. Once we do, we may wonder if that is not enough: “Are we really that far from the kingdom?” we ask. “Is something keeping us from entering in?”

We would do well to listen to Jesus’ words to the scribe. Even though this exchange is mostly positive —in fact it’s the only place in Mark’s Gospel where Jesus’ interactions with a scribe are not entirely negative—Jesus still does not invite the scribe into the kingdom. He is near, but he is not yet in.

Jesus knows what holds us back from the kingdom. He invites us to enter in.

To be healthy, all of us need to know who we are. For white people, part of that knowledge comes from recognizing how our whiteness hurts us, how it holds us back. In considering how we might enter the kingdom, I believe there are four “white spaces” we must confront.

The first of these spaces is isolation. Most white people have a difficult time understanding themselves as part of a group. Our first—almost instinctual—response is to think of ourselves as individuals. While this heightened sense of individualism is true of all members of Western society, I believe this impulse tends to be amplified and warped among white people. Many of us have lost any sense of our group identity as white persons.

As I consider the way this dynamic shapes my own life, I see that I sometimes isolate myself from other whites by conveying the impression that I am a well-read, irreproachable antiracist expert. I rationalize that the amount of energy I’ve devoted to antiracism efforts has earned me the right to no longer acknowledge the effects and reality of racism in my life. I function as if my efforts have somehow separated me from any collective white identity.

Having recognized this tendency, I’ve begun to try to identify more with the resistance I sometimes experience from other whites in discussions of racism. When I say, “Racism makes all white people into racists,” I try to put myself in the place of someone who might be hearing those words for the first time. I remember the resistance I felt when I first heard those words.

It is the same resistance I feel when a colleague of color challenges me about something
I have said. It is the same resistance I feel when I realize that I respond differently to the young Latino man who walks past me than I did to the young white man who passed me on the same sidewalk a block earlier.

Long-time antiracism organizer and author Dody Matthias once reminded me, "We have to remember the pain and discomfort we all go through as white people when we first become aware of racism’s effects on us. It is like remembering the pain of coming out of the birth canal to look around at a new world."

When I am able to connect with how difficult it is for all of us who are white to name our racism, how difficult it is for each of us to come through that birth canal, I am better able to respond to the resistance I might encounter in a workshop or conversation. I am better able to talk without shame about working against racism in my majority white congregation. And I am ready to stop protecting white people—including myself—from the pain of facing our complicity in this racist system.

In the space of isolation, the task for us is connecting. We who are white are not autonomous individuals. We must learn to understand together that we are a group of people who have all been shaped into being white.

A second white space is control. For many of us, this may be the most difficult space to visit. We do not want to acknowledge how accustomed we are to being in control. Even when dealing with racism, we want to define the problem and then find the solution, the correct response, to this social evil. We are reluctant to acknowledge the spiritual effects of racism on our lives and our inability to free ourselves completely from its influence.

In institutional settings, the desire for control sometimes takes the form of maintaining and promoting programs that benefit white people at the expense of people of color. Many of the short-term service ventures prevalent in church mission agencies are a prime example of the unspoken desire of white-led institutions to remain in control.

Typically, such programs take privileged and resourced people (most of them white) into impoverished settings for short-term service. In the September 1995 issue of A Common Place, James Logan spoke of his experience as a young African American recipient of such short-term service: “I call them ‘get-to-know-the-ghetto tours.’” Logan points out that such projects contribute to the community’s destabilization, rather than increasing its health. “Short-term service is, I think, very much like crack cocaine and alcoholism; it gives a false sense of security. But it does not build a coherent, intergenerational community that empowers its members.”

Even in the face of such concerns, short-term service endeavors remain popular. While the effects of such projects are admittedly complex and amorphous, the vast amounts of funding and participation that allow such programs to continue with such vigor seem to indicate that something else is going on. The fact that such service continues to be so prevalent, when that service may in fact be harmful, speaks powerfully of the need for the sponsoring institutions to set the agenda, rather than taking their lead from those in the communities that they seek to serve.

The principal task I’ve identified in this white space of control is that of letting go. One concrete expression of this is an emphasis on accountability to communities of color. Such accountability can put us in a place of not being able to rely on white privilege.

In our work as an antiracism training team, my colleagues and I try to ensure that people of color get veto power. For example, if one of our workshops includes an uncooperative participant, and we cannot agree whether to confront this person directly or let the behavior go for the time being, we give the people of color the final say. In disagreements over training in potentially volatile settings, again the final word goes to people of color.

Antiracism work can quickly become warped if it involves white people who fundamentally do not love themselves.
cannot depend on my white control and privilege. Yet I know how powerfully God can act when I allow myself to be grounded in the space of letting go.

Racism also situates whites in a place of loss. Yet we who are white seldom recognize what we have lost because of racism, nor are we given permission to grieve this loss.

In the process of becoming white, European Americans lost much of their culture and history. We disowned an intimate understanding of where we came from and how we came to be. We lost our own stories. Just as the people of the Hebrew Scriptures had to remind themselves again and again how they came to be the children of Israel, so do we as white people need to recover our own stories of foundation.

As we begin to confront our own racism, we may be tempted to keep our exploration of these issues on an intellectual level. Confronting issues of race on an emotional and spiritual level can be painful. But if we are open to grieving, we may be able to hear what we have previously ignored.

Author Lillian Roybal Rose has pointed out the need for whites to move beyond a purely intellectual struggling with racism. Yet she recognizes how difficult it will be for most of us: “The movement to a global, ethnic point of view requires tremendous grieving. I encourage white people not to shrink from the emotional content of this process. . . . When the process is emotional as well as cognitive, the state of being an ally becomes a matter of reclaiming one’s own humanity.”

I suspect that beneath much of our hesitancy to grieve is an emotional response that begs to be expressed—perhaps at first in anger or denial, possibly even in weeping. All these are expressions of grieving the loss of critical, life-giving parts of our humanity. Such grieving takes great courage and commitment. And the importance of a caring and nurturing community to surround us as we grieve cannot be overstated.

I once witnessed a video of a worldwide gathering of Christian indigenous people. It was filled with images of worship, but it was worship unlike any I had ever experienced. Group after group sang, danced, walked, chanted, and moved in their indigenous dress, language, and style of worship. I saw Maori, Choctaw, Filipino, Finn, and Zulu worship styles explode with Christ-centered jubilation.

In one scene a middle-aged Indonesian man danced slowly across the screen with a power and grace I have rarely witnessed. As I watched him act out a battle with Satan, his face filled with dignity and strength, I began to cry.

I cried for joy that this fully human, profoundly fleshy experience of worship was still with us. But I also cried out of grief that somewhere in the history of becoming white my own indigenous roots and identity had been left behind. I cried that my mother had been taught that dancing was profound sin. I cried that in my own church congregation we seem to barely register that we even have bodies. And I cried because I knew that as we have called ourselves white and declared ourselves superior, we have also become poorer.

If we are willing to be honest with our grief, to confront what we have lost, we can move forward into reclaiming who we are. We can begin to confront our own personal journeys in “becoming white,” as well as our family and collective histories. When these tasks of reclamation are undertaken with full knowledge of how the dominant society tries constantly to shape white people into racists, the journey of reclamation can be joyful and life-giving. It can also become a profound act of resistance to racism.

Finally, one of the most curious spaces that racism creates for white people is a space of loathing: both a self-loathing and an active distaste for and mistrust of other white people. I have known some ardently antiracist whites who seem unable to sit down and simply enjoy the company of other white
people. It does us no good if, in the midst of working to dismantle racism, we end up hating one another.

Sometimes white people who work to end racism try to express their deep commitment to this cause by lashing out at other white people—or even at themselves. Such attacks are not healthy for us, nor do they help to confront racism. This final white space of loathing must be countered with the difficult task of learning to love ourselves and others.

I was confronted with the difficulty of this at a family reunion one summer. Two of my relatives presented a skit that was introduced as an encounter between a pastor and a “colored” man. The skit proceeded to show a racist stereotype of a confused, illiterate “colored man,” complete with Southern drawl.

After getting over our initial shock, my wife Cheryl and I left the room. Amid tears and embarrassment, we talked about how we should respond. We decided that we had to return and say something. Although it was a moment of utter dread and sheer terror, we both felt we could not live with integrity if we did not speak up.

So we went back into that gathering of about one hundred relatives, and spoke about the pain the skit had caused us. I told them how much I want to be proud of my family and described how disappointed and hurt I’d been by our collective silence in the face of the skit. I spoke about how saddened I was by the messages this skit might have taught my young sons. Yet I felt glad that my sons were there to see at least one small way in which we were trying to love each other in spite of this racism.

After we spoke, all I wanted to do was leave. Yet several relatives came up and told me how much they appreciated what Cheryl and I had done. Their presence and support gave me the courage to stay in the room and to continue to be with folks whom I didn’t even want to see in those moments.

It may seem strange to conclude a systemic analysis of the effects of racism on whites by focusing on the interpersonal principle of loving one another. Yet the systemic and the personal are not, in fact, contradictory.

The work of dismantling systemic racism and building new institutions that are not based on white power and privilege needs to be infused with a deep love for and among all of us who are working together. Antiracism work can quickly become warped if it involves white people who fundamentally do not love themselves.

Underlying each of these white spaces — isolation, control, loss, and loathing — is the pattern of internalized superiority that racism has taught all white persons. We have believed that we have the answers. It can shake our very foundations to discover that these lessons of superiority and our ensuing dependence on privilege may inhibit our complete and unlimited entrance to the kingdom.

I believe that our inability to confront and pass through these four white spaces may keep us from completely entering the kingdom. It is my hope that a deeper focus on connection, grounding, reclaiming, and loving might help remove those barriers to living out God’s reign that are particular struggles for white people.

Jesus words to the unnamed scribe serve as both a caution and an invitation. “You are not there yet,” he seems to say to us, “but keep working together, so that one day you might all enter the kingdom rejoicing.”

Reprinted by permission from The Other Side Online, © 2002 The Other Side, March-April 2002, Vol. 38, No. 2.
www.theotherside.org/archive/mar-apr02/sherer.html
Purpose
In this session we will explore the timeline of United States history, noting the dates and events that manifest systemic racism. We will work together to shape the timeline to deepen our awareness and understanding of White dominance.

Group Reflection Questions on the River / Timeline

- Notice the stream of the red crepe paper. When does it come into the river? How much do you know of the story of the indigenous people of this stream? Collectively recall the early history of North America prior to 1492. Address questions, such as: When did people first move into this land? Who were the people who lived here? What languages did they speak? How did their cultural traditions vary across the land from east to west? Who were the leaders of the people? What role did spirituality play in their lives? What were their forms of government? What were their forms of agriculture and commerce? How did those vary across the land from ocean to ocean? What knowledge and gifts did they have as a people?

- Talk about the ability or inability to answer those questions. Compare the ability to answer those questions about North America to the ability to answer those same questions about Europe prior to 1492. What does it mean that we know more of the stories of peoples of Europe than of the peoples of the land in which we live?

- Look at the tributaries of black, yellow, brown and orange on your river. Collectively share the stories and histories of Africans, Asians, Latinos, and Arab/Middle Easterners. How much have you learned of their stories? What was the story of the lands from which they came? When did they come to this land? How did they come? Why did they come?

- Noting the five streams of people coming into this land after 1492, whose history do you know best? Why?

- What is the meaning of being an “American” and not knowing the history of this land and its indigenous people?

- What does it mean to be “American” for people of American Indian/Alaskan Native, African, Latino, Asian, and Arab/Middle Eastern heritages? Whose history and stories are they taught in school?

- What does it mean that “America” was “discovered” in 1492? What meaning does that language convey about the place of White people in history?

- What is told of history in this land from 1492 to 1607? Whose story is told from that point on?
Reading from Howard Zinn's *A People’s History of the United States*

When the Pilgrims came to New England they too were coming not to vacant land but to territory inhabited by tribes of Indians. The governor of the Massachusetts Bay Colony, John Winthrop, created the excuse to take Indian land by declaring the area legally a “vacuum.” The Indians, he said, had not “subdued” the land, and therefore had only a “natural” right to it, but not a “civil right.” A “natural right” did not have legal standing.

The Puritans also appealed to the Bible, Psalm 2:8: “Ask of me, and I shall give thee, the heathen for thine inheritance, and the uttermost parts of the earth for thy possession.” And to justify their use of force to take the land, they cited Romans 13:2: “Whosoever therefore resisteth the power, resisteth the ordinance of God: and they that resist shall receive to themselves damnation” (pp. 13-14).


**Shaping the United States Timeline**

Divide into four small groups:

1. 1607-1787  
2. 1788-1864  
3. 1865-1920  
4. 1921-Present

- Review the preliminary list of dates.
- Brainstorm additional dates and events in your section of time.
- Read the sections from *A People’s History of the United States* that apply to your section of years.
- Discuss the relationships of people of American Indian/Alaskan Native, European, African, Asian, Latino, and Arab/Middle Eastern heritages during this period of time.
- Choose one event on the timeline and talk about that event using three questions that are helpful in reading an historical account: 1) Who is telling the story? 2) Who is actor and who is acted upon? 3) How is the story different from other stories about the same event, especially those stories told by people who “lost”?
- Put your dates and events on the timeline or draw a symbol or symbols on the timeline that depict this period of time.

**Discussion on Timeline**

- What have you lost in the traditional telling of United States history?
- Where is your own story lost in this telling of United States history?
- What has been the benefit of being White in the United States?
- How does the telling of the story shape and influence your understanding of the world and your place in it?
Journaling
In your journal this week, reflect on the experience of building the timeline. Record your thoughts and feelings as you reflect on the meaning and impact of the timeline in your own life.

Assignment
Read the article "Understanding White Privilege" by Frances E. Kendall before the next session. As you read, record your thoughts, feelings, reactions, and questions. What makes you uncomfortable? On what points would you challenge the author? In what ways does the author challenge you to see things differently? Note the parts of the article you want to talk about at the next session.
Columbus’ arrival — Ten million people in North America. The number would ultimately be reduced to less than a million. When Columbus landed, 250,000 people were living in Haiti. In two years, through murder, mutilation, or suicide, half were dead. By the year 1515, 50,000 Indians were left. By 1550, there were only 500. In 1650, a report shows none of the original Arawaks or their descendants were left on the island. (Zinn, pp. 4-5)

Colony of Jamestown, Virginia, founded
Santa Fe, New Mexico, founded (in Mexico)
Twenty Africans arrive in Jamestown and are sold
New York and New Jersey recognize legality of slavery
Quakers sign first official written protest against slavery in North America
San Antonio, Texas, founded (in Mexico)
South Carolina limits the vote to free White Christian men
Future President George Washington acquires Mount Vernon estate and its 18 slaves. Eventually he owns 200 slaves
170,000 slaves in Virginia—about half the population
U.S. Constitution Adopted—by whom and for whom? For purposes of representation, Indians were not counted, and slaves were counted as 3/5 of a person
U.S. Census lists number of slaves (17.8 percent of population), number of English, Scotch, Irish, Dutch, French, German, Hebrew and other; number of slave holding and non-slave-holding families; American Indian people are not listed
Eli Whitney invents the cotton gin
U.S. Capitol built by slave labor—Rotunda paintings of whom?
U.S. Census lists people as 1) Free Whites, 2) All Other Free Persons except Indians, and 3) Slaves
Louisiana Purchase: U.S. buys vast lands west of Mississippi from Napoleon
Lewis and Clark expedition, U.S.
Indian Removal Act passes Congress, calling for relocation of eastern Indians to an Indian territory west of the Mississippi River. The Cherokee “Trail of Tears” takes place in 1838-39
Texas declares independence from Mexico
United States acquires 174 million acres of Indian lands through 52 treaties, all of which are subsequently broken by Whites
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1846</td>
<td>U.S. declares war on Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1854</td>
<td>People v. Hall rules that Chinese cannot give testimony in court against Whites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1861</td>
<td>Civil War begins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1862</td>
<td>Homestead Act opens up Indian land in Kansas and Nebraska to White homesteaders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1866</td>
<td>KKK begins Campaign of Terror</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1869</td>
<td>Completion of first transcontinental railroad — 4,000 workers, 2/3 of whom were Chinese had built the transcontinental railroad over the Sierras and into the interior plains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1882</td>
<td>Chinese Exclusion Law — Preamble. Whereas, in the opinion of the Government of the United States the coming of Chinese laborers to this country endangers the good order of certain localities within the territory thereof: . . . the coming of Chinese laborers be suspended . . .</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1876 &amp; 1890</td>
<td>Sioux Indian Wars with the 7th Calvary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1887</td>
<td>Congress passes the General Allotment Act (the Dawes Act) in which reservation lands are given to individual Indians in parcels. Indians lose millions of acres of land.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1896</td>
<td>U.S. Supreme Court rules “separate but equal” facilities are constitutional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1904</td>
<td>Chinese exclusion made indefinite and applicable to U.S. insular possessions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1915</td>
<td>D.W. Griffith produces film “Birth of a Nation”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1924</td>
<td>Immigration Act denies entry to virtually all Asians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1942</td>
<td>Internment of Japanese Americans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1945</td>
<td>Atomic bombs dropped on Hiroshima and Nagasaki, ushering in nuclear age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1954</td>
<td>Brown v. Board of Education: U.S. Supreme Court rules that segregation in public schools is unconstitutional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955</td>
<td>Murder in Mississippi of Emmitt Till</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>The Civil Rights Act passed by Congress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Los Angeles police accused of beating African-American motorist Rodney King found not guilty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Dragging death of James Byrd in Jasper, Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Patriot Act passes in response to World Trade Center destruction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
When the Pilgrims came to New England they too were coming not to vacant land but to territory inhabited by tribes of Indians. The governor of the Massachusetts Bay Colony, John Winthrop, created the excuse to take Indian land by declaring the area legally a “vacuum.” The Indians, he said, had not “subdued” the land, and therefore had only a “natural” right to it, but not a “civil right.” A “natural right” did not have legal standing.

The Puritans also appealed to the Bible, Psalms 2:8: “Ask of me, and I shall give thee, the heathen for thine inheritance, and the uttermost parts of the earth for thy possession.” And to justify their use of force to take the land, they cited Romans 13:2: “Whosoever therefore resisteth the power, resisteth the ordinance of God: and they that resist shall receive to themselves damnation.” (Zinn, pp. 13-14)

By 1800, 10 to 15 million blacks had been transported as slaves to the Americas, representing perhaps one-third of those originally seized in Africa. It is roughly estimated that Africa lost 50 million human beings to death and slavery in those centuries we call the beginnings of modern Western civilization, at the hands of slave traders and plantation owners in Western Europe and America, the countries deemed the most advanced in the world.

In the year 1610, a Catholic priest in the Americas named Father Sandoval wrote back to a church functionary in Europe to ask if the capture, transport, and enslavement of African blacks was legal by church doctrine. A letter dated March 12, 1610, from Brother Luis Brandao to Father Sandoval gives the answer: Your Reverence writes me that you would like to know whether the Negroes who are sent to your parts have been legally captured. To this I reply that I think your Reverence should have no scruples on this point, because this is a matter which has been questioned by the Board of Conscience in Lisbon, and all its members are learned and conscientious men. Nor did the bishops who were in Sao Thome, Cape Verde, and here in Loando—all learned and virtuous men—find fault with it. We have been here ourselves for forty years and there have been among us very learned Fathers . . . never did they consider the trade as illicit. Therefore we and the Fathers of Brazil buy these slaves for our service without any scruple. . . . (Zinn, p. 29)

Slavery grew as the plantation system grew. The reason is easily traceable to something other than natural racial repugnance: the number of arriving whites, whether free or indentured servants (under four to seven years contract), was not enough to meet the need of the plantations. By 1700, in Virginia, there were 6,000 slaves, one-twelfth of the population. By 1763, there were 170,000 slaves, about half of the population. (Zinn, p. 32)

In the Carolinas, however, whites were outnumbered by black slaves and nearby Indian tribes; in the 1750s, 25,000 whites faced 40,000 black slaves, with 60,000 Creek, Cherokee, Choctaw, and Chickasaw Indians in the area. Gary Nash writes: “Indian uprisings that punctuated the colonial period and a succession of slave uprisings and insurrectionary plots that were nipped in the bud kept South Carolinians sickeningly aware that only through the greatest vigilance and through policies designed to keep their enemies divided could they hope to remain in control of the situation.”

The white rulers of the Carolinas seemed to be conscious of the need for a policy, as one of them put it, “to make Indians & Negros a checque upon each other lest by their Vastly Superior Numbers we should be crushed by one or the other.” And so laws were passed prohibiting free blacks from traveling in Indian country. Treaties with Indian tribes contained clauses requiring the return of fugitive slaves. Governor Lyttletown of South Carolina wrote in 1738: “It has always been the policy of this government to create an aversion in them [Indians] to Negroes.” (Zinn, pp. 54-55)
In the 1720s, with fear of slave rebellion growing, white servants were allowed in Virginia to join the militia as substitutes for white freemen. At the same time, slave patrols were established in Virginia to deal with the “great dangers that may . . . happen by the insurrections of negroes. . . .” Poor white men would make up the rank and file of these patrols, and get the monetary reward.

Racism was becoming more and more practical. Edmund Morgan, on the basis of his careful study of slavery in Virginia, sees racism not as “natural” to black-white difference, but something coming out of class scorn, a realistic device for control. “If freemen with disappointed hopes should make common cause with slaves of desperate hope, the results might be worse than anything Bacon had done. The answer to the problem, obvious if unspoken and only gradually recognized, was racism, to separate dangerous free whites from dangerous black slaves by a screen of racial contempt.”

There was still another control which became handy as the colonies grew, and which had crucial consequences for the continue rule of the elite throughout American history. Along with the very rich and very poor, there developed a white middle class of small planters, independent farmers, city artisans, who, given small rewards for joining forces with merchants and planters, would be a solid buffer against black slaves, frontier Indians, and very poor whites. (Zinn, pp. 56-57)

Some Cherokees had apparently given up on nonviolence: three chiefs who signed the Removal Treaty were found dead. But the seventeen thousand Cherokees were soon rounded up and crowded into stockades. On October 1, 1838, the first detachment set out in what was to be known as the Trail of Tears. As they moved westward, they began to die — of sickness, of drought, of the heat, of exposure. There were 645 wagons, and people marching alongside. Survivors, years later, told of halting at the edge of the Mississippi in the middle of winter, the river running full of ice, “hundreds of sick and dying penned up in wagons or stretched upon the ground.” Grant Foreman, the leading authority on Indian removal, estimates that during confinement in the stockade or on the march westward four thousand Cherokees died.

In December 1838, President Van Buren spoke to Congress:

“It affords sincere pleasure to apprise the Congress of the entire removal of the Cherokee Nation of Indians to their new homes west of the Mississippi. The measures authorized by Congress at its last session have had the happiest effects.” (Zinn, p. 146)

A after agitation, and aid from the United States, Texas broke off from Mexico in 1836 and declared itself the “Lone Star Republic.” In 1845, the U.S. Congress brought it into the Union as a state.

In the White House now was James Polk, a Democrat, an expansionist, who, on the night of his inauguration, confided to his Secretary of the Navy that one of his main objectives was the acquisition of California. . . .

The Washington Union, a newspaper expressing the position of President Polk and the Democratic party, had spoken early in 1845 on the meaning of Texas annexation:

“Let the great measure of annexation be accomplished, and with it the questions of boundary and claims. For who can arrest the torrent that will pour onward to the West? The road to California will be open to us. Who will stay the march of our western people?”

They could have meant a peaceful march westward, except for other words, in the same newspaper.

It is roughly estimated that Africa lost 50 million human beings to death and slavery in those centuries we call the beginnings of modern Western civilization.
per: "A corps of properly organized volunteers . . .
would invade, overrun, and occupy Mexico. They
would enable us not only to take California, but to
keep it." It was shortly after that, in the summer of
1845, that John O'Sullivan, editor of the
Democratic Review, used the phrase that became famous,
saying it was "Our manifest destiny to overspread

By 1700, in Virginia, there were 6,000 slaves, one-twelfth of the
population. By 1763, there were 170,000 slaves, about half of the
population.

the continent allotted by Providence for the free
development of our yearly multiplying millions." Yes, manifest destiny. . . .

Accompanying all this aggressiveness was the
idea that the United States would be giving
the blessings of liberty and democracy to more people.
This was intermingled with ideas of racial superior-
ity, longings for the beautiful lands of New Mexico
and California, and thoughts of commercial enter-
prise across the Pacific.

The American Review talked of Mexicans yield-
ing to "a superior population, insensibly oozing into
her territories, changing her customs, and out-liv-
ing, out-trading, exterminating her weaker blood.
. . ." The New York Herald was saying, by 1847:

"The universal Yankee nation can regenerate and
denthall the people of Mexico in a few years; and
we believe it is a part of our destiny to civilize that
beautiful country."

A letter appeared in the New York Journal of
Commerce introducing God into the situation: "The
supreme Ruler of the universe seems to interpose,
and aid the energy of man towards benefiting
mankind. His interposition . . . seems to me to be
identified with the success of our arms. . . . That the
redemption of 7,000,000 of souls from all the vices
that infest the human race, is the ostensible object .
. . appears manifest."

The Congressional Globe of February 11, 1847,
reported:

"Mr. Giles, of Maryland — I take it for granted,
that we shall gain territory, and must gain territory,
before we shut the gates of the temple of Janus.
. . . We must march from ocean to ocean. . . . We
must march from Texas straight to the Pacific ocean,
and be bounded only by its roaring wave. . . . It is
the destiny of the white race, it is the destiny of the
Anglo-Saxon race. . . ." (Zinn, pp. 147-149, 152-153)

From A People’s History of the United States:
Howard Zinn. Published by HarperCollins Publishers,
Inc. Used by permission of the author.
What Is White Privilege?
Privilege, particularly white or male privilege, is hard to see for those of us who were born with access to power and resources. It is very visible for those to whom privilege was not granted. Furthermore, the subject is extremely difficult to talk about because many white people don’t feel powerful or as if they have privileges that others do not. It is sort of like asking fish to notice water or birds to discuss air. For those who have privileges based on race or gender or class or physical ability or sexual orientation or age, it just is — it’s normal. The Random House Dictionary (1993) defines privilege as “a right, immunity, or benefit enjoyed only by a person beyond the advantages of most.” In her article, “White Privilege and Male Privilege,” Peggy McIntosh (1995) reminds us that those of us who are white usually believe that privileges are “conditions of daily experience...[that are] universally available to everybody.” Further, she says that what we are really talking about is “unearned power conferred systematically” (pp. 82-83).

For those of us who are white, one of our privileges is that we see ourselves as individuals, “just people,” part of the human race. Most of us are clear, however, that people whose skin is not white are members of a race. The surprising thing for us is that, even though we don’t see ourselves as part of a racial group, people of color generally do see us that way.

So, given that we want to work to create a better world in which all of us can live, what can we do? The first step, of course, is to become clear about the basics of white privilege, what it is and how it works. The second step is to explore ways in which we can work against the racism of which white privilege is a cornerstone.

White privilege is an institutional (rather than personal) set of benefits granted to those of us who, by race, resemble the people who dominate the powerful positions in our institutions. One of the primary privileges is that of having greater access to power and resources than people of color do; in other words, purely on the basis of our skin color doors are open to us that are not open to other people. For example, given the exact same financial history, white people in the United States are two to ten times more likely to get a housing loan than people of color — access to resources. Those of us who are white can count on the fact that the nation’s history books will reflect our experience of history. American Indian parents, on the other hand, know that their children will not learn in school about the contributions of their people.

White privilege has nothing to do with whether or not we are “good” people.

All of us who are white, by race, have white privileges, although the extent to which we have them varies depending on our gender, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status, age, physical ability, size and weight, and so on. For example, looking at race and gender, we find that white men have greater access to power and resources than white women do. The statistics from the 1995 Glass Ceiling Commission show that while white men constitute about 43% of the work force, they hold 95% of senior management positions in American industry. Looking purely at white privilege, white women hold
about 40% of the middle management positions, while Black women hold 5% and Black men hold 4%. Unless we believe that white women or African American men and women are inherently less capable, we have to acknowledge that our systems are treating us unequally.

White privilege has nothing to do with whether or not we are "good" people. We who are white can be absolute jerks and still have white privileges; people of color can be the most wonderful individuals in the world and not have them. Privileges are bestowed on us by the institutions with which we interact solely because of our race, not because we are deserving as individuals. While each of us is always a member of a race or races, we are sometimes granted opportunities because we, as individuals, deserve them; often we are granted them because we, as individuals, belong to one or more of the more favored groups in our society. At some colleges and universities, for example, sons and daughters of alumnae and alumni might have lower grades and test scores than other applicants; they are accepted, however, because their parents graduated from the institution. That is a privilege that the sons and daughters did nothing to earn; they were put ahead of other possible applicants who may well have had higher test scores and grades because of where their parents had gone to school.

The Purposeful Construction of White Privilege:
A Brief History

Often it is not our intent, as individual white people, to make use of the unearned benefits we have received on the basis of our skin color. Most of us go through our days unaware that we are white or that it matters. On the other hand, the creation of a system in which race plays a central part — one that codifies the superiority of the white race over all others — has been in no way accidental or hap-hazard. Throughout American history white power-holders, acting on behalf of our entire race, have made decisions that have affected white people as a group very differently than groups of people of color. History is filled with examples of the purposeful construction of a systemic structure that grants privileges to white people and withholds them from others.

- The writing of the U.S. constitution which, in ten articles, very intentionally confirmed the holding of Black people as slaves, as property.
- White people’s believing that our destiny was to "own" the land on which we all currently live, even though that required forcibly removing the native people who had lived here for centuries.
- Our breaking apart of Black families during slavery, sending mothers one place, fathers another, and babies and children yet another.
- Choosing to withhold from African Americans the ability to read so that they could not reproduce any of their culture or function well enough in our literate society to change their status.
- The removing of American Indian children from their homes, taking them as far as possible from anything they knew, and punishing them if they tried to speak in their own languages.
- The passing of laws that were created to maintain the legal separation and inequality of whites and African Americans (Plessy v. Ferguson).
- The making of "politically expedient" decisions by many (if not most) white suffragists to align themselves with white Southern men, reassuring them that by giving the vote to women (read "white women" since at that time about 90% of the Black women lived in the South and were not, by law, able to hold property and thus to vote) the continuation of white supremacy was insured.
- The manipulating of immigration laws so that people of color, particularly Chinese and Mexican as well as European Jews, were less free to immigrate to the U.S. than Western and Eastern Europeans.
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- The removing of American citizens of Japanese ancestry from their homes and taking their land and their businesses as our own during World War II.
- The using of affirmative action to promote opportunities for white women rather than for people of color.

It is important to know and remember this side of American history, even though it makes us extremely uncomfortable. For me, the confusion and pain of this knowledge is somewhat eased by reminding myself that this system is not based on each individual white person's intention to harm but on our racial group's determination to preserve what we believe is rightly ours. This distinction is, on one hand, important, and, on the other hand, not important at all because, regardless of our personal intent, the impact is the same.

While white men constitute about 43% of the work force, they hold 95% of senior management positions in American industry.

Here are a couple of examples. For many years, it was illegal in Texas for Spanish-speaking children to speak Spanish at school. This meant that every individual teacher and principal was required by law to send any child home for speaking her or his own language whether the teachers and/or principals believed in the law or not. Based on the belief that people who live in the United States should speak English, mixed with racial bigotry against Mexicans, the law was passed by a group of individual white legislators who had the institutional power to codify their and their constituents' viewpoints. Once a particular perspective is built into the law, it becomes part of "the way things are." Rather than actively refusing to comply with the law, as individuals we usually go along, particularly if we think the law doesn't affect us personally. We participate, intentionally or not, in the purposeful construction of a system that deflates the value of one people's culture while inflating the value of another's. More recently, this same kind of thing occurred in a county in Georgia that was experiencing a large influx of Mexican immigrants. By saying that firefighters might not speak Spanish and would therefore not be able to find the grocery store that was on fire if the sign outside said "Tienda de Comida," the county officials made it illegal to have store names in languages other than English. However, the bakery, Au Bon Pain, was not asked to change its sign. Presumably, the firefighters speak French better than they speak Spanish.

As we see from these two examples, the patterns set in history are continued today. Not only in the on-going pervasive and systematic discrimination against people of color in housing, health care, education, and the judicial systems, but also in the less obvious ways in which people of color are excluded from many white people's day-to-day consciousness. Think, for example, of how regularly you see a positive story about an American Indian or a Latina/o on the front page of the newspaper you read. How long would it take you to name ten white heroes? Could you name ten women of color, other than people in sports and music, who have made major contributions to our society? The freedom not to notice our lack of knowledge about people of color is another privilege that is afforded only to white people. All of us, including students of color, study the history of white, Western Europeans every day in our schools unless we take an ethnic studies course or a course consciously designed to present the many other threads of the "American experience."

Privilege from Conception

White people's privileges are bestowed parentally. We can't not get them and we cannot give them away, no matter how much we do not want them. For example, if I walk into any drug store in the country that carries hair products, I can be sure
that I will find something that was designed for my hair. Black hair products are much harder to find; often African Americans have to drive for miles to buy what they need. Further, I know that when a Band-Aids box says “flesh color,” it means my skin color, not those of my Asian or Latina friends. If, in an attempt to “give back” my privileges, I said to the drug store clerk, “I don’t want the privilege of always being able to get shampoo for my hair when my Black friend can’t,” the clerk would think I was nuts. Even if he agreed with me, it wouldn’t change the availability of Black hair products. What we can and must do is work daily to combat our privilege by bringing to consciousness, others’ and our own, the system in which we are living.

White People: Taking Racism Seriously

Far too many of us who are white erroneously believe that we do not have to take the issues of racism seriously. While people of color understand the necessity of being able to read the white system, those of us who are white are able to live out our lives knowing very little of the experiences of people of color. Understanding racism or whiteness is often an intellectual exercise for us, something we can work at for a period of time and then move on, rather than its being central to our survival. Further, we have the luxury of not having to have the tools to deal with racial situations without looking incompetent.

I was working with a college at which senior administrators were trying to decide how to move forward with a diversity initiative. One of the vice presidents said, “There are many people who want diversity to fail.” The conversation seemed theoretical and removed to me. What an odd thing to say: “There are so many people who want diversity to fail,” with the attitude of, “Well, we tried, it was an interesting experiment, now let’s send all of ‘them’ back to the countries they came from. Too bad — it was an exciting thought.” If, instead, someone had said, “There are so many people who want this university to fail. I’m afraid we won’t succeed,” an action plan would be drawn up in a heartbeat and monitored daily to get the school back on track. Or would that be the response? Is there a sense that, at the root, “We don’t need to worry; we will always be here?”

I think the underlying sense is there: for some eliminating racism is life and death, a question of survival, being seen as opposed to being invisible. For others, this is an interesting intellectual exercise from which we can be basically removed.

Making Decisions for Everyone

White privilege is the ability to make decisions that affect everyone without taking others into account. This occurs at every level, from international to individual. The following story could look simply like an oversight: “Oops, I forgot to ask other people what they thought.” However, it is typical behavior for white women who want women of color to join them in their endeavors.

During a visit with an out-of-town friend — another white woman and a librarian — we began to plan a conference for librarians on racism that we named “Librarians as Colleagues: Working Together Across Racial Lines.” We talked and talked, making notes of good exercises to include, videos to use, materials that might prove helpful. It was absolutely clear that we needed a diverse committee to work with me, the facilitator, and we created one that would include all voices: two white women (one Jewish), a Latina, a Chinese American woman, straight women and lesbians, and several African Americans. By the end of our conversation, I was extremely excited and couldn’t wait to contact the women on the “planning committee.”

At the first meeting with these women, during the introductions, I talked about my twenty-five year history of working on issues of racism and
particularly my own work on what it means to be white and Southern. Then I presented what my friend and I had thought up as the plan for the conference and all of us talked about the particulars. (In other words, I presented my credentials as a “good white person” and then proceeded to create a conference that was exactly what my friend and I had planned without any input from people of color.) A couple of weeks later, at our second meeting, the women of color pointed out that I had fallen into the classic trap of white women: the come-be-part-of-what-we’re-doing syndrome. “If you truly want us to work with you to create a conference, we will. But it means starting over and building a plan together. If you want us to enter the planning process in the middle and add our ideas to yours, we’re not interested.”

**White People Don’t Have to Listen**

Being white enables me to decide whether I am going to listen to others, to hear them, or neither. As one of those in what Lisa Delpit calls “the culture of power,” I also silence others without intending to or even being aware of it. For example, a colleague of mine, an African American woman, attended a conference on the process of dialogue. Of the forty-five people there, she was one of four who were not white. The whites were of the intellectual elite: highly educated, bright, and, for the most part, liberal people. As the meeting unfolded, it became increasingly clear that, if the women of color didn’t mention race, no one would.

**White people’s privileges are bestowed parentally.**

The white people were not conscious enough of the fact that race — their race — was an integral aspect of every conversation they were having. When the women of color did insert the issue into the dialogue, the white people felt accused of being “racist.” In this instance, “silencing” took place when the planners were not clear that race was present at the conference even if no people of color attended; the white participants didn’t include the reality of others in their plan; and, when the issue was raised by my colleague, she was made to feel that she was the one who was “causing trouble.”

In her article “The Silenced Dialogue: Power and Pedagogy in Educating Other People’s Children” (Harvard Education Review, Vol. 58, Number 3, August 1988), Delpit includes the profoundly disturbing comments of an African American teacher that illustrate how we silence dialogue without being aware of doing it or meaning to.

When you’re talking to White people they still want it to be their way. You can try to talk to them and give them examples, but they’re so headstrong, they think they know what’s best for everybody, for everybody’s children. They won’t listen. White folks are going to do what they want to do anyway.

It’s really hard. They just don’t listen well. No, they listen, but they don’t hear — you know how your mama used to say you listen to the radio, but you hear your mother? Well, they don’t hear me.

So I just try to shut them out so I can hold my temper. You can only beat your head against a brick wall for so long before you draw blood. If I try to stop arguing with them I can’t help myself from getting angry. Then I end up walking around praying all day “Please Lord, remove the bile I feel for these people so I can sleep tonight.” It’s funny, but it can become a cancer, a sore. (pp. 280-281)

As Delpit says, these are not the sentiments of one isolated person who teaches in a particularly racist school. The feelings are representative of a vast number of people of color as they interact with white people on a daily basis.

The saddest element is that the individuals that the Black and American Indian educators speak of . . . are seldom aware that the dialogue has been silenced. Most likely the white educators believe that their colleagues of color did, in
the end, agree with their logic. After all, they stopped disagreeing, didn’t they? (p. 281)

White privilege allows us not to see race in ourselves and to be angry at those who do. I was asked to address a meeting of white women and women of color called together to create strategies for addressing social justice issues. Each of the women had been working for years in her own community on a range of issues from health care to school reform. As I spoke about the work that is required for white women and women of color to collaborate authentically, the white women became nervous and then resistant. Why was race always such an issue for women of color? What did I mean when I said it was essential for white women to be conscious of how being of their race affects every hour of their lives, just as women of color are? They were all professionals, some said, why did it matter what color they were? The silencing of dialogue here occurred because the white women didn’t see the race of the women in the room as an issue. It did not occur to them that their daily experience was different from that of the African Americans, Latinas, and Asian Americans in the room. Had I not been asked to raise the issue, the responsibility of doing so would have been left to the women of color, as it usually is.

Believing that race is “N.M.I.” — Not My Issue — and being members of one or more groups that also experience systemic discrimination, we use the privilege of emotionally and psychologically removing ourselves from the “white” group, which we see as composed either of demonically racist people who spout epithets and wear Ku Klux Klan robes or of white, straight, healthy males. For those of us who are white, and are also disabled, gay, lesbian or straight women, our experience of being excluded from the mainstream hides from us the fact that we still benefit from our skin color. By seeing ourselves as removed in some way from the privileged group, we may be all the more deaf to our silencing of people of color.

Discounting People of Color
As white people, we have the privilege and ability to discount the worth of an individual of color, her or his comments and behavior, and to alter her or his future, based on our assessments. One of the most frightening aspects of this privilege is that we are able to do enormous damage with a glib or off-hand comment such as “I just don’t think she’s a good fit for our organization.” Promotions have been denied on the basis of such comments. There are many ways in which our comments are given inflated worth because of the privilege we hold. For example:

- Seeing those most affected by racism as wounded or victims and somehow, then, as defective. Identifying a member of an oppressed group as wounded is patronizing, particularly when done by someone with privilege
- “Mis-hearing” the comments of people of color so that their words are less important, not understood or fully appreciated, and thereby heightening our sense of superiority
- Rephrasing or translating for others, as if they cannot speak for themselves, without appearing rude to others like us
- Being allowed, by others like us, to take up most of the airtime without saying much of substance
- Suggesting that people of color need to “lighten up,” not to take things so seriously
- Saying or implying that, as a woman (or a gay person or a working class person, and so on), you know what the person of color is going through. “I know just how you feel. When the children in the playground made fun of me because I was fat...” (I am not suggesting that race is the only cause of pain and discrimination. I am pointing out one of the ways in which white people suggest that someone else’s experience can’t be any worse than that we ourselves have experienced or can understand)
- Asking why people of color always focus on the negative, as if life can’t be that bad. A
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similar way of discounting someone’s experience is to say, “You always focus on race. I remember at two meetings last year...”

- Commenting, “I know we have a way to go, but things have gotten better.” (Read, “Stop whining. What do you want from me, anyway? Didn’t we fix everything in the 60s?” Or “I know what your reality is better than you do.”)
- Seeing and keeping ourselves central, never marginal. For some years now, writers of color have been discussing the experience of living in the margins while white people are living in the center. In one of her early books, Feminist Theory: From Margin to Center (Boston: South End Press, 1984), bell hooks defines it:
  
  To be in the margin is to be part of the whole but outside the main body. . . . Living as we did—on the edge—we developed a particular way of seeing reality. We looked both from the outside in and from the inside out. We focused our attention on the center as well as on the margin. (p. ix)

Seeing White as “Normal”

Another element of this privilege is the ability to see white people as normal and all others as different-from-normal. In describing heterosexuals’ privilege, Allan G. Johnson also identifies a white privilege.

They have the privilege of being able to assume acceptance as “normal” members of society. . . . living in a world full of cultural images that confer a sense of legitimacy and social desirability. . . . (The Gender Knot: Unraveling Our Patriarchal Legacy, Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 1997, p. 149.)

White people express this privilege in many ways:

- We use ourselves and our experiences as the referent for everyone. “I’m not followed around in the store by a guard. What makes you think you are?”
- We reinsert ourselves into the conversation if we feel it has drifted to focus on a person of color or an issue of others’ race. “I don’t really think the issue is race as much as it is class.”
- We bring a critical mass with us wherever we go. Even if I am the only white person in a room of university administrators of color, I know that most of the other administrators in the nation’s schools look, relatively speaking, like me.
- We believe that we have an automatic right to be heard when we speak because most leaders in most organizations look like us. (Obviously, this privilege in particular is significantly altered, though not eliminated, by the intersections of socioeconomic class, gender, sexual orientation, and so on.)
- We have, as a racial group, the privilege not to have to think before we speak. If what we say is upsetting to others, our thoughtlessness, rudeness, anger, and so on, are attributed to us as individuals rather than as members of our race, as is the case for others. “I can’t believe Bill was such a jerk in the meeting today” as opposed to “Latinos are so passionate; they just don’t think before they speak.”
- We use the pain and experience of being deprived in our lives to keep us central and lessen our responsibility for the privileges we receive as white people. The pain and sense of being less-than, often based on reality, may emanate both from our personal life experiences — my father died when I was four — and our membership in groups from which privileges are systemically withheld — being poor or Jewish or gay or deaf. In our minds, this sense of struggling somehow lessens or removes our responsibility for our receiving or colluding in systemic white privilege. For example, I often hear, “I don’t have white privilege because I’m working class.” White working class people do not have the same socio-
economically privileged as white upper-middle class people. But, while class privileges are being withheld from them, they are given the same skin color privileges.

- We shift the focus back to us, even when the conversation is not about us. A classic example of this is white women crying during conversations about racism and women of color having to put their pain aside to help the white women who are crying. (African Americans and gays and lesbians, in particular, are expected to take responsibility for other people’s responses to and discomfort with them.)

- We use our white privilege to define the parameters of “appropriate” conversation and communication, keeping our culture, manners, and language central. We do this by:
  - Requesting a “safe” place to talk about race and racism. This is often translated as being “safe” from hearing the anger and pain of people of color as well as being able to say “racist” things without being held accountable for them.
  - Establishing the rules for “standard” English and holding others to our rules.
  - Setting up informal rules for communicating in the organization and then failing to share those rules with people who are different from us.
  - Creating institutions that run by our culture’s rules but acting as if the rules are universally held, such as when meetings start, how people address one another, the “appropriate” language to use.

If History is White

The privilege of writing and teaching history only from the perspective of the colonizer has such profound implications that they are difficult to fathom. As white people we carry the stories we were taught as if they are truths, often failing to question those truths and discrediting those who do. There are many embedded privileges here:

- We are able to live in the absence of historical context. It is as if we are not forgetting our history, but acting as if it never happened. Or, if it did, it has nothing to do with us today. For most of us who are white, our picture of the United States, both past and present, is sanitized to leave out or downplay any atrocities we might have committed. Our Disneyland version of history is that our white ancestors came here, had a hard time traveling west finally conquered those terrible savages and settled our country, just as we were supposed to do — Manifest Destiny.

- We are taught that we are the only ones in the picture. If there were others, they obviously weren’t worth mentioning. An example of this is the white crosses at the Little Bighorn Battlefield indicating where white men died, as if no indigenous people had been killed there.

- We are able to grow up without our racial supremacy’s being questioned. It is so taken for granted, such a foundation of all that we know, that we are able to be unconscious of it even though it permeates every aspect of our lives. Charles W. Mills describes this phenomenon in his book The Racial Contract (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1997): . . . white misunderstanding, misrepresentation, evasion, and self-deception on matters related to race are . . . psychically required for conquest, colonization, and enslavement. And these phenomena are in no way accidental, but prescribed by the terms of the Racial Contract, which requires a certain schedule of structured blindnesses and opacities in order to establish and maintain the white polity. (p. 19, italics his)

- While we are deprived of the skills of critical thinking by being given such a
White people are deluded into thinking that people of all colors come to the table having been dealt the same hand of cards.

We are able, almost always, to forget that everything that happens in our lives occurs in the context of the supremacy of whiteness. We are admitted to college, hired for jobs, given or denied loans, cared for by the medical profession, and we walk down the street as white people, always in the context of white dominance. In other words, part of the reason that doors open for us is our unearned racial privilege. But we act and often believe that we have earned everything we get. We then generalize from our perceived experience of deserving the opportunities we receive to thinking that, if a person of color doesn’t get a job or a loan, it’s because she or he didn’t earn it.

We are able to delude ourselves into thinking that people of all colors come to the table having been dealt the same hand of cards. We act and often believe that we have earned everything we get. We then generalize from our perceived experience of deserving the opportunities we receive to thinking that, if a person of color doesn’t get a job or a loan, it’s because she or he didn’t earn it.

We have the privilege of determining how and if historical characters and events will be remembered. From the Alamo to the Filipino-American War to the Japanese internment to Viet Nam to training the assassins at Fort Benning, GA, who killed nuns and priests in El Salvador: we retain an extremely tight hold on what is and is not admitted and how information is presented. We do this as a culture and we do it as individuals.

We control what others know about their own histories by presenting only parts of a story. Because we all go to the same schools, if you will, everyone, regardless of color, is told the “white” story. Japanese Americans are told that their families’ internment was purely a safety precaution, just as white children are. American Indian students see Walt Disney’s “Davy Crockett” alongside their white schoolmates, learning that their great grandmothers were “squaws,” that their ancestors were “savages.” We all learn the “tomahawk chop” during baseball season. None of us sees a whole picture of our nation that includes the vast contributions of those who are not white. All of us are given a skewed picture of reality. This is part of what Charles Mills is writing about in *The Racial Contract*.
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- We are able, almost always, to forget that everything that happens in our lives occurs in the context of the supremacy of whiteness. We are admitted to college, hired for jobs, given or denied loans, cared for by the medical profession, and we walk down the street as white people, always in the context of white dominance. In other words, part of the reason that doors open for us is our unearned racial privilege. But we act and often believe that we have earned everything we get. We then generalize from our perceived experience of deserving the opportunities we receive to thinking that, if a person of color doesn’t get a job or a loan, it’s because she or he didn’t earn it.
- We are able to delude ourselves into thinking that people of all colors come to the table having been dealt the same hand of cards. We act as if there are no remnants of slavery that affect African Americans today, that the Japanese didn’t have to give up their land, their homes and businesses, or that the Latinos weren’t brought back into what had been their country to do stoop labor.
- We can disconnect ourselves from any reality of people of color that makes us uncomfortable, because our privilege allows us to believe that people basically get what they deserve or we feel helpless to do anything about another group’s pain. So we have kind, good people who, because of race and class privilege, are so removed that they don’t have to see or experience others. Without that personal experience, they have no understanding of or motivation to address others’ lives.
Inclusion and Collateral
We have the privilege of being able to determine inclusion or exclusion (of ourselves and others) in a group.

- We can include or exclude at our whim. “She would be great here, but her research doesn’t focus enough on Latin America even though she’s a Latina.” And, moments later, “She would add a lot to our department, but she is just so . . . Chicana!”
- I have the ability as a white woman to move back into my gender and commiserate with other women about men if I don’t want to be aligned with other whites.
- We are able to slip in and out of conversations about race without being questioned about our loyalty or called an Oreo or a Banana or a Coconut.
- We can speak up about racism without being seen as self-serving. In fact, we can even see ourselves as good at standing up for others and mentally pat ourselves on the back.
- We expect and often receive appreciation for showing up at “their” functions — the Multicultural Fair, the NAACP annual fundraising event, the Asian Women Warriors awards celebration — as if they don’t really pertain to us. If we aren’t thanked profusely by people of color, we give up because we feel unappreciated.

We have the privilege of having our race serve as a financial asset for us. We are the beneficiaries of a system that was set up by people like us for people like us so that we can control the critical financial aspects of our lives more than people of color are able to. There is much research that shows that race, when isolated as a variable, overrides the variables of class and gender in impacting institution’s financial decisions. I am able to count on my race as a financial asset, if I have nothing else to offer as collateral. For example, as a white person I am far more likely to have access to expensive medical procedures, particularly pertaining to heart disease, than people of color. Statistically, the likelihood is that I will pay less for a new car than a Black woman will. Examples of this element of white privilege are plentiful. For a more in-depth discussion of whiteness as financial collateral, see Cheryl I. Harris’s article. “Whiteness as Property” in the *Harvard Law Review*, 1993, Vol. 106.

On-going Excavation
We cannot allow our fear of anger to deflect us nor seduce us into settling for anything less than the hard work of excavating honesty. . . .


For those of us who are deeply committed to social justice work, the purposeful crafting of systemic supremacy of whiteness is one of the most difficult and painful realities to hold. It would be more comfortable to believe that racism somehow magically sprang full-blown without our having had anything to do with it. We would rather remain unconscious of decisions that reinforce white privilege that are made by a few on behalf of all white people.

However, if we are truly to understand the racial context of the twenty-first century, we have to grapple with our dogged unwillingness to understand the patterns of discrimination for what they are. We must ask how we participate in not seeing the experiences of people of color that are so very different from white people’s. We should question our resoluteness to identify class rather than race as the primary determinant of opportunity and experience, particularly when there is so much evidence to the contrary. In short, white people can continue to use unearned privilege to remain ignorant, or we can determine to put aside our opacities in order to see clearly and live differently. As Harvey Cox said in "The Secular City," "Not to decide is to decide.”

---

Reprinted by permission of Frances Kendall. Permission is granted to reprint for the purposes of this study.
Examining Attitudes of Privilege

Purpose
It is difficult to deal with issues of White privilege and to change deeply socialized attitudes and ways of being. In this session we will examine Jesus’ own struggles with issues of privilege and will explore our place within a privileged system.

Discussion on Assigned Role in Matthew 15:21-28
Talk about your experience within the story from a first person perspective:
- How do you see yourself?
- How do you see yourself in relationship to the others in the story?
- What feelings and reactions do you have to what is happening?

Commentary on Matthew 15
Read and reflect on this commentary written by the Rev. Paul Benz, during the coming week.

When one looks at this text through the lens of White privilege, what an amazing revelation this is! It has much to say about Jesus and his humanity, his attitude toward this Gentile woman, the courage and intentionality of this woman, and the implications this has upon our church in dealing (or not dealing) with our own privilege (personally and institutionally). This text has much to say about privilege, the application of it, and how it was challenged—all of which from the first century is applicable to us in the 21st century. It goes without saying that the Jews of Jesus’ day had a definite underlying belief that they were a privileged people, e.g., God’s chosen people, and that belief had an affect on their relationships with other races. As we enter this text we get the sense that Jesus would really rather not deal with this Gentile woman. First, he does not respond to her. Second, he reiterates that his mission is primarily to the “house of Israel,” and third, he makes a clear racial preference for the Jews versus the Gentiles, or “the other” (children and dogs, vs.26).

I am not saying that the main point of this text is that Jesus “had not gotten it” about his own privilege and that after this brief exchange with the woman from Syrophoenicia he all of a sudden got it about the shortfalls of his own privilege. I am saying that this exchange between Jesus and the Gentile woman is an example and opportunity that Scripture provides for us as the ELCA to be open, to be vulnerable, to be challenged, and to be willing to look at and discuss White privilege and its daily operation in our lives, in our church, and in our society. I do believe that Matthew’s main point to his intended readers, the newly forming Christian community of the latter part of the first century, is that the Gentiles—of whatever race, country, or culture—were to be a part of this new community of believers.

Christendom confesses Jesus as fully God and fully human. As to the human part the Church confesses that Jesus was fully human, but without sin. It is not a new theological thought to say that Jesus as he lived his life out on earth was to some degree coming to a clearer understanding of who he was—from his
first Passover visit to Jerusalem with Joseph and Mary to his going to the cross. Just as Jesus did not want to deal with this Gentile woman, so too, we as the dominant racial group in the ELCA and in society do not want to deal with the voice(s) calling us and challenging us to look at why we are still 97.1% White in a society that is less than 70% White. The voice(s) are calling us and challenging us to seriously look at what White privilege is, how it affects us as White people, and affects our relationship to communities of color in our local communities and society. It was the persistent voice of this courageous Gentile woman that called to Jesus saying that “the other people” (the dogs, vs.26) belong to the masters and should be able to receive food from their table. Though we may not have “the other people” kneeling in front of us, I believe in many instances we do have people knocking on our church doors asking for assistance, or speaking in our local communities calling for justice, calling for allies to work for justice. We are called in this text to consider what has been and is our response to these voices/knocks at the door. How do our privilege and our stereotypes of “the other” affect the way we respond? Are we open, vulnerable, and willing to listen? Or, do we respond like the disciples—send them away to someone else (vs.23)? Or, are we resistant and wanting to avoid and not interact as Jesus did (vss.23 & 24)?

One of the key lessons from the text for members of the ELCA and other predominantly White denominations is that Jesus was in the end willing to sit down “eyeball to eyeball, heart to heart” with his neighbor who was different from him in culture, country, and religion. When we do that in our settings we begin to listen to the story of others, their version of history, their version of how things happened in this country, why events happened the way they did, and who benefitted from all that and who continues to benefit. Our goal for this church is that we (as the White people in it) be as courageous and intentional in being open to looking at and dealing with our privilege and its affects as this Syrophoenician woman was in calling Jesus to see her for who she was a fellow child of God and not a member of “those other people.”

Reading from Gary Howard We Can’t Teach What We Don’t Know about the privilege that comes to us as a result of our collective identity of being White:
Many privileges have come to Whites simply because we are members of the dominant group: the privilege of having our voices heard, of not having to explain or defend our legitimate citizenship or identity, of seeing our images projected in a positive light, of remaining insulated from other people’s realities, of being represented in positions of power, and of being able to tell our own stories. These privileges are usually not earned and often not consciously acknowledged. That our privileged dominance often threatens the physical and cultural well being of other groups is a reality that Whites, for the most part, have chosen to ignore. The fact that we can choose to ignore such realities is perhaps our most insidious privilege (p. 62).

Reading from Why Are All the Black Kids Sitting Together in the Cafeteria? And Other Conversations About Race by Beverly Daniel Tatum. Used by permission of Basic Books, a member of Perseus Books, L.L.C.
The view of oneself as an individual is very compatible with the dominant ideology of rugged individualism and the American myth of meritocracy. Understanding racism as a system of advantage that structurally benefits Whites and disadvantages people of color on the basis of group membership threatens not only beliefs about society but also beliefs about one’s own life accomplishments. . . . If viewing oneself as a group member threatens one’s self-definition, making the paradigm shift from individual to group member will be painful (p. 103).
Discussion on White Privilege

- How do you see yourself within a collective White identity? What is hard about seeing yourself as part of a White group?
- Name privileges you experience every day because you are White.
- In what ways have you tried to distance yourself or see yourself as separate from other White people?
- What does it mean to you to own an identity of yourself as a member of the White collective?
- How would you explain the reality of White privilege to someone outside this group?

Journaling
During this week journal on your thoughts and feelings related to who you are as a White person and your place of privilege within a system of White racism.

Assignment
Read and reflect on the readings by the Rev. Clemence Sabourin, Vine Deloria, Jr., and José Miguel de Jesús on their view of the church as it relates to issues of race. These pieces were written at different times and reflect a small part of the history of the Lutheran church. Note how the writers see White attitudes and values expressed in the church. Include journal reflections on the readings and note the questions, concerns or thoughts you would like to raise about the readings at the next session.
Excerpts from Let the Righteous Speak
By Clemonce Sabourin

Let the Righteous Speak is a book of Travel Memoirs by Clemonce Sabourin. The Rev. Clem Sabourin served faithfully as a pastor of The Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod throughout his life. In this small but powerful book, written in 1957, he recorded his memoirs of a trip through the South. In a brief foreword to the book, Pastor Sabourin wrote, “This is the raw material of sociology, the human sore to which the balm of the Word — preached and practiced — must be applied.” These excerpts from his book give a brief glimpse into a part of the still recent history of race relations in this country and of the role of the church in supporting racism.


We got the impression that the South is our new frontier — an industrial frontier. Everywhere we found cities and towns bursting at the seams, local industries expanding, and Northern industries seeking broad acres and cheap labor.

There is feverish religious activity also. There are new churches, old churches, big churches, little churches, churches that are khaki-colored tents by the side of the road. In old towns and cities, modernistic buildings stood like country cousins in their Sunday best, and not far away there was always the traditional church, standing with the grace and dignity that comes with age.

The churches advertise. In places the signs were so close together they looked like Burma Shave slogans. The farther South you go the thicker they get: “Jesus Saves,” “Christ is the Answer,” “Go to Church.”

With all of these evidences of godliness about us, we felt like saying, “Surely this is the house of God; this is the gate to heaven.”

We had just run a gauntlet of Gospel signs and crossed the city limits. This was Athens. The radio was low, but the voice was clear and distinct. In substance, it said this: “The Georgia Board of Education announced today that any teacher, Negro or white, found holding membership in the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People or otherwise supporting this organization, will be penalized. And any teacher, Negro or white, found teaching an integrated class will lose his license forever.”

Ruby quickly added, “. . . and ever. Amen.” (p. 12)

The only white person in church that day was the young unmarried pastor. But that could hardly be considered an evidence of integration, because white pastors have served Negro congregations from the very beginning. Often white pastors have entered Negro work against the opposition of their friends and the tears of their mothers. Usually the opposition died away, the tears evaporated, and the friends and relatives began thinking of the white pastors in Negro congregations as foreign missionaries, sacrificing their lives for the propagation of the faith. However, I have still to meet a white pastor worthy of his salt who accepted this mantle of martyrdom conferred upon him by family and friends . . .

No, the presence of the white pastor did not mean integration. In fact, the organized Christian Church seems to be the body that is least concerned about integration. Resolutions are passed. Statements are prepared and published. But there is very little day-by-day effort to bring the various racial groups together around a common pulpit or before a common communion rail.

This in itself would be bad enough. But it indicates something deeper. Men and women and children who refuse to worship together are certain-ly not inclined to study together in the same schools, eat together in the same restaurants, live together in the same communities, and work together as equals on the same jobs. Thus, these people must of necessity strengthen the social and economic system that brings suffering and death to Negro Americans, disgrace to the name of Christ, and weakness to the country they profess to love . . .
A year or so ago I read a book called *Race and Religion*. The author argued that Jesus was not a Jew but an Aryan. Christianity, therefore, is an Aryan religion and only Aryans are naturally susceptible to the Christian faith. As proof of this, he pointed out that after two thousand years of missionary work, there are comparatively few non-Aryan converts. I don’t know what brought it to mind, but I was thinking about this after service at my old home church.

Let me say that I don’t agree with the author of *Race and Religion*. Yet there are white people who act as though Christianity is an Aryan religion, at least, their conception of Christianity. Their trouble is, I believe, that they have not accepted the whole Word of God. They act as though they got stuck at the sentence: “All things are yours.” And that is the word by which they live.

And the Negroes — what about them? Do they accept the whole Word of God, or do they too get stuck at a certain passage? If so, what is it — “All things will work together for good,” or “Vengeance is Mine; I will repay, saith the Lord”? . . . What would happen in the South, I wondered — in the whole country, for that matter — if the people who profess faith in Christ would really accept Christianity as a way of life? . . . (pp. 52-53)
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they pray, the deeper they sink their knees. I believe it came from a book. But that’s it! Even the best of them meet in conferences and pass innocuous resolutions; but when they stand in their own pulpits on Sunday morning, they’re dumb. They preach sermons about the forgiveness of sins, but they never say that treating Negroes like you would not want to be treated is a sin.”

“But,” I said, “if white clergymen did point out the evils of segregation, do you think it would help?”

“No!” he shot back, “not unless they were men enough to admit their own sins. . . . Nobody has any respect for the clergy — not as spiritual leaders. Everybody knows that as far as spirituality is concerned, they’re just a bunch of sanctimonious hypocrites. Nobody really respects them. They respect them like a person respects the president of the bridge club. The preacher is just the president of the church club. And the church is just another social organization where a certain little group of friends meet for a Sunday morning get-together. Why, in some congregations, they don’t even take in all white people. They take in only their kind of white people.”

“But still,” I insisted, “the man in the pulpit has the ear of his people . . .”

“I know!” he said; “What good does it do? He says what the people want to hear. And even if he did preach against the evils of segregation, do you think it would do any good? I tell you, nobody respects the Church, not even the devout members who attend. Look! Suppose a preacher began hammering away at the evils of segregation. First thing, his members would tell him to preach the Gospel and let the race problem alone. If he didn’t stop, they might ride his tail out of town. Does that sound like respect? If he kept it up and stuck to his pulpit, they still wouldn’t pay any attention to him. Why? It’s because the Church never has been bound by segregation laws. If the Church wanted to, the Church could have integrated its congregations, its schools, its Sunday schools, all the way down the line. That could have been done fifty years ago, a hundred years ago. But the clergy didn’t tell the people to do it. Now, when they talk about it, the people say if segregation is an evil today, it was an evil fifty years ago. Why didn’t you tell us then? If it wasn’t evil then, why do you talk about it now? . . . And what can the preachers answer? . . . You can’t respect that kind of clergy.

“If the Church of the South really wanted to help, it could. But, first, the preachers will have to be humble enough to repent of their previous cowardice, and men enough to tell the people that they were wrong. With that as a starting point, they may be able to gain the respect of their parishioners, and when that is done, the parishioners will listen.

“But watch what I tell you — some of the clergy-men of the South are going to capitalize on the prejudices of their people. They are going to remind them that the Supreme Court desegregation decision does not apply to churches and church institutions. The result will be that we are going to have a rash of new church schools in the South. . . . Watch what I tell you.”

I made a move to go, but it was no use. The little man was steaming.

“Look!” he said, reaching for another cigarette (now he had a lighter). “In a way, religion is all mixed up in this thing. The only real religion the Southern white man has is purity of race, and on that he is a religious fanatic. And that is not so ridiculous as it seems, for after all, race is a matter of faith. No man knows his race. . . . I don’t care who you are, in the final analysis both you and your father have to take your mother’s word for it.” (pp. 64-67)

When I was pastor of a church in Greensboro, North Carolina, Carl was teaching chemistry at Agricultural and Technical College in the same city. Mary was teaching chemistry in another local school. Carl and Mary and their daughter Doris attended our services and eventually fell in love with our simple liturgy and simple message of sin and grace — the simple story of Calvary’s Cross. One day this little family was confirmed. I shall never forget their kneeling at God’s altar to pledge undying allegiance to their God . . . and to the Lutheran Church. . . . To say that we were all very happy about it is to put it mildly.

Carl became active in our work with the boys of the parish. Later he was elected treasurer of the congregation. A popular figure in the community, he
was often called upon to serve as speaker at various community affairs. He was the kind of person who would be an asset to any congregation.

Shortly after I accepted a call to New York City, Carl accepted a position as head of the Department of Chemistry at the State College in Nashville, Tennessee. Mary accepted a position, under Carl, in the same department.

After Carl had settled in Nashville, he decided to look up his Church—the Lutheran Church. The only thing he could find, however, was a white Lutheran church. And there he was told that he and his family would be permitted to attend the services, but that they would have to use a rear entrance and sit in a little room off the chancel. From this convenient hiding place they would be able to see the pastor at the altar and hear the services.

As these things came to mind, my melting pot, that had been simmering all the morning, began to boil.

What difference did it make to this Cornell Ph.D. that many of the members of that church were not his social and intellectual equals? . . . He was not seeking fellow scientists with whom to study, but fellow saints with whom to worship. Denied the communion of saints in his own household of faith, Carl and his family joined a Presbyterian church. . . . Thank God for the Presbyterians!

God, don’t let me get sick on this trip. . . . Quiet my stomach . . . ease the pain . . . let me hold out until I get back home . . . and, Lord, this . . . this whole sickening mess . . .

Heavenly Father, wilt Thou not speak? . . . How long shall the flaming cross be a thing to dread and Thy blest Name a thing by which men curse? . . . Don’t let this last, best hope of men succumb, and earth be damned by a hammer and sickle. . . . Must Thine own light of this world be light that failed? . . . Surely, there must be seven thousand in Thy many pulpits who have not bowed their knees to Baal. . . . Hast Thou not placed them there for such a time as this? . . . Must they forever cringe and cry and sob with inward pain: “The good I would, I do not; the evil that I would not, that I do?” . . .

As we approached Asheville, Clemmie began reading the motel signs: NO VACANCY . . . NO VACANCY . . . NO VACANCY.

Suddenly he said, “Look, Daddy! There’s one. The sign says, ‘VACANCY!’”

In a voice that bristled with irritation, his mother said, “Clemmie, by now you ought to know that we can’t stop there!”

After a moment of silence, Clemmie answered, “I know, Mamma. . . . Come on, Daddy, let’s go look for a flea bag . . .”

But it wasn’t necessary to look for a flea bag. I knew where I was going—or thought I did. I was driving from memory. After correcting one false turn, we pulled up at THE RABBIT TOURIST COURT.

Two summers ago we had stopped at this place. We had come up to see the famous outdoor drama, called Unto These Hills. Clemmie was with us that time, too . . . and Ruby and Elva. When we drew up before the neon rabbit racing across the sign, someone read: RABBIT TOURIST COURT FOR COLORED. Everyone got out of the car but Clemmie. Thinking that he was asleep, I reached in to pick him up and carry him. But his eyes were wide open. There was a look of distress on his face. He whispered, “Daddy . . . is everyone in this car colored?”

Something happened to my heart. . . . “Yes, Clemmie,” I said, “we may go in here. . . . We are all colored.”

My little boy was wide awake. He could have walked. But I carried him in . . . and held him close . . . and prayed that somehow God would let him understand and . . . without bitterness. . . .
American Indians are in the situation they are in today because of a total inability of the non-Indian Christian world to understand itself. Educational, economic, social, and legal problems of Indian peoples stem almost directly from Protestant theology and a misapplication of basic biblical ideas in the arena of political thought. Until the non-Indian peoples understand themselves and the religion they profess to confess, the situation of the American Indians will grow continually worse. The time may yet come within our lifetime of a genocidal war against American Indians being waged by these same churchgoing Christians who are now obliterating Vietnam and other parts of southeast Asia.

With such a prospect in the offing is it any wonder that from a variety of sources within the American Indian community have come voices attempting to raise a number of issues? For many Indian people understand all too well the inability of the Christian peoples to realize their religion here on earth as a viable social force. Too many times Indian peoples have seen the humanity of Christianity give way to more abstract forms of oppression by people firmly convinced they are following God’s will. And fanatically determined to carry out God’s will as they are able to understand it, they have perpetuated massacres and theft unparalleled in the history of mankind.

The most drastic error of Protestant theology as applied to the American Indian peoples has been the total inability of the Christians to understand their own idea of “covenant.” Initially, a covenant was a pact between the peoples of two nations whereby the integrity of each nation was pledged to uphold the agreement. A covenant did not give people the right to intrude on the other partner of the agreement. Indeed, it meant that the spiritual faith of the two peoples was pledged so that the agreement called for the best efforts of the two groups to fulfill the terms of agreement.

With the development of Christian theology after the death of Jesus the whole idea of the New Covenant permeated explanations of the meaning of the life and death of the founder of the religion. Declaring that everyone who accepted the teachings of Jesus, later Paul, and still later Luther, the various Christian denominations found in the idea of a New Covenant a community transcending time and space and bound together by a faith in the uniqueness of history as exemplified in the Christian story.

Where the New Covenant meant a New Community, a gathering of saints, a communion of the saved, to that degree the individuals composing the heavenly city were required to act positively in response to the message they proclaimed to the world and by which they were encouraged to judge the secular world. Thus Christians were told they had been freed from the judgments of the law and were freed to live in a state of near-grace. By transcending law and dwelling permanently within a covenantal relationship Christians bound themselves to living a life of creative existence, a life in which they were not judged solely by their transgressions of law but by the vision of life in its totality toward which they marched.

But there was no corresponding understanding by Christians taken as a corporate group that they had a duty to incarnate the covenantal life in their relationships with peoples different from themselves. Law quickly replaced covenant and Christianity bogged down to the conception of a God who laboriously recorded each and every transgression of individuals for use in the afterlife when He would exact vengeance. It was this lower conception of divinity and hence society that Christians believed in when the New World was discovered. And the early colonial governments reflected a scales-and-balances concept of both law and covenant in their dealings with each other and with their own settlers.

Combined with the perversion of covenant was...
a misapplication of the concept of Genesis to go forth and multiply and the placement of man as having dominion over all other species of the creation. According to the Genesis legend when man was given the right to name the animals, he was given dominion over them since by creating their names he had in effect participated in their creation also. As co-creator, one might have argued, man had a corresponding responsibility to care for the nonhuman elements of creation. In tending the Garden of Eden man had a corresponding responsibility to the earth itself to maintain its fruitfulness. All of this, particularly the edict of man’s responsibility, was perverted by Christian theologians.

Early in the history of North American exploration the fundamental responsibilities of Genesis became interpreted as man’s right, and basically the white man’s right, to use whatever he wanted and however he wanted to use it. Thus slavery was justified as God’s rightful contribution to the economic well-being of the Americans, God’s chosen people. Wholesale destruction of the forests, the game, and the original peoples of the continent were justified as part of God’s plan to subdue and dominate an untamed wilderness. Nowhere was there any sense of stewardship between diverse elements of the new Christian settlers, either collectively or individually, and the continent as they found it.

Within this context one can trace the tragic story of the American Indian peoples. The United States and the individual colonies signed treaties with the various tribes at which the faith and good will of the United States and its component states was pledged. Missionaries representing the respective denominations attended these treaty-signing sessions each assuring the tribal leaders that if the government of the United States did not uphold the treaty, his church and his God would guarantee them. Indeed, missionaries promised that God himself wanted the tribes to sign the treaties because of his foreordained plan to create cities, suburbs, and shopping centers on the North American continent.

Within the treaty context, then, total faith and good will of the two parties, the Indian tribe and the United States, were pledged. Treaties were the covenants of the new lands insofar as they affected the relationships of individuals of the two disparate treaty groups. But as soon as the treaties were signed, and often even before the signing was official, large groups of settlers following God’s divine command to subjugate the earth went forth into the reserved Indian lands. The tribes were thus pushed further and further backwards into the interior. At no point was there an acknowledgement by the allegedly religious people of the new nation that once having pledged the faith and validity of their religion, there was a corresponding responsibility to uphold the treaty.

The settlement of the continent, therefore, was one in which people, claiming to be divinely inspired members of a New Covenant, refused for a moment to keep their covenantal commitments to people to whom they had given them. Article by article, treaty by treaty, the spiritual faith given by the white Christians was violated in favor of God’s other commandment, also misinterpreted, to subjugate the earth. It is, therefore, ridiculous to view Indian tribes as a people who have not and probably cannot understand the requirements of either religion or civilization. Both religion and civilization require, for their fundamental integrity, the premise that one can be taken at his word for what that word spiritually represents. Instead history has shown a marvelous ability of the white Christian to quibble on the meanings of specific words contained in treaties and statutes, finding in tortured interpretations of those words the loophole required when one is breaking faith.

In a corresponding development, responsibility to the earth and its creatures has been studiously avoided. Instead exploitation for the sake of ex-
exploitation has been the rule. Property rights have taken precedent over any sense of affinity for living creatures and their rights. The buffalo were exterminated to provide grazing lands for cattle, and misuse of these grazing lands resulted in the creation of a Great Dust Bowl followed by farm programs in which land is kept unproductive in order

The justification for taking Indian lands has always been: they are not doing anything with them.

to maintain a false economy for selected landowners while millions throughout the world starve.

The justification for taking Indian lands has always been: they are not doing anything with them. Underlying this complaint has been the idea that the earth itself can have no rest. It also must be exploited and used. There is no responsibility of man not to destroy the world. On the contrary the more the world can be changed, the theology has run, the more concrete poured, the more freeways, apartment buildings, slums, football stadiums, in short, the more confused edifices created, the better God is pleased. God, then, created the earth most ineptly. It was fortunate for God that man was available to recreate the world as it should be.

Now the chickens have come back to roost. The entire Vietnam fiasco revolves around the question of covenant. To what extent are we bound by our promise to protect the South Vietnam republic? And the answer has been that we are bound to the point where it becomes our duty, our God-given duty, to massacre defenseless old men, women, children, and babies — for their own good — and for our good, to defend them. When eighty-three percent of the citizens of this country, this Christian country, think that Lieutenant Calley did right in executing the people at My Lai, then one can see how far from the reality of what they proclaim the arriving Christians have come in four centuries.

Instead of creating the world in a better way than the deity, Christian peoples have only succeeded in creating a situation in which mankind may well extinguish itself within a generation unless pollution is controlled. And even that statement is not really correct. Unless the white Christians control pollution, all of mankind, Christian and non-Christian, may become extinct. This obvious fact, rather than theological fancies of the past, tells us of the relative truth of the Genesis legend. For if man was given the right to totally subjugate, then no harm would come to him. Such, according to our best scientific minds, is not and has not been the case.

Outside of a massive repentance and a society turned completely around there appears to be no solution to modern problems. Unless mankind takes its responsibilities to the world, and unless Christians take their responsibilities to non-Christians, as serious and critical calls to action, we really have no future. We will have created our own judgment day far in advance of any divine plans for the event.

In the field of human rights there must be a radical change in attitudes. If it has been stated that Indian treaties will be upheld, then it is the responsibility to uphold them. No amount of quibbling over phraseology can change that basic response. If all men are really created in God’s image, there should be no question, at least among those alleging to be Christians, to carrying out those programs and projects that will most nearly approximate that condition. The continual bickering over legal

Unless the white Christians control pollution, all of mankind, Christian and non-Christian, may become extinct.

sophistries with respect to treaty rights, integration, welfare, the aged, orphans, speaks of a society in which law and not covenant dominates. That society and its members who so loudly proclaim to be members of the covenant, the New Covenant, should either put up or shut up.

Most of us really know what is right. We rarely do it. But there is a corresponding responsibility on Christians today that faces no other group. For
Christians have not only proclaimed that they are right, they have proclaimed that they alone are right and that everyone else is wrong. And then they have backed away from their responsibilities to uphold the right. When minority groups have tried to get them to respond in a manner that speaks of the spiritual commitment to the principles which they proclaim and not the legalistic footnotes behind which they have always hidden, then the Christians have fought back thinking that all efforts to make them live up to their responsibilities are subversive to the great society that they, allegedly with God’s help, have created.

The case of the American Indian is clear and uncomplicated. American Indians suffer because the non-Indians have devised ways and means of not keeping their word. Non-Indians have violated their covenants with Indian tribes. Let them fulfill these treaties and covenants and then come talk to us about problems. For it is then that we will be able to discern which problems are really our problems and which are problems created by non-Indians for us.

(1971)

Professor Vine Deloria, Jr., Standing Rock Sioux, is internationally recognized as an advocate for indigenous peoples’ rights. He is one of the most influential 20th century scholars on American Indian law and policy, history and philosophy. He received a Masters of Theology degree from the Lutheran School of Theology in Chicago in 1963, and his law degree from the University of Colorado in 1970.

Reprinted by permission of Vine Deloria, Jr. Permission to reprint is granted for study purposes.
Let’s take a quick look at the origins of Lutheranism in the USA, in order to see how its culture has been set in place. If you are not Lutheran, I would invite you to look at the history of your denomination in order to see how the culture of your church has come to be.

The things which were established in this society and in this church in order to provide privilege for certain persons, were never meant to be for anybody else. Congregations were specifically designed to give certain people an advantage. In its origins, the Lutheran church here is an immigrant church. Many Lutherans came to the United States, among other reasons, to escape religious persecution. Others came seeking better opportunity than was available in their homeland.

Because they did not speak English, in order to provide support, protection, community, familiarity, and continuity for one another, they settled in separate communities. Yet at the same time, this male dominant group added to the myth of rugged, (male) individualism which still pervades this country today.

These communities developed both in the cities and in the country. In the city, Lutheran immigrants supplied hard labor for the industrial revolution. In the country, they became part of the backbone of this nation’s food production system. Everywhere, they lived in “colonies.” The colonies were of people who had something in common from back home. Perhaps they were from the same town. Perhaps they were of the same extended family or “Clan.” They spoke the same language, German, Norwegian, Danish, Swedish, Finnish, and others.

When it came to worship, they gathered in these groups. As they grew, they often called, or were sent, a pastor from their home town, province or homeland. (Where there was a state church, in the old country, that church did its best to exert control and maintain their national identity.) The congregations were established to give each group life. It was a place to come together with those who were most like themselves, be affirmed, sustained, and nurtured. (Although being rugged, they might never admit to a need for nurturing.) This was a place to escape the harshness of life in a new nation where they were strangers. Here they could keep their language and the traditions of their culture. Here they could get away from the hardships of working on the land and the way others looked down on farmers. Here, they could come away from the harshness of the mills, the foundries, the factories, where they were just part of the machinery, and be someone special.

The congregations were a place for them. It was for their group, the community. In what today is known as the South Bronx, for example, there were once eleven Lutheran congregations within a sixteen block area.

In the South Bronx, there were once eleven Lutheran congregations within a sixteen block area.

People of color must get beyond internalizations of racial oppression in order to challenge, encourage and construct a new culture.
José Miguel de Jesús Avilés grew up in a home and community that was culturally Puerto Rican. His home congregation was a Spanish-speaking Lutheran community. He attended a German, Lutheran Missouri Synod elementary school, where he was the first Puerto Rican to graduate.

Miguel has served the Lutheran church in ministries in the South Bronx; Denver, Colorado; and Milwaukee, Wisconsin. He served on the Task Force on Racial Inclusiveness of the American Lutheran Church. He served on the staff of Lutheran Human Relations Association for eight years and continues to serve LHRA as a consultant.

Reprinted by permission of J. Miguel de Jesús. May be reprinted for the purposes of this study.

Imigrant Lutherans remained who they were within their community, yet in order to survive and thrive, they had to become “white” or mainstream within U.S.A. society. It was only within the church that they were able to protect their traditions. To many, these traditions were what set them apart from the rest of society here. Many have expressed to me that they or their ancestors were the holders of the “true Gospel.” Only Luther got it right!

This is what we walk into. This is a church which was set up for the benefit of certain people. Because of this, by its nature, it is designed to exclude others. Because of this, systemic racism and internalized racial oppression are firmly in place. This is why it has been so difficult for people of color to maintain our identity and still be a part of the Lutheran church.

If this culture is ever to evolve, grow or change, people of color must get beyond our internalizations of racial oppression in order to challenge, encourage and construct a new culture.
Purpose
Despite God’s vision and God’s call to be one, the church has historically been a part of the system of oppression and dominance. In this session, we will explore attitudes and beliefs that have supported the system of racism.

Discussion on Judeo-Christian Worldview
• Recall the words from Genesis 1:28, “…have dominion over the fish of the sea and over the birds of the air and over every living thing that moves upon the earth.” How has “dominion” been used over the course of time in ways that are contrary to God’s vision?
• How have the concepts of dominion, of being chosen, and of singleness of truth and divine sanction of patriarchy shaped how the people who held those concepts saw themselves?
• What effect have those concepts had on others? How are they played out in the timeline?
• Choose one or two other teachings that are listed and discuss their effect on the people who held them and on people outside of that group.
• How do those concepts and teachings continue to underlie actions and attitudes within our nation and our church?
• How were you taught these concepts? How have they shaped your worldview? What role have those teachings played in how you see yourself and others?

Unpacking the Timeline of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America
(Note: If your group background is not ELCA, consider the same questions using dates from your own denomination’s history.)
Work in the same groups that developed the timeline of the United States, focusing on the same sections of time:
1. 1607-1787  2. 1788-1864  3. 1865-1920  4. 1921-Present

• Review the list of dates on the handout.
• Add other dates and events during this period of time as you recall them.
• How would you describe this period of time in your church body’s history? What image could you create to visualize this time period?
• Discuss the relationships of people of American Indian/Alaskan Native, European, African, Asian, Latino, and Arab/Middle Eastern heritages within the church during this period of time.
• How are the foundational values reflected in this timeline?
• Put selected dates and events on the timeline or draw a symbol or symbols on the timeline to depict the feelings and events of this period of history.
Journaling
Take time this week to take a walk—to reflect, to watch, and to listen to people's interactions, to notice words or actions that reflect the Judeo-Christian values and teachings that were named. Take time to journal—to write, or otherwise record—what you notice and how you feel about all you have talked about.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1623</td>
<td>Dutch establish New Amsterdam — Lutherans barely tolerated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1649</td>
<td>First Lutheran Church founded in Albany, New York — oldest ELCA congregation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1664</td>
<td>British begin to take over Dutch and Swedish colonies. New Amsterdam becomes New York. Lutherans gain religious liberty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1666</td>
<td>Frederick Lutheran Church founded by the Church of Denmark in St. Thomas, Virgin Islands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1669</td>
<td>An African named Emmanuel baptized as a Lutheran in New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1708</td>
<td>Lutheran and Reformed Germans begin immigrating in large numbers, settling in the Hudson River Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1736</td>
<td>Jerusalem Evangelical Lutheran Church founded by Salzburgers in Ebenezer, Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1743</td>
<td>Nicholas Sommer baptizes American Indian people for the first time in the Mohawk and Schoharie valleys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1748</td>
<td>Henry Melchior Muhlenburg establishes the Pennsylvania Ministerium to legitimize pastors’ credentials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1820</td>
<td>The Lutheran Theological Seminary established in Gettysburg, Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1826</td>
<td>The General Synod of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in the United States was founded, forming the first North American “church body”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1847</td>
<td>Organization of German Lutherans in Ohio, Indiana, Michigan, and Missouri. In 1848 becomes The Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1845-1890</td>
<td>Founding of The Buffalo Synod, Norwegian Evangelical Lutheran Synod in America, The First (German) Evangelical Lutheran Synod of Texas, The Norwegian Synod, The Iowa Synod, The Danish Evangelical Lutheran Church in America, The Scandinavian Evangelical Lutheran Augustana Synod in North America, Norwegian-Danish Augustana Synod, Danish Evangelical Lutheran Association in America, The Icelandic Synod, and The Finnish Evangelical Lutheran Church in America, or Suomi Synod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1860-61</td>
<td>A visitor to the Norwegian settlements in Texas, wrote very unfavorably about the area, saying among other things, that his countrymen there owned slaves and “felt themselves made if they could possess one”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1860s</td>
<td>Pro and con slavery debate among Norwegian Lutherans in Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1871</td>
<td>As part of President Ulysses S. Grant’s so-called “peace policy,” the Lutheran churches assigned the Sac and Fox Reservation. Other reservations assigned to other faith groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1880</td>
<td>The North Carolina synod ordained David J. Koontz, an African-American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1892</td>
<td>The Oaks Indian Mission started by Danish Lutherans among the Cherokee people in Oklahoma who had survived the “Trail of Tears”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1898 Gabriela Cuervos is the first Puerto Rican to become Lutheran
1903 Two African American colleges open – Immanuel in Concord, N.C., and Lutheran College in New Orleans
1917 First significant Lutheran work among Hispanics begins in Texas
1917 Cooperative work for the 400th anniversary of the Reformation leads to the uniting of 90% of Norwegian Lutherans in the Norwegian Lutheran Church of America; The General Synod, General Council and United Synod of the South merge to form the United Lutheran Church in America in 1918
1930 The American Lutheran Church formed, merging the Joint Synod of Ohio (1818), Buffalo Synod (1845), and Texas (1851) and Iowa Synods (1854). Later becomes part of the 1960 merger resulting in the second American Lutheran Church
1937 True Light Lutheran Church, New York City, is founded as the first Asian Lutheran congregation in America by The Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod
1960 The American Lutheran Church founded by merger of the UELC (1896), the ALC (1930) and the ELC (1917)
1962 The Lutheran Church in America results from a merger of the Augustana Church (1860), the AELC (1872), the Suomi Synod (1890) and the ULCA (1918)
1964 Statement on Race Relationships passed in LCA
1966 Lutheran Church and Indian People (LUCHIP) begin convening annually to bring Lutherans together to discuss better ways of advancing and supporting the churches’ mission among Native Americans
1968 Formation of Association of Concerned Black Clergy by pastors of LCA, ALC and LCMS
1975 Stanley R. Goodwin and George Tinker are the first American Indian Lutherans to be ordained as Lutherans
1976 The Association of Evangelical Lutheran Churches (AELC) founded by “moderates” who left the Lutheran Church—Missouri Synod
1979 The Association of Black Lutherans formed
1983 Nelson Trout is first Lutheran African American bishop in the United States, elected by the South Pacific District of the ALC
1984 Will Herzfeld becomes the first African American bishop of a national American Lutheran church body, AELC
1987 The Evangelical Lutheran Church in America formed by merger of the Association of Evangelical Lutheran Churches, the American Lutheran Church and the Lutheran Church in America
2000 U.S. population 69.1 percent white; ELCA 97.8 percent white
Purpose
In this session we will explore the story of the Good Samaritan. As we enter the roles of the various people in the story, we will examine the cultural attitudes and actions of those persons. We will explore the layers of White culture that reinforce and hold traditions and practices in place.

Discussion on Parable of Good Samaritan
Enter the story from your character’s perspective:

• How did you see yourself in the story?
• How did you see others in the story?
• How did your view of yourself and of others guide your actions?
• What other factors helped to determine your actions?

Background on characters:

TRAVELER: We have no identification of the traveler, but it seems to be assumed he is a Jew. The person is left for dead at the side of the road.

ROBBERS: Did the robbers see this as an easy way out to live off the well being of others? Was this their last resort for survival? No mention is made of their identity.

PRIEST: An Israelite who had been set apart from everyone else to serve God. A priest must be made clean, by washing and sacrifice, before he could take on his holy work. He is to avoid touching a corpse, unless it is that of a close relative, because death is linked with sin. Touching a corpse would make him ritually unclean and unable to perform his work in the Temple. A priest is to be both a teacher and a living example of God’s holy ways.

LEVITE: Also an Israelite, of the tribe of Levi, the priestly tribe. Within the tribe of Levi, different families and clans are responsible for caring for different parts of the tabernacle. Levites also need to be set apart through a special ceremony of washing and offering sacrifices. A Levite is to remain clean, to be pure in body and mind, to serve God in the tabernacle.
**SAMARITAN:** A person hated and despised by Jews. Samaritans were people from various races who came into the land of Samaria after Israel was defeated and its people were carried into captivity. Samaritans worshipped God according to the first five books of the Old Testament, but because of their mixed race and incomplete religion, the Jews regarded them as enemies and would go out of their way to avoid them.

**INNKEEPER:** No identification is made of the innkeeper’s background. The person is there to provide a service.

**Large Group Discussion**

- Present the story from your character’s perspective.
- With whom do you most identify in this parable? Why?
- How do you see prejudices, cultural practices, and institutional policies as factors in how this story is played out?
- Why did Jesus so specifically name the person who helped a Samaritan?
- Hearing this story from the perspective of the lawyer — who was trying to justify himself — what challenges might Jesus be laying before him?
- What challenges might Jesus be giving us through this story?
- Jesus knew that the lawyer, and the other Jews who were listening, likely would have found it too distasteful to identify with the Samaritan. Note that the lawyer cannot even say the name “Samaritan.” He responds to Jesus’ question of who is his neighbor with “The one who showed him mercy.” The listeners wouldn’t want to be like the Priest or Levite; that leaves the hearer identifying with the man in the ditch. What does it mean to be the man in the ditch? What challenge does that raise in you? From whom do you need help for support and healing?

**Discussion on Cultural Pyramid**

- How do you benefit from being White in the church?
- How do you see the White cultural norms and values shaping the way things are done?
- Talk about a tradition in your congregation — the time of services, the order of worship, the type of music, the programs of evangelism or education, etc. — and explore why that tradition is important. What is its value base?
- Physically or mentally walk through a part of the church building. What images greet people? What messages are conveyed of the culture that defines this place?
- Name a cultural value that is important to you. Talk about your struggle when that value comes up against someone else’s value, e.g. the use of time, order of decision-making, etc. What would it take for you to give up that value as definitive?
Culture and the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America

• Read paragraphs 2-4, pages 15-16, “A Time to Take Culture Seriously” in the ELCA Social Statement.
• What will it take to move beyond assimilation and truly welcome other cultural norms and values and ways of doing things as part of the church?

Journaling
This week notice the culture of your local congregation. Notice how time is viewed and used; how people think and communicate; how people make decisions within groups. Notice also the pictures on the walls and expressions of words and music. Record what you see differently and note your feelings and thoughts.
Dissecting the Cultural Pyramid

What
- Dance
- Food - Music
- Clothing - Art
- Language - Jewelry
- Hairstyle - Holidays
- Books - Suits/Uniforms - 12 Grades
- Set Curriculum - Tests - Classical Music
- Ballet - Fast Food - Highways

How
- Patterns for Living - Traditions - Customs - Authority
- Practices for Childrearing - Health Care - Communication
- Handling Emotions

- Written . . . Oral
- Hierarchy . . . Consensus
- Structured . . . Flexible

- Formal . . . Informal
- Analytical . . . Expressive
- “I’ll see you tomorrow . . .”

Why
- Values, Beliefs, Attitudes which Influence Behavior
- Norms and Standards that Govern Community Life - Societal Expectations

- Holder of Truth . . . Truth within Community
- Individual . . . Community
- Linear . . . Circular
- Mind . . . Emotions
- Time is Money . . . Time is Time
Levels of Racism

Institutional (macro)
- Transportation
- Employment
- Government
- Education
- Religion
- Business
- Housing
- Media
- Legal System
- Health Services (physical and psychological)
- Economics
- Policies
- Practices
- Procedures

Cultural (meso)
- Values, Norms
- Recreation
- Music, Art
- Holidays
- Standards of Beauty
- Stories, Fables
- Images, Symbols
- Ways of Thinking, Seeing
- Societal Expectations (sex roles, etc.)

Individual (micro)
- Attitudes
- Behaviors
- Interpersonal interactions
- Individual behaviors

© Lutheran Human Relations Association, Milwaukee, WI. Used by permission.
Purpose
This session will explore the personal impact of racism by helping us examine our own legacy of privilege. The work of repentance, of turning around, is to know and understand racism as institutional, cultural, and personal. The final work of repentance is to see the sin of racism within oneself and to know the need for healing.

Building the Chain of Privilege
- Consider who you are today and your location in all factors of life — your employment, education, housing, transportation, community, entertainment and travel opportunities, family, friends, and social groups.
- How has your life today been shaped by people, places, and events that preceded you? For example, how did you reach your level of education—who paid for it, what resources were available to you, how were you given information or access to resources, how were those resources shaped or put in place by previous generations? How have you been able to live where you do; to get the job you have; to be able to travel where you will; etc.
- Using the paper links, create a paper chain that shares the story of your legacy of access or stream of opportunity that brought you to where you are today.

Small Group Discussion
- Share the story of your chain of privilege.

Reflection on Chain of Privilege
- What thoughts and feelings did you have as you worked on your chain?
- What new meanings, insights, or understanding did you experience?
- How has being White shaped your experience and reality?
- What have you lost because of racism?
- What does it mean to you to repent of racism?

Journaling
Continue to journal on your thoughts and feelings. Set aside time during Holy Week for silence and reflection.
Purpose
In this session we will enter into the healing process. Using the biblical story of Naaman, we will explore the process of healing, and will come to understand the process of healing as a life-long journey that requires coming back to the river many times.

Discussion on the Healing of Naaman
Discuss the reactions and feelings of your assigned character from 2 Kings 5:1-14. 1) Naaman, 2) the young Israelite girl, 3) Elisha, 4) the king of Aram, 5) the king of Israel, or 6) Naaman’s servants. Speak from the first person perspective.
- Define your role and position in the story.
- What are you called on to do?
- How do you act or react and why?
- From your character’s perspective, how do you see the events in this story?

Write a Story of Healing from Racism
- Rewrite the healing of Naaman as a story of healing from the leprosy of racism.
- Search the story of Naaman for its meaning and application to the disease of racism, including as you can, the role of the different people in the story.
- Consider questions, such as: How do we know that we are afflicted with the disease? What and who are the voices that lead us toward healing? What are the “waters”—the activities, learnings, experiences—that we need to enter in order to begin the healing of our disease of racism? What is the role of our community as we enter the river for healing? What does it mean to enter the river to find healing? What prejudices or old understandings do we have to lay aside in order to enter the healing water? What is the meaning of going in seven times?
- Prepare to present your story in whatever way you wish.

Journaling
Continue to journal. Reflect this week on what it means to enter the Jordan. How do you see yourself in need of healing? What will it take to find that healing?
Walking the Journey toward New White Identity

Purpose
The work to find healing within a new White identity is a lifelong journey. This session will introduce us to the statuses or stages of racial identity development. The chart of racial identity development can serve as a guide to understand the complex dynamics of growth in finding what it means to be White.

Individual Reflection on Chart of Racial Identity Development
Use the chart to recall your story, remembering words, interactions, and things you may have thought that reflect statuses of identity. We may feel embarrassed by those things, but remembering is also an act of healing. Chart your journey alongside the descriptions.

Small Group Discussion
- Share one or more experiences of challenge and growth in your journey of racial identity.
- What was good about being in a particular status? What was difficult?
- Where do you see yourself now?
- What are your challenges to grow?
- How do you see the tension in yourself between where you are now and former statuses?

Journaling
Journal on your memories of growth, especially remembering those people or events that were helpful in moving you forward on the journey.

Assignment
Read the article by Frances Kendall on allies. Be aware of how you can be an ally to people who are oppressed. Note your questions, comments and concerns as you read. Journal on the feelings and responses the article raises in you. Bring your thoughts and reflections to the next session.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stages</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>My Journey of White Racial Identity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phase I:</strong> Abandonment of a Racist Identity</td>
<td>Timid and naively curious about the “other.” Ignorant of White privilege. Passively absorbs messages of White capability and superiority, accepting White culture and values as definers of “normal.” Pays little attention to significance of racial identity. Doesn’t describe self as White. Sees self as color-blind.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Contact</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Disintegration</td>
<td>Sees how much lives are affected by racism. Notices how societal inequities contradict idea of American meritocracy. Growing awareness of racism and White privilege causes considerable dissonance, including anxiety, guilt, and shame. Can respond with anger and action, or collude with racism and try not to notice it.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Reintegration</td>
<td>Resolves dissonance by consciously embracing racism and White superiority. Expresses fear and anger toward people of color — blaming the victim and seeing self as victim. Views self as an individual, not member of a White group. Believes anyone can make it if they try hard enough. Denies there is a racial problem.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phase II:</strong> Establishment of a Nonracist White Identity</td>
<td>Begins to question Whiteness and justifiability of racism in any form. Acknowledges White responsibility for racism and confronts White privilege. Sees racism as system of advantage, but doesn’t know what to do with it. Wants to “help” people of other racial groups. Feels self-conscious and guilty. Often distances from other Whites. Seeks to be “less White than thou.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Pseudo-Independence</td>
<td>Sees need for change, more positive self-definition. Immerses in process of exploration and self-discovery, seeking new ways to be White. Needs other Whites to show role of White allies in resisting racism and working for social change. Needs antiracist Whites for support to counter isolation and loneliness and to keep going. Feelings of guilt and shame start to fade.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Immersion/Emersion</td>
<td>Incorporates newly defined view of Whiteness as part of personal identity. Positive feelings of redefinition energizes efforts to confront racism and oppression in daily life. Has deep understanding of racism and seeks continued learning and growth.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Autonomy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Created from information in several sources: Helms, Janet. Black and White Racial Identity, 1990; Howard, Gary. We Can’t Teach What We Don’t Know, 1999; Tatum, Beverly Daniel. Why Are All the Black Kids Sitting Together in the Cafeteria, 1994
How to Be an Ally If You Are a Person with Privilege
By Frances E. Kendall

One way to work for social justice is as an ally. The gay and lesbian community realized ten or fifteen years ago that, without the help of straight allies, gays and lesbians don’t have the clout needed to fight heterosexist and homophobic legislation. Gradually the call for allies has spread to other communities against which discrimination is systemic.

Being an Ally
What it means to be an ally varies greatly from person to person. For some, it means building a relationship of love and trust with another; for others, it means intentionally putting oneself in harm’s way so that another person remains safe. Each type of alliance has its own parameters, responsibilities, and degrees of risk. For example, being an ally to someone who is in a less privileged position than I am requires different work than is necessary if the person has privileges like mine. There are also a variety of styles that an ally can use. Some of us are bold and audacious, others are more reserved. The common bond is that we align ourselves with a person or people in such a way that we “have their backs.”

When I use the term “ally,” I am not talking about love or friendship, although I grow to love many of the people with whom I align myself. I even see myself as an ally of people whom I don’t know, individuals who are members of groups with which I align myself as a matter of principle.

Those of us who have been granted privileges based purely on who we are born (as white, as male, as straight, and so forth) often feel that either we want to give our privileges back, which we can’t really do, or we want to use them to improve the experience of those who don’t have our access to power and resources. One of the most effective ways to use our privilege is to become the ally of those on the other side of the privilege seesaw. This type of alliance requires a great deal of self-examination on our part as well as the willingness to go against the people who share our privilege status and with whom we are expected to group ourselves.

[Note: In the following descriptions of ally behavior, the federal government’s term “target groups” refers to those who are at greatest risk of being targeted for discrimination, e.g., people of color, women, gays and lesbians, people with disabilities, and so on.]

Developing an Understanding
Allies work continuously to develop an understanding of the personal and institutional experiences of the person or people with whom they are aligning themselves. If the ally is a member of a privileged group, it is essential that she or he also strives for clarity about the impact of privileges on her or his life. What this might look like:

- Consistently asking myself what it means to be white in this situation. How would I be experienced now if I were of color? Would I be listened to? Would I be getting the support I am getting now? How would my life in this organization be different if I were not white/male/heterosexual/tenured/a manager?

- Closely observing the experiences of people of color in the organization: how they are listened to, talked about, promoted, and expected to do additional jobs. For example, members of target groups counsel all the people in the organization who look like them even though that is not a part of their job description, or they have to speak for all members of “their” group or serve on a disproportionate number of committees so that there is “racial input.” Few of us who are white ever have to be “professional whites,” asked to speak for our race, represent our race, or offer support to people purely because their skin color is the same as ours.

Allying Publicly and Privately
Allies choose to ally themselves publicly and privately with members of target groups and respond to their needs. This may mean breaking assumed allegiances with those who have the same privileges as you. It is important not to underestimate the consequences of breaking these
agreements, and to break them in ways that will be most useful to the person or group with whom you are aligning yourself. What this might look like:

- Stepping into a situation in which a person of color is being overrun by someone who looks like you: “John [a white man], I think Eugene [a Filipino] is making an important point. Would you hold your comment for a second so I can hear what Eugene has to say?”
- Speaking out about a situation in which you don’t appear to have any vested interest: “Jean, there are no women of color in this pool of candidates. How can we begin to get a broader perspective in our department if we continue to hire people who have similar backgrounds to ours or who look like us?”
- Interrupting a comment or joke that is insensitive or stereotypic toward a target group, whether or not a member of that group is present. “Lu, that joke is anti-Semitic. I don’t care if a Jewish person told it to you; it doesn’t contribute to the kind of environment I want to work in.”

This is NOT about rescuing or grandstanding, nor making a show of our support so that we will look good or progressive or liberal.

Other white people may perceive our stepping in as betraying of our same-race relationships. Comments such as “Who made you the political correctness police?” or “Don’t you have a sense of humor?” or “Can’t Chong take care of himself?” alert you to the fact that you have broken the unspoken code about criticizing another white…broken what Aida Hurtado calls the “unspoken rules of privilege” (The Color of Privilege, p. 128). While we may choose to take this risk ourselves, it is important to work strategically so as not to put the person with whom we have aligned ourselves in greater jeopardy. The example above about the unbalanced pool of candidates is worded to make it clear that it is in the department’s interest to interview and hire people who bring different experiences and points of view to the table. The white person could have covered himself by implying that his concern was for the lonely woman of color already present. (“Jean, there are no women of color in this pool of candidates. I know from talking with her that Josephina is sick of being the only Latina in our department.”) Instead, he made it clear that a mostly white staff was not in his personal interest or that of the institution.

Working in Our Interest

Allies believe that it is in their interest to be allies and are able to talk about why this is the case. Talking clearly about having the privilege to be able to step in is an important educational tool for others with the same privileges. What this might look like:

- Regularly prefacing what I am about to say with, “As a white person, I [think/feel/unserstand am not able to understand...].” By identifying one of my primary lenses on the world I let others know that I am clear that being white has an impact on how I perceive everything.
- Choosing to make an issue of a situation, acknowledging that our whiteness gives us the privilege to speak with impunity. “As white women, because of our race privilege, our promotions are at far less risk than those of the women of color. Let’s speak to the women of color and follow their instruction about the harassment we have all been experiencing.”

Committing to Personal Growth

Allies are committed to the never-ending personal growth required to be genuinely supportive. If both people are without privilege it means coming to grips with the ways that internalized oppression affects you. If I am privileged, uprooting long-held beliefs about the way that the world works will probably be necessary. What this might look like:

Recognizing the lack of equitable access to education that I had always been told was present.
How to Be an Ally (continued)

A brief story. As I was finishing my Master’s degree at Bank Street College of Education, I began to look at doctoral programs. My scores on the GRE did not meet minimum requirements for any of the programs I was interested in, and I had no grades because Bank Street then used a pass-fail system. Further, I was clear that the doctoral work I wanted to do was to create anti-racist curriculum. So, not only did I not have grades or scores in my favor, but authority. This is not about blaming myself or feeling guilty. In fact, I think guilt is often self-serving; if I feel terribly guilty about something, I can get mired in those feelings and not take action to change the situation. Staying conscious of our behavior as a group moves me to take responsibility for making changes. It also gives me greater insight into the experiences of those with whom I align myself.

Articulating Oppression
Allies are able to articulate how various patterns of oppression have served to keep them in privileged positions or to withhold opportunities they might otherwise have. For many of us, this means exploring and owning our dual roles as oppressor and oppressed, as uncomfortable as that might be. What this might look like:

• Seeing (as in the story above) how my whiteness opened doors to institutions that most probably would not have opened so easily otherwise.
• Understanding that as white women we are given access to power and resources because of racial similarities to and our relationships with white men. In fact, we often receive those privileges at the expense of people of color, both male and female. While we certainly experience systemic discrimination as women, our skin color makes us less threatening to the group which holds systemic power.

Not Using Mistakes as an Excuse
Allies expect to make some mistakes but do not use that as an excuse for inaction. As a person with privilege, it is important to study and to talk about how your privilege acts as both a shield and as blinders for you. Of necessity, those without privileges in a certain area know more about the specific examples of privilege than those who are privileged. What this might look like:

• Knowing that each of us, no matter how careful or conscious we are or how long

Allies are committed to the never-ending personal growth required to be supportive.

I was also openly preparing to challenge the current educational system. I did, however, have four aces in my pocket. First, I had gone to Bank Street and that said a lot to the schools to which I was applying. Second, I had a recommendation from one of the most esteemed child development theorists in the country. Third, I was born with class entitlement and, thus, interviewed well. Fourth and I believe most importantly, my whiteness made me more appealing and less threatening to all of the schools. I know that rules were bent on my behalf to admit me to three prestigious schools. I also know that, had I been a person of color proposing to do anti-racist work, the chances of my being accepted into two of those programs would have been slim.

Mine is a clear example that our educational system is not a meritocracy. While I had known intellectually that racism is ingrained in every American institution, this was the first time my privilege was so obvious to me. In order to be clear about the role that white privilege played, and in order to be an ally, I had to give up my belief that we live in a world in which everyone is treated fairly, much less “the same.”

• Facing in an on-going way the intentional-
we have been working on issues of social justice, is going to say or do something dumb or insensitive. It isn’t possible not to hurt or offend someone at some point. Our best bet is to openly acknowledge our mistakes and learn from them.

• Questioning how your perceptions might be different if you were not a member of a privileged group. For example, consider what it might be like to be the only woman of color in a group of senior decision-makers who are all white and male. Would you read situations and conversations differently than one of the white men? What things might you say or how might you make your comments? What kind of support might you want if you were other than white and male?

• Keeping a filter in your mind through which you run your thoughts or comments. Remarks such as, “If I were you…” or “I know just how you feel…” are never very helpful in opening up communication, and, in conversations in which there is an imbalance of privilege, they take on an air of arrogance. People with privilege can never really know what it is like to be a member of the target group. While I can sympathize with those who are of color, it is not possible for me truly to understand the experience of a person with different skin color because I am never going to be treated as they are. The goal is to show someone you are listening, you care, and you understand that being white causes you to be treated differently in the world. Much more useful comments would be “Because of my white blinders I don’t always notice how he or she responds to you” or “Obviously, as a white person, I have never had your experience, but I really want to know how you perceive that you’re being treated.”

Taking Responsibility for Change
Allies know that those on each side of an alliance hold responsibility for their own change, whether or not persons on the other side choose to respond or to thank them. They are also clear that they are doing this work for themselves, not to “take care of” the other. What this might look like:

• Although it is difficult to remember that we do this work for ourselves, it is essential. For example, in a workshop in which I was a participant, we were talking about really painful stuff, and I heard myself say, “I have supported African American women all my life. I wish they would support me now.” Luckily for me, a white woman who had done a lot of work on race was present and said, “African American women don’t owe you shit. You chose freely to act as their ally.” I thought to myself, “Oh, yeah, I have been knowing and saying that for years, but I forgot.” I was grateful that there was another white woman to put me back on track so that no woman of color had to make the effort to remind me.

Examining continually the institutional and personal benefits of hearing a wide diversity of perspectives, articulating those benefits, and building different points of view into the work we do.

• Interrupting less-than-helpful comments and pushing for an inclusive work environment. We do it because we, as well as others, will benefit. We do not step forward because we think we should or because the people or color can’t speak for themselves or because we want to look good to the people of color around us. We are allies because we know that it is in our interest.

Initiating Change
Allies know that, in the most empowered and genuine ally relationships, the persons with privilege initiate the change toward personal, institutional, and societal justice and equality. What this might look like:

• Assessing who will be at least risk when stepping into a situation to initiate change, conferring with others who are at greater
risk about the best strategies, and moving forward. Being an ally is like performing in a ballet. Our moves should be carefully designed to have the greatest effect.

- Understanding that this is not another opportunity to take charge, to ride in to fix everything. Ally relationships are just that: relationships. Together with the people who aren’t privileged, we choreograph who makes which moves and when they will be made. On many occasions, people of color have looked at me and said, “You help her understand what’s going on. She’s your white sister.” They implied that it is not their job to educate white women and that, because of my privilege, I am less likely to suffer from speaking straightforwardly than they would.

Promoting Inclusiveness and Justice

Allies promote a sense of inclusiveness and justice in the organization, helping to create an environment that is hospitable for all. *What this might look like:*

- Recognizing the expectation that people of color will address racism, women will take care of sexism, and gay men and lesbians will “fix” heterosexism in the organization and, in their stead, becoming the point person for organizational change on these issues. Clues that this assumption is operating: the Diversity Committee is composed predominantly of people of color and white women, while those with greater positional and informal decision-making power are on the “important” committees; the senior manager reroutes all announcements of “diversity” conferences to a person of color with an attached note that says, “Thought you might be interested,” implying that addressing issues of diversity is not his or her concern; men joking on the way to a sexual harassment seminar that they don’t know why they have to go since they “already know how to harass”; the majority of people pushing for domestic partner benefits are gay or lesbian.

- Paying attention to the days and times meetings are scheduled so that no one group bears the brunt of exclusion. For example, being sure that meetings are not regularly scheduled on Saturdays or other Jewish holidays or before or after the regular work day so that parents have difficulty with childcare.

Sharing the Lead and Seeing Things Through

Allies with privilege are responsible for sharing the lead with people of color in changing the organization and hold greater responsibility for seeing changes through to their conclusion. Sharing the lead requires that we are in alignment and partnership with people who are working toward the greater good of all of us. *What this might look like:*

- Working to build a strategic diversity plan for the organization, tying it to the organization’s business plan, and placing our personal credibility on the implementation of the plan.

- Securing funding for scholarships so that an economically and racially diverse student population is guaranteed.

- Assessing current policies and procedures in the organization and working to change them so that they don’t impact various groups of people differently.

- Intentionally using our access to power, resources, and influence to push those who are in positions to be able to bring about change.

Laughing to Survive

Allies are able to laugh at themselves as they make mistakes and at the real, but absurd, systems of supremacy in which we all live. As many oppressed people know, humor is a method of survival. Those with privilege must be very careful not to assume that we can join in the humor of those in a target group with whom we are in alliance.
teams, and departments that are “too white” and working to bring a critical mass of people of color and white allies into the group. We do this not because it will look good but because the current composition is less able to make wise decisions due to its narrow vision. While discomfort is certain to follow, the benefits of inclusiveness far outweigh the discomfort.

Being Clear about the Experience of Being Other
Allies know the consequences of not being clear about the experience of being Other. Some of these are:
- lack of trust
- lack of authentic relationships
- lack of foundation for coalition

For allies with privilege, the consequences of being unclear are even greater. Because our behaviors are rooted in privilege, those who are in our group give greater credence to our actions than they might if we were members of groups without privilege. Part of our task is to be models and educators for those like us. What this might look like:

- Understanding that because we don’t see a colleague of color being mistreated doesn’t mean that daily race-related experiences aren’t occurring. I often hear white people make comments such as, “Well, my friend is Black but he’s beyond all this race stuff. He is never treated poorly.” Or, “I’m sure she doesn’t have any problems with white people. You’d hardly know she’s Hispanic.” Or, “He is Black, but he’s really like a white Black person. He’s treated better than I am.”
- Comments such as these alert a person of color to the fact that we don’t have those experiences, we can’t imagine other people having them, and therefore put little credence in the stories that people of color share. If we are to be genuine allies to people of color, we must constantly observe the subtleties and nuances of other white people’s

Understanding that Emotional Security is Not Realistic
Allies understand that emotional safety is not a realistic expectation if we take our alliance seriously. For those with privilege, the goal is, as my friend David Tulin says, to “become comfortable with the uncomfortable and uncomfortable with the too comfortable” and to act to alter the too-comfortable.

What this might look like:
- Being alert to our desire to create a “safe” environment for an interracial conversation. My experience is that when white people ask for safety they mean they don’t want to be held accountable for what they say. They want to be able to make mistakes and not have people of color take them personally, and they don’t want to be yelled at by people of color. Those of us who are white are almost always safer, freer from institutional retribution, than people of color. That knowledge should help us remain in uncomfortable situations as we work for change.
- Identifying committees, decision-making
comments and behaviors just as we observe our own. And we must take the risk of asking, “What if I am wrong about how I think people of color are being treated in my institution? What can I do to seek out the reality of their experiences? How will I feel if I discover that people I know, love, and trust are among the worst offenders? And what will I do?”

- Reminding a colleague who says, “She’s always whining about race. This is not about race,” that as white people we simply can’t know what it is like to be of color. We will never be treated as if we were. While not everything is about race, there is always the possibility that it is an element in any situation. To deny that reality signals people of color and other white people that we can’t be trusted as allies or as members of a coalition.

Being an ally is so difficult because the less I protect my eyes from the world around me, the more I see and understand.

Allying and Aligning
Throughout many iterations of this chapter I used “allying” and “aligning” as interchangeable. It wasn’t until my friend and colleague Monza Naff pointed out that while they look alike, at their roots they have quite different meanings, both of which are vital to my discussion here, that I began to think more deeply about the word “align.” To “ally” oneself to someone means to bind to or unite with that person — to support or to stand with that person or group. To “align” oneself with someone means to bring yourself into line or alignment with her or him. In other words, to align is to bring into correct relative position — to actually move myself into a different place, one that I feel is the right one relative to the person or group I want to be an ally to.

In the specific examples of behaviors described above, both allying and aligning take place. If I interrupt an anti-Semitic joke even though I am not Jewish, I am binding or allying myself to Jewish people. In that moment I am moving out of the safe position granted me by my Christian privilege and placing myself in the position of ridicule that is inherent in being the butt of an anti-Semitic joke — aligning myself.

Being a genuine ally is some of the hardest and riskiest work we can do. It requires those of us with privilege consciously to move ourselves into the fray so that members of target groups can more easily move out of the line of fire. Being an ally is lonely and frightening as well as incredibly enriching and rewarding. If I am serious about this work, I must strive to remove the layers and layers of blinders that my privilege places around my eyes.

One of the reasons that being an ally is so difficult is that the less I protect my eyes from the world around me, the more I see and understand. Often the seemingly intransigent determination to keep things as they are pushes me to despair. I have many ways of keeping myself buoyed up — others’ wise words are among the most useful. This quotation from Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., is one of the most helpful to me:

“I am convinced that the universe is under control of a loving purpose. And that in the struggle for righteousness man has cosmic companionship. Behind the harsh appearance of the world there is benign power.

“Pilgrimage to Nonviolence.” 1958.

Building toward a Multicultural Church

Purpose
In this session we will explore how our learning becomes real in the life of the congregation. We will work through the racial journey of the congregation, describing the current life and ministry, and identifying steps and possibilities for change.

Drawing Your Congregation
Draw—words, images, symbols, stick figures—how your congregation looks, thinks, and acts. Consider who the people are, the nature of art and architecture, how people relate with one another, who makes decisions and how. Reflect how the congregation does worship, education, youth ministry, stewardship, outreach, social ministry, etc.

Draw Your Congregation’s Next “Look”
Look at the description at the next level of growth. What would your same congregation look like at that level? What would be some of the changes? Identify the challenges that the congregation would face in implementing those changes. What conversations would need to happen?

Assignment and Journaling
Continue to be aware of your congregation, paying close attention to what you see and how things are done. Note where you see signs of openness and possibility for change. Who might be possible allies in working for change? Note the things you notice.
# Building toward a Multicultural Church

*The Journey of White Congregations and Institutions*

## Stages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stages</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>My Journey of White Racial Identity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Monocultural in Norms, Values and Practices</td>
<td>Sees its way of doing things as the right way and the “norm.” Teachings, structures, decision-making, policies and practices of education, music and worship, building design, stewardship, social ministry and outreach are based in White culture. Members cannot see need for change.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Tolerant of Differences but Maintaining Norms</td>
<td>Maintains White ways of thinking and doing things, but will tolerate a limited number of people of color who will fit in. May say, “We don’t have a problem,” but is not a safe place to talk openly and honestly about racism, sexism or other forms of oppression. Seeks to maintain status quo.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Acceptance of People</td>
<td>Sees itself as open and committed to include people of color. May incorporate outward, symbolic images of inclusion. May recruit people of color and say, “All are welcome here,” but mostly unaware of White cultural norms and habits of privilege and paternalism that underlie congregational life.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Awareness of Cultural Difference</td>
<td>Recognizes and understands importance of differences and seeks to eliminate discriminatory and exclusionary practices. Holds racism awareness training and may expand view of diversity to include disabled, gays and lesbians, and other oppressed groups. May include music, pictures, stories, food from other cultures, but decision making and policies remain rooted in dominant culture. Focus on what, not how, of culture.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Understanding Cultural Norms and Values</td>
<td>Recognizes and understands systemic cultural norms and biases. Seeks to change structures by auditing all aspects of congregational life. Sincerely respects differences and affirms benefits of including perspectives and contributions of people of color. Encourages dialogue to discern differing values.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Living in New Community</td>
<td>Strong community of people actively working for justice and against systems of oppression. No one culture or group dominates in decision-making, policies, or practices. Life of the congregation reflects diversity of people with all people fully participating in decisions. Deep care and respect for one another as they struggle together to live out a new community.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Rita Hardiman, Lutheran Human Relations Association, Crossroads Ministry, Women of the ELCA.
Purpose
This session engages us in the story of Peter and Cornelius as told in Acts 10 and 11. We will explore this story of crossing a cultural chasm that had been put in place over a long period of time and held in place by tradition and the teachings of authority. The session will address the vision, the fears and hesitancies, and the risk-taking of the people in this story of Acts.

Background on Individuals and Groups in Acts 10-11
Peter was a lifelong Jew who had learned all of the teachings and laws of Moses and the prophets. He was schooled in what it meant to be a good Jew and to observe the laws of what was clean and unclean. He had carefully followed all of the laws of what he could and couldn’t eat and would have had a strong reaction to eating what he had been taught was unclean. Eating what was unclean would defile him. He had also been taught that Gentiles are unclean and that as a Jew he was not to associate with Gentiles.

Simon the Tanner was a tanner of hides. He was also a Jew, but would be seen by some as somewhat of an outsider because of his occupation. He lived in Joppa and provided a place for Peter to stay during his time in Joppa.

The six circumcised believers were also Jews who had been schooled in all of the laws. They would have learned well what to eat and what not to eat, who to associate with and who not to.

The Apostles in Jerusalem were, of course, all Jews. They were people who had lived and traveled with Jesus. They were people of authority.

Cornelius was a Roman centurion, a leader of the occupying force, a Gentile. He was a man of great authority and power who answered to Rome and whose allegiance was to be given to Caesar and the gods of Rome. He was trained to see himself as superior to the lowly Jews whose land he occupied.

The messengers from Cornelius—the two slaves and the devout soldier—would likely have been Romans, or other non-Jews. Their loyalty would have been to Cornelius, knowing that their livelihood, and possibly their existence, depended on Cornelius and on obeying his orders. They would not have trusted Jews nor have been taught to look kindly at them. They would have known that Jews would look at them suspiciously as occupiers of the Jewish land.

Cornelius’ household would also be Gentiles. They would have lived a life apart from the Jews. They lived in the land and would, therefore, have been observers of the Jews but would not have associated with them.

Peter and Cornelius—and their respective communities of Jews and Gentiles—were separated by religion, cultural and ethnic backgrounds, economics, and politics. There was much that divided them and created a great cultural chasm between their two worlds.
Small Group Discussion
Explore the text and discuss the events of the story through the eyes of your assigned person(s). As you review the questions, prepare to share your responses from the first-person viewpoint.

• What is your role in the story?
• What were your fears?
• What was God calling forth in you?
• How did you feel about it?
• What did you do?

Crossing the Cultural Chasm: Taking Steps to Act
The ten steps to act from Acts 10 and 11 serve as a guide for us in our journey. We will spend the next sessions following the steps laid out in this story of Acts.

1. Prayer: Both Peter and Cornelius were so deeply engaged in prayer that they were able to see and hear God's vision.
   Do you pray with the expectation that you will see and know God's vision for your life and for your congregation?

2. Vision: Cornelius and Peter each received a vision of what God was calling them to do.
   As you engage in prayer together and share in prayer-filled dialogue, what vision is emerging of what God is calling you to do as a congregation?

3. Giving up Old Messages: Peter, Simon the Tanner, the believers who accompany Peter, and the apostles in Jerusalem all had to give up deeply imbedded beliefs about who and what is clean and unclean. They had to move across a barrier and associate with people they had been taught to avoid all of their lives. They had to see Gentiles as God’s beloved people and not as untouchable, profane, and unclean. Cornelius, his messengers and his entire household had to receive Jews as messengers to them. In turn, they had to see that they had something to offer as they invited Peter to stay with them and gave him hospitality.
   What old messages, stereotypes, past hurts or traditions must you give up about other people in order to step forward into new relationships and new ministry? Who are people in the community who are not welcomed in the congregation? If the congregation and community are primarily White, why is that? What are the spoken or unspoken messages within the community that keep people of color out?

4. Commitment to Risk-Taking: All of the people in the story had to step outside their comfort zone and take a risk, whether it was opening their home to the “other” as did Simon the Tanner and the members of Cornelius’ household, or venturing into unfriendly territory as did the messengers and the believers who came with Peter, or Peter and Cornelius who took bold public actions, or the apostles who allowed the old law of distinction to be discarded. Each of the actions was a risk in breaking out of cultural traditions and ways of life. Each took a commitment of faith to step forth.
   What fears do you have in moving toward the vision? What barriers might hold you back? What consequences may you have to face? Given the fears and risks, what is your commitment to making White privilege visible and living out a vision of partnership?
5. **Gathering Community:** The entire story is a story of community. No one acted alone. Both Cornelius and Peter realized the importance of community in sending out messengers, and having others with them to give them support and to be witnesses to the events.

What does it mean to move forward with community? Who do you need to have with you? Who do you need to talk with? What help or support do you need and from whom?

6. **Retelling the Story:** Over and over Peter and Cornelius repeated their stories of God’s call and direction. When Peter came to Jerusalem, he had to explain the story to the apostles, step by step. Central to the entire story is the telling of the story of Jesus.

What story do you have to share with others? What have you seen or heard in a new way during the months of this study? Who needs to hear your story?

7. **Action Step:** Cornelius took a first step in calling his servants and sending them to bring Peter to him. Peter’s first step was inviting the messengers into Simon’s home. Each step of this story builds on a previous step and each step is important in leading to the step of baptizing Gentiles—an action step that shook the foundations of the belief system.

What is a first step you can take?

8. **Receiving Hospitality:** Cornelius and his household invited Peter to stay with them several days. In his vision Peter had seen a sheet filled with foods he had been taught to see as unclean and avoided all of his life. They were foods common to the diet of a Gentile. As Peter accepted the hospitality of his Gentile hosts, he had to sleep in a strange place and eat the foods of Gentiles. At this point Peter fully accepted the Gentiles as genuine partners in the faith. Having eaten their food and accepted their hospitality, Peter no longer was in control. As shown in the vision, Peter had to let go of his cultural values and recognize and accept values of the Gentiles as also being of God. This step of receiving hospitality reflected the depth of change both in Peter, and in what the church would be. The Gentiles were not simply recipients of the Holy Spirit and of “mission efforts,” but were full partners in the faith.

Who within your community or congregation do you regularly avoid? Whose hospitality do you find difficult to accept? From whom do you need to receive hospitality? Whose gifts need to be accepted within the congregation?

9. **Report Back/Reflect:** Peter had to report to the apostles in Jerusalem, explaining all that he had done. He reported each of the steps and God’s direction in moving him to act. He reflected on all that happened and what it meant.

To whom will you need to report and when?

10. **Experience Change—Leading to Celebration and New Action:** When the apostles heard the story, they first were silenced. Then they praised God, recognizing that Gentiles, too, could hear and receive God’s saving grace.

How have you been changed? For what do you praise God? With new understanding comes the need to again engage in prayer to seek God’s continually unfolding vision, and to take new risks and new action steps.
Assignment and Journaling
Spend time in daily prayer, seeking to hear where God is calling. Journal on what you are feeling and hearing. Are you hearing and seeing things around you differently? Are you becoming aware in new ways? What visions do you have of where God is leading?
Beholding God’s New Vision

Purpose
In this session we will work through the steps of Acts 10 and 11 in the context of our congregation. We will seek together to shape a vision of what God is calling us to be. We will unpack the old messages that could hold us back, express our fears, and articulate our commitments to live out the vision. Together we will name others to invite on this journey and prepare to go out to share the story.

Taking Steps to Act

Steps to Act: Vision
- In Acts 10:3-6 and 10:10-16 we read that both Cornelius and Peter received a vision of what God was calling them to do.
- Briefly revisit the drawings from Session 13 on where the congregation currently is and how it would look at the next step.
- Individually draw a vision of how you would like to see your congregation ten years from now.
- Share your vision.
- What are the most important images and words that express the common vision for the congregation at this point in time?
- Write or draw that common vision and celebrate the vision as a group.

Steps to Act: Giving Up Old Messages
- In Acts 10:13-16, we hear Peter struggling with the messages and traditions he had learned.
- On the back of your individual vision drawing, write the old messages, cultural values and traditions, stereotypes, or ways of thinking that continue to challenge you and get in the way of living into the vision you have drawn.
- In a small group share your old messages and develop a list of teachings, traditions, and messages that will be challenging for the congregation to give up in living into the group vision.
- Report your group list to the whole group.
- As a group, name those old messages that will be necessary for the congregation to struggle with in moving toward the vision. What cultural values and norms will need to be identified and addressed? Write those messages on the back of the group vision.
• Old messages and learnings never completely go away. They lie within us and we need to consciously work to unlearn them and put new awareness and understanding in place. We need to always be alert to how close below the surface those messages may lie and how they can come forward to disrupt our efforts to make the vision real. We need to be jointly accountable to putting them behind us in order to move forward with the vision.

**Steps to Act: Commitment to Risk Taking**

• In Acts 10:7-8 and 17-25, Cornelius, the messengers, Peter, Simon the Tanner, the believers from Joppa, and Cornelius’ relatives and friends all took risks.

• In a small group share your fears in moving forward on the vision and name the price you may have to pay in others’ reactions as you name White privilege or question cultural values. What risks might you have to take?

• As a small group, name the fears the congregation will have to face, the barriers that might hold them back, and the risks the congregation will have to take to live into the vision.

• Share your responses with the large group. Together create a list of the fears and barriers.

• As you are ready and willing, share your commitments to take risks within the congregation.

**Steps to Act: Gathering Community**

• In Acts 10:23b-24, we are reminded that both Peter and Cornelius gathered their community to support them and to be witnesses of the event. They both recognized their need to have allies with them on the journey.

• As a large group, talk about the role of allies in this work. Given the fears and barriers that were named, whom do you need to talk with in the congregation? Whose gifts could be helpful in this journey? Are there people within the community whom you need to talk with and interact with? Whose help and support do you need? Who would you like to invite with you on the journey? List the names on newsprint as they are lifted up.

• Within your small group review the list of names and help each person identify one or two people with whom they will share the story of this journey within the next month. You may also identify someone who has not already been listed.

• Regather as a large group to share the names of those with whom you will share the story.
Assignment and Journaling

Steps to Act: Retelling the Story

- Throughout Acts 10 and 11, Cornelius and Peter retold the story as they had experienced it. In Acts 10:34-43, Peter retold the story of God’s saving power through Jesus.
- Telling the story of a community is key to bringing others into that community. In the next month, schedule a time to share the story of this journey with one other person.
- To prepare for sharing, journal or reflect on what you have learned or how you have grown through this process. How have you been guided toward an awareness of what God is calling forth in you? How have you grown in your understanding of God’s vision for the congregation and community?
- If the person you are sharing with is a member of the congregation, you may wish to invite that person to consider being part of a new group to engage in this study in the coming year, or to invite the person to consider how they might be helpful in the journey.
- If the person is a member of the community, you may share the vision of the congregation, talk about common visions you may have for the community, and ways the congregation and community can be allies in this journey.
Purpose
This session will engage us in the process of identifying steps for action and the need to see ourselves as receivers. Others will need to be invited on the journey, and leadership needs to be actively engaged in the process of decision-making, but we will identify where action can begin to happen.

Taking Steps to Act

Steps to Act: Taking Action
In Acts 10:47-48 Peter took an action step in response to the action of the Holy Spirit. His ordering the Gentiles to be baptized transformed the understanding of who was included in the church. It was an action step that was built on very specific preceding actions and came about in response to recognizing God’s action.

- Gather in small groups around common interests of ministry: music and worship, education, youth, stewardship, evangelism and outreach, social justice, etc.
- Brainstorm—and record on newsprint—possible first steps to take as a group within one or two areas of ministry. Remember to think in measurable, attainable steps, brainstorming specific examples of action. Be attentive to where God is leading. Search for what will call people to stretch beyond their comfort zone and will challenge cultural norms.
- Review the list and prioritize steps. Identify two or three action steps that can be taken in the next three months. Identify a timeline, person(s) responsible, and resources needed to accomplish each of the steps.
- Identify action steps to recommend to the congregation’s leadership for the next year. Define timelines, responsibilities and resources for each of those action steps.
- Identify other people within the congregation who are important to taking action within these areas of ministry and name the person(s) responsible for sharing the story with them.
Steps to Act: Receiving Hospitality

In Acts 10:48b we read of the profound step of significant change. If Peter had simply baptized Gentiles and left, it would have been a good story, but the chasm of culture would have been left unchanged. The meaning and depth of change is in Peter staying and eating the food of Gentiles.

- Review the action steps for what may have been missed in allowing White cultural norms and values to be the operative norms.
- Consider how the opinions, values and voices of people of color will be heard and fully valued and gifts fully received.
- What ways of living and thinking within the congregation need to continue to be examined in order to fully accept and trust the gifts of people of color?
- How can the congregation be receivers of hospitality and so begin to enter a genuine partnership with people of color?
- If the congregation and community are primarily White, how can the congregation be stretched to examine ways it maintains White exclusiveness? How can the gifts of people of color become a presence within the congregation?

Assignment and Journaling

Note the dates set in the “Taking Action” section and the steps for which you agreed to be responsible. Continue to journal about your feelings as you take steps toward action. What old (or new) fears and hesitations do you notice? What joy and possibilities do you experience?
Purpose
Acts 11 is a record of Peter’s report to the church at Jerusalem. Peter and Cornelius had visions, they gave up old messages, they gathered others with them and all took risks and moved forward step by step in action. Peter then had to explain it to the apostles in Jerusalem, step by step. In this session we will report back to the congregation regarding steps and learnings of this yearlong journey. We will share the vision, the things we have heard, seen, and learned, and will talk together about where the journey leads in continued action and growth.

This session may be held with identified leaders of the congregation or as a special gathering to which all members of the congregation are invited.

Steps to Act: Reporting Back and Reflecting
(Instructions for group process)

Vision
- Invite a member of the group to share the vision for the congregation as the group has come to see it.
- Invite questions, reflections, or comments about the vision.
- Identify expansions or changes to the vision as they are articulated by the larger group, but be prepared to address concerns that would limit the vision and inhibit growth.

Action Steps
- Present the three-month and yearlong action steps identified by the group.
- Gather into small groups of five or six people with a member of the study group being present in each group.
- Ask small groups to choose one or two of the identified goals that are of special interest to them. Invite them to talk about how they see this action taking place within the congregation. What results may come about because of this action? How could this lead to further action and deeper learning?
- Invite small groups to identify any additional goals to help to bring the vision into being.
- Regather as a large group, inviting each small group to speak to one or two of the listed goals and to identify any additional goals.
• Work with the group to prioritize among all of the listed goals and establish consensus for taking these steps for action.

• If this is a leadership group of the congregation, work with the group to establish timelines and people or groups responsible for carrying out each action step.

• If this is a larger group of the congregation, identify the leaders who will establish timelines and responsibilities and a date by which they will accomplish that.

• Invite people to join in the action steps and to prayerfully consider their response if they are called.
Experiencing Change... Praising God... Continuing the Journey

Purpose
After hearing and reflecting on Peter’s story, those gathered in Jerusalem praised God. In this session, we will reflect on this yearlong journey, share what we have learned, and celebrate together. This session is not to be seen as a conclusion, but rather as a refreshment stop on the lifelong journey.

Steps to Act: Experiencing Change . . .
In Acts 11:18 the apostles and believers in Jerusalem experienced a depth of change that silenced their criticism. They praised God, and then the journey and the struggles of growth continued.

• Reflect back on the cultural journey you drew in Session 2 in Advent. What new understandings or insights do you now bring to your cultural journey?
• What reflections do you have about your experiences of change or growth during the year and for what or whom do you give thanks and praise?
• Identify needs for continuing on the journey.
• How have you seen or felt God at work during this year?


• Work as a group to create a liturgy for a worship service to celebrate the work of this year. Work together as a whole group or divide into separate groups to address different elements.
• What messages would this group like to share with the congregation? How would you share that?
• What symbols or images would you like to include?
• What songs or readings express the work of the year?
• Report back as a group and identify the person(s) who will take responsibility for preparing the service and communicating with the necessary people.
Steps to Act: . . . Continuing the Journey

- Review the list of three-month steps for action.
- Evaluate the group progress. What steps have been taken? What is left to do? Who will be responsible for any remaining action?
- Name new steps for action to be taken individually or as a group. List those steps on newsprint and define responsibilities and timelines.
- If a new group will be beginning the study in Advent, identify people within the group who will be available for support and interaction.
- Remember that this year has been another step in your lifelong journey. Continue to journal, to pray for one another, and to engage in continuing action and growth.
Again complete the following assessment of your awareness and understanding of racism and White privilege. Indicate your assessment of yourself on the spectrum from 1 to 5 and describe your understanding and experiences. After you complete the form, compare this assessment with your pre-assessment. Indicate areas of growth on the final evaluation form.

**Awareness and Understanding of White Privilege**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Some Awareness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Much Awareness and Understanding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Define White privilege and comment on your level of awareness and understanding:

---

**Definition of Self as White**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>See and Define Self as an Individual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>See and Define Self as part of a White Group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Describe your definition of yourself as part of a racial group:

---

**Experience in Being in Non-White Settings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Avoid Settings and Situations of Being Only White Person</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Seek out Non-White Settings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Describe experiences of being the only or one of a few White persons present in a group:

---

**Comfort Level in Non-White Settings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uncomfortable/Timid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Very Comfortable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Describe your comfort level in non-White settings:
Post-Assessment

Strength of Relationships across Racial Lines

1  2  3  4  5
Few/Weak Cross-Cultural Relationships..........................Strong and Good Cross-Cultural Relationships

Describe your relationships with persons of color:

Awareness and Understanding of Institutional Systems of Racism

1  2  3  4  5
See Racism Primarily as Individual..............................................Can Analyze Institutional Racism

Describe where and how you see racism at work, including your awareness and understanding of institutional racism:

Awareness and Understanding of Cultural Racism

1  2  3  4  5
Little Awareness of White Culture..............................................Can Analyze and Describe White Cultural Values

Describe your understanding of White culture and its impact on life in the church:

Willingness and Ability to Take Action against Racism

1  2  3  4  5
Unsure How to Act or Speak against Racism...............................Regularly Speak and Act against Racism

Describe your actions against racism:

Feelings and Responses in Talking about and Addressing Issues of Race

1  2  3  4  5
Fear/Avoidance/Hesitancy.......................................................Invite and Welcome Conversation

Describe your feelings about entering this study and engaging in regular conversations about race:
Troubling the Waters for Healing of the Church

A journey for White Christians from privilege to partnership

Evaluation Form

Please rate the impact of this study you received by indicating your level of agreement with the following statements. Please answer by completely filling in the circle under the one response that best reflects your opinion. Please do NOT place a ✓ or an X in or on top of the circles. Feel free to make additional comments in the space provided.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Understanding and Awareness</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Not Sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Before attending this study, I understood what White privilege mean</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. As a result of this study, I am able to articulate what is meant by White privilege</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. During the sessions, I felt comfortable discussing issues of White privilege and race with the other participants</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. As a result of this study, I am more aware of some of the effects of White privilege in my life</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. As a result of this study, I am more aware of institutional systems of racism</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. As a result of this study, I am more aware of my capacity for contributing to institutional systems of racism</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. As a result of this study, I can understand the impact of White privilege on the lives of those in other ethnic groups</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. As a result of this study, I can understand the impact of White privilege on the development of the Lutheran church</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. I am beginning to recognize how to take small steps to change the negative impact that White privilege has had on my life</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional Comments?

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________
### Attitudes and Behavior

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Not Sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10. I am comfortable talking with others in a non-White setting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. I have established close relationships across racial lines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. As a result of this study, I am able to identify with some of my fears and the risks I must take to rid myself of the effects of White privilege</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. As a result of this study, I will be intentional in setting goals and making plans to rid myself of the effects of White privilege</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. This study has helped me to open up and communicate with others about my feelings of White privilege and racism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. I am willing to take action against racism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Additional Comments?**

___________________________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________________________

16. Please describe the role you think Christians should play in addressing racism.

___________________________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________________________

Return completed form to:
ELCA
Department for Research and Evaluation
8765 W. Higgins Road
Chicago, IL 60631


**OTHER RESOURCES:**


*No Hate Allowed: A Resource for Congregations for Action Against Racial Hate Crimes.* Project of the Commission for Multicultural Ministries of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America. Edited by Lily Wu.